

American Dream

[Home](#) › [Forums](#) › [The Carried Word](#) › [The Carried Word 2013](#) › American Dream

[Favorite](#) | [Subscribe](#)

This topic has 143 replies, 1 voice, and was last updated 3 hours, 39 minutes ago by  Alan.

Viewing 9 posts – 136 through 144 (of 144 total)

← 1 2 3 ... 8 9 10

Author	Posts
October 23, 2025 at 11:58 am	EDIT MOVE SPLIT TRASH SPAM UNAPPROVE REPLY #1873
 Alan <i>Keymaster</i> (127.0.0.1)	According to the Norman Dodd's Report too and different ones on the reports that the U.S. Congress did into these private foundations back in the 1950's. And he was told by them actually, by the Ford Foundation especially, the CEO said to him, "well we take our orders from the White House", he said. And what they do is they form a parallel government. They lobby governments in fact and it appears to the public that it's just coming from the citizenry, all these lobby groups that are demanding things, but it's not; they're coming from private organizations, big massive multi-trillion dollar tax-free, tax-exempt foundations, so they get what they want. So they are the parallel government. And they also, which surprised too Dodd, was that they supported all the left wing causes and the right wing causes. They supplied every side with their story basically and their funding. And it's quite surprising that most people don't even know that nothing's changed to the present time. They have more money to splash around and put in these paid politicians that serve the private organizations. And these foundations also set up other links, think tanks and so on, which they still run today and they advise all governments across the world on policies and social policies of every kind you can imagine and foreign policies too.

So we're run by a global elite basically. The whole plan of course was to go global and dominate the planet, bring in a scientific elite to run the general public and experts of every kind. And also to bring up the children with special politically-correct indoctrination from schooling, even from kindergarten if possible, and lots of government agencies to deal with children. They're already doing that in some countries like Scotland as the trial country for testing out new forms of child advocacy where the government gives you an advocate at birth basically. It's appointed by the government and they come into your home and spend right up to the age of about twenty years old or so. And they do psychological evaluations on you; they make sure that you're being brought up politically correct with all your opinions, etcetera, and no doubt too they will refer you for some kind of lobotomy or drug therapy if you have different opinions as a child.

So we're really in the "Brave New World" scenario. That's what we're going into now. We're in the middle of the Orwellian type mixed with the Brave New World scenario and it's all coming together as we speak naturally. But nothing out there really is real as far as the truth is concerned. There are always spins on everything and the mainstream media of course and all the big moguls who took over the media a long time ago, make sure that the media and news is standardized. So we all prattle about the same things across the planet thinking we know it all and that's the whole idea. Most folk unfortunately think it truly is the truth; they question nothing. They can't believe that all these reports coming from different papers with the same stories can all be wrong. It doesn't occur to them that it's a league that's working in concert and all the stories come from a couple of newswires that are controlled by again the ultra rich and ultra elite.

So we're bamboozled, we're conned our whole life long and I go into the art of conology in my books and so on at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. So if you want to keep me ticking along here you can help me by buying them and the discs as well because I go through this in detail basically, going back a long ways because it's an old organization that's running the world today and their descendents have taken up the slack of course. That's the whole point of being a global elite. They live for their progeny, their own offspring, to make sure that they come into a world which they still dominate and they can control it for basically ever.

So if you buy the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com you can as I say help me tick along here because it's expensive what I do. I don't bring on advertisers as you well know and I certainly could. I might have to eventually because everything is plummeting right now and inflation is going through the roof as the dollar is worth less and less and less, for purchasing power that is. And they say we've got ten more years of this to go. Well it sounds like indefinite because it ties right in with the whole agenda of so-called austerity.

Remember from the U.S. to Canada you can still use personal checks to order the books and discs and you can also use international postal money orders from the post office, you can use Paypal or send cash. Across the world, Western Union, Moneygram, and Paypal to order. Remember straight donations are seriously welcome as we go through very, very hard times.

But the whole idea of monopolization is in your face actually today with companies swallowing up other companies until you have monopolies on food, on farming across the world with the GM boys and you have monopolies on everything. And of course with the media is monopolization of ideas and the news itself. News doesn't mean truth remember, it's just data. And news has always been used for propaganda purposes from its inception. Even the town criers that used to go around England and do their "hear ye, hear ye" stuff and so on with the bell, that was all coming from the royal court and it was all authorized; the guy who said it couldn't change anything at all that he was reading. He couldn't give any personal opinion. He was just to spout out the propaganda to the uneducated masses basically. And that's where we are today. We think we're getting lots of data but we're not. We're getting spins on everything.

As I say we're run by a clique basically, a clique that's been on the go for a long, long, long time. And money controls the world. Every policy depends on money and all the con games involved in money. People get rich by handling other folk's money, not by actually making or producing anything of value. The richest folk handle money and they get in charge of private centralized banks for instance. They have their own private clubs; they meet at the Bank for International Settlements and the IMF. And they live a lifestyle that you would not believe. They don't worry about anything at all. They live in a different reality completely from you or I. They know all the cons because they actually run the cons on money where they raise the value of particular currencies and deflate some other country's money system and then make a fortune, a killing, off the profits that they glean from it all.

The whole thing is for their benefit, not for yours. But it also keeps you all under control. And your governments are constantly prattling on about money and deficits, etcetera, etcetera, and they never explain why the system is the way it is. They will never change anything in the system to stop it. No country is going to start simply taking charge of its own production of money and stop borrowing from private lenders. It won't happen folks. Because you see we're living in the lender's system. They gave you this system. And you've all been taught it's quite natural.

A long time ago they talked in the 1700's in fact about the whole system which already was working across Europe at that time of private banking, central banking, and moneylenders and all the rest of it and how they'd already taken over. They've been behind revolutions in fact for centuries in Europe and they talked about how they could take over a country and use the whole country as one big business. Most folk don't think about it like that. They think oh there are lots of little businesses and little stores, no,

forget it, these big boys look upon all of you and the Gross Domestic Product as their one big business you see. Including the money that they make sure that your government will have to borrow from them. And they also put in today with the Royal Institute of International Affairs, a private organization, Council on Foreign Relations, the same bunch, a private organization, they make sure that they put in their own boys at the top and their own historian Carroll Quigley talked about that. He was in charge of their archives. So the guys at the top are all placed in there by the guys that run the world's money supply.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix. And it truly is a Matrix, isn't it, with so much deception going on. And it's a daily deception too, all your whole life long in fact from mainstream and so on. As I've mentioned before that nothing comes out from the mouths of politicians at the top that isn't scripted for them by the scriptwriters. And the scriptwriters actually are more important because they're trained. Where they're trained we'll never find out but they're all in the same global agenda. They know the agenda. And they know what to write for presidents and prime ministers and that's how really all things are controlled today. You can't expect to get truth from governments. Governments have never been in the business of telling the public the truth. And if you go back into the writings even of Francis Bacon, he talks about that too, when he gave... They used to write sort of résumés to kings and queens across Europe and Machiavelli was one of them too, to show how cunning they were and Bacon was the same too. He actually wrote different things for the king at the time, trying to get in his good books, and he said that the public must never know the real machinations behind things, the real reasons behind things. And give them simplistic reasons, etcetera, etcetera. But it was quite interesting to read that all that time ago being done back then. And I think it's probably been much, much older than even that in fact. It probably goes all the way back to government itself being established.

Now, governments a long, long time ago started off with just a gang of powerful families taking over and killing the ones next to them, the other second-class powerful families and so on, until they ruled countries and then they only ruled countries too to help protect themselves (or protect you they'd say) against some guys over there, that were often their cousins. If you look into the history of Europe all the wars that they had for such a long, long time, it was often cousins of your king fighting cousins you know across the water in charge of another country like France or somewhere. And it was a great game and a good strategy too because it kind of nationalized everything. You're all in it together. That's the standard thing they say about war. And it keeps you all subservient to those that have to save you, you see. It's very good.

But as I say the same boys came in that funded all of this too and said my God you know we can make a fortune on all this war stuff and keep it going for ever and ever and ever with these tactics. And sure enough Britain was at war with so many countries one after another, which is astonishing, especially after the central bank was basically put in there, the Bank of England. And they'd borrow money from all the moneylenders to fight these wars, mind you the taxpayer paid it all back, and it was a fantastic deal for the bankers. And the thing is still going on today of course. Now any government that's a government at all should be going by the same rules that you have to go by. If you can't afford to buy something, don't buy it you see. But that doesn't work with governments; they put you in prison for not standing up to the rules but they don't go to prison themselves, neither do the bankers that scam you twice a century by tradition, by plundering you all. That's the way it really is, isn't it?

And nothing changes of course as they plunder you. They always do a lot of prattling and so on but nothing changes to stop them from doing it again. Naturally they're not going to give themselves up or close that back door that they have there. It's always there because it's their system. But money is power in this system where they control money.

So private organizations own your money system, they own your governments and they own all the big NGOs (Non-Governmental Organizations) that are constantly lobbying government for changes to laws and so on, or going into international agreements. Just agree with everything, sign it, and get on with it because it's all to do with a global takeover you see. Not just global takeover, as I say it's a planned society they want in the future with planned families, certain families, and other ones won't have children at all. You won't be allowed to have children if you're on the bad list. And Sci-Fi writers wrote about this a long, long time ago, the coming system because they got all their information from the futurist societies and the big think tanks that already worked for the big bankers.

So anyway as I say news is data and news can be used to divert you from other things that are happening. That's always been used. And of course they don't want you looking at certain things too because you're not supposed to think about certain things at all in fact. And we find for instance that this drumbeat to finish off Syria was going on actually before Syria was even heard of by the general public. In fact it was going on at the time even before they bombed Iraq into the Stone Age. Because I've mentioned before that the CFR and the PNAC group and all the rest of them talked about the list, the list, the famous list of countries they'd have to take out. So did Israel, they published it all too because they were surrounded by these countries and Israel has its own agenda you see. So we're not supposed to think of that either but of course it has its own agenda. You've grown up and lived with it years after years after years of warfare, warfare, warfare.

But this article here came out in, it was the Guardian I think it came out first of all, actually this one is from the Cleveland Challenger.

“U.S. media suppressed 2009 UN report showing Israel using chemical weapons against Palestinians”

Alan: And I can remember when that happened. The white phosphorus was raining down. You saw it all on television and so on in the clips that you were shown. And yet the media just suddenly clammed up about it. All media at the same time clammed up. And that's quite a powerful thing to do. But some people are more equal than others in such utopias, says George Orwell; it depends who's getting rained down upon, isn't it? But it says here that:

“Few major mainstream American news outlets exposed the sordid details of a 2009 United Nations (UN) fact finding report that revealed how Israel's military illegally aimed chemical missiles at a United Nations Relief & Work Agency (UNRWA) for Palestinian refugees in a 22-day invasion of the Gaza strip that began in 2008 called “Operation Cast Lead.””

Alan: Which means bullets of course.

“As the U.S. and world media watch to learn if claims that President Barack Obama will execute a military strike against Syria, without a vote of Congress or the support of the United Nations, the same media outlets are burying information that suggests preparation for war could be premature. Little media attention is being paid to claims from a United Nations commission that Syrian rebels, not government soldiers under President Bashar al-Assad's control, were responsible for recent chemical weapons attacks that killed over 300 Syrians.”

““During our investigation for crimes against humanity and war crimes, we collect some witness testimony that has made to appear that some chemical weapons were used. In particular, nerve gas,” said Carla del Ponte, a member of the U.N. Independent International Commission of Inquiry on Syria. “What appears to our investigation is that this was used by the opposition, by the rebels. We have no indication at all that the Syrian government have used chemical weapons.””

“What's also questionable is why Obama has drawn a “line in the sand” over highly questionable allegations that Syrian soldiers used chemical weapons when the Israeli military was proven, and officials have admitted, to using chemical warfare to attack a United Nations relief compound. The facility provided shelter and medical attention to Palestinian refugees in 2009.”

“Cleveland Challenger obtained a copy of the 575 page 2009 UN report...”

Alan: And I'll put that up tonight too, the UN report.

"...that a fact finding mission headed by ex-South African Judge Richard Goldstone prepared after an investigation of the events surrounding Operation Cast Lead."

"The Israeli missile and ground assault on the Gaza strip began on December 27, 2008..."

Alan: I mean there was no outrage there. Where's the outrage? Outrage happens when the media gets in and tells you to be outraged because folk can't think for themselves. And it's so easy to get them outraged, just hit emotive topics and use the right words. It's all formula basically. Anyway it says:

"...and ended on January 18, 2009. The attack resulted in an estimated 1100 to 1400 Palestinian deaths. 13 Israeli soldiers were killed. Four died from friendly fire."

Alan: Their own friendly fire.

"The invasion was stimulated by Israel's claims that rockets were being fired at Israeli's by Hamas militants. Three Israeli civilians and one soldier were killed by Hamas' rockets in the days leading up to the assault that led to an estimated \$1.3 billion in damage to Palestinian property and businesses."

Alan: And it goes on and on and on with what Israel did then; they even herded a family, they put 29 people into a house and then they bombed it. Again you're told not to have outrage. And it's a strange thing, this thing, because even when the people see it on television news and so on, unless they're told what to think about it, they've been trained not to think about certain things. It depends who is doing what you see. You've actually been trained from birth and it's ongoing your whole life long. I'll put this article up tonight along with the United Nations report on what happened there.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix. Also this article too came out.

"NSA shares raw intelligence including Americans' data with Israel"

Alan: And it's also got the agreement, the U.S. agreement. I'll put that up tonight too with Israel on this sharing.

- "Secret deal places no legal limits on use of data by Israelis"

Alan: On American citizens.

- "Only official US government communications protected"
- "Agency insists it complies with rules governing privacy"

Alan: You see they make exceptions for different peoples and countries. And as I say I'll put the NSA and Israel's memorandum of understanding up tonight too, the link for it.

"The agreement for the US to provide raw intelligence data to Israel was reached in principle in March 2009, the document shows."

"The National Security Agency routinely shares raw intelligence data with Israel without first sifting it to remove information about US citizens, a top-secret document provided to the Guardian by whistleblower Edward Snowden reveals.

Details of the intelligence-sharing agreement are laid out in a memorandum of understanding between the NSA and its Israeli counterpart that shows the US government handed over intercepted communications likely to contain phone calls and emails of American citizens. The agreement places no legally binding limits on the use of the data by the Israelis.

The disclosure that the NSA agreed to provide raw intelligence data to a foreign country contrasts with assurances from the Obama administration that there are rigorous safeguards to protect the privacy of US citizens caught in the dragnet. The intelligence community calls this process "minimization", but the memorandum makes clear that the information shared with the Israelis would be in its pre-minimized state."

Alan: So they're getting the raw data.

"The deal was reached in principle in March 2009, according to the undated memorandum, which lays out the ground rules for the intelligence sharing. The five-page memorandum, termed an agreement

between the US and Israeli intelligence agencies “pertaining to the protection of US persons”, repeatedly stresses the constitutional rights of Americans to privacy and the need for Israeli intelligence staff to respect these rights. But this is undermined by the disclosure that Israel is allowed to receive “raw Sigint”—

Alan: It’s called.

“...signal intelligence. The memorandum says: “Raw Sigint includes, but is not limited to, unevaluated and unminimized transcripts, gists, facsimiles, telex, voice and Digital Network Intelligence metadata and content.” According to the agreement, the intelligence being shared would not be filtered in advance by NSA analysts to remove US communications. “NSA routinely sends ISNU (the Israeli Sigint National Unit) minimized and unminimized raw collection”, it says.

Although the memorandum is explicit in saying the material had to be handled in accordance with US law, and that the Israelis agreed not to deliberately target Americans identified in the data, these rules are not backed up by legal obligations.”

Alan: There was an article that came out a few years ago about Israelis going across the world by Mossad teams just knocking folk off in all countries so I guess the same thing will be happening in the U.S.

“In a statement to the Guardian, an NSA spokesperson...”

Alan: In other words, a propaganda specialist

“...did not deny that personal data about Americans was included in raw intelligence data shared with the Israelis. But the agency insisted that the shared intelligence complied with all rules governing privacy.”

Alan: {Laughs} So they’ve covered every base by just passing rules and laws that allows them to do it. So they’ve broken no laws. That’s what government does, doesn’t it? When you know that they’re breaking their own laws or even the U.S. and the constitution, they just make some law to bypass it. It’s like when the U.S. Congress gave themselves the right to use insider trading data for personal gain. And that’s what they did, they just gave themselves, they passed a law and made themselves exempt from prosecutions.

And this one too, the full document, I'll put it up tonight as I say, "NSA and Israeli intelligence: memorandum of understanding", the full document.

And also it comes out in Ars Technica.

"New York Times provides new details about NSA backdoor in crypto spec"

Alan: Specifications.

"The paper points a finger definitively at the long-suspected Dual_EC_DRBG algorithm."

"Today, the New York Times reported that an algorithm for generating random numbers, which was adopted in 2006 by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), contains a backdoor for the NSA. The news followed a NY Times report from last week, which indicated that the National Security Agency (NSA) had circumvented widely used (but then-unnamed) encryption schemes by placing backdoors in the standards that are used to implement the encryption.

In 2007, cryptographers Niels Ferguson and Dan Shumow presented research suggesting that there could be a potential backdoor in the Dual_EC_DRBG algorithm..."

Alan: And it goes on and on and on.

"Today, the NY Times says that internal memos leaked by Edward Snowden confirm that the NSA generated..."

Alan: This particular algorithm.

"Publicly, however, the agency's role in development was significantly underbilled: "In publishing the standard, NIST acknowledged 'contributions' from NSA, but not primary authorship," wrote the NY Times. From there, the NSA pushed the International Organization for Standardization to adopt the algorithm, calling it "a challenge in finesse" to convince the organization's leadership. "Eventually, NSA became the sole editor" of the international standard, according to one classified memo seen by the NY Times."

Alan: And it goes on and on and on. This is the new freedom they talk about, you see. The new freedom is the freedom that if you can actually generate an original thought and figure things out and be able to converse to other people or convey what you actually know, then you've broken through, but most folk

can't break out you see. Brzezinski said this back in his book in the 1970's, "Between Two Ages", he said the public will be unable to think for themselves when they watch the media and the news, they'll expect the media to do their reasoning for them. And they do, they give you your opinions, even though most of it's nonsense.

So anyway here you have it. This is the new freedom and if you have the ability to be free and think for yourself, good luck to you because most folk can't unfortunately. They're so well trained and conditioned. And I mean conditioned. You're trained from childhood not to even look at certain topics. And certain words set you back to default, rather than look through them and say well maybe I should think about this more. You won't do it because you're set by default Pavlovian style. Sunstein goes on about that technique quite often in fact.

Also tonight too, this, {Laughs} the new freedom again.

"Apple iPhone 5S: Big Brother Dream Come True"

"The latest series of Apple's iPhone will not only continue to cultivate numerous apps that track your location through GPS and transmit data directly back to corporations and government, but contain a fingerprint sensor that stores your fingerprint in order to purchase apps and unlock the phone for use."

"And that's really just the beginning. As millions will most likely continue through the Apple food chain and purchase this phone, the NSA and bloated federal government at large will be beyond ecstatic. Because after all, it's a real dream come true for the..."

Alan: Big Brother or...

"...Big Daddy government spy state. No longer will you actually need to be arrested to gather your fingerprints — we're talking about millions nationwide willingly submitting their biometrics to a database that most certainly is accessible by Apple and big government."

Alan: Well it is true.

"But don't worry, the same company that has given away all of your chats and personal data through the NSA's top secret PRISM program says that you're perfectly safe. Security experts and high level tech analysts, however, seem to disagree. In addition to the fact that it seems consumer trust is all but dead in regards to Apple and its ties to the spying grid, it seems these 'safety' features are actually quite

vulnerable in reality. To the point now where hackers can access a massive database of fingerprints just waiting to be taken and utilized fraudulently.”

Alan: Well maybe your country and Apple is just handing them over to other countries, who knows. They probably are.

“Of course Apple claims that the fingerprint scans will be ‘local’ on your hardware, but of course the NSA and FBI would not let such a precious database go to waste. And we already know that corporations are making big bucks spying on the data of consumers. So in the event that Apple is ‘holding’ some of these fingerprints despite what they say (the print is also used to verify app purchases, which leads me to believe that this at least would be stored through a third party), a database of the fingerprints could be compromised. And don’t think encryption will stop much.”

Alan: And then it goes onto...

“According to a security specialist on CNET:

“So ... can biometric authentication be hacked? Almost certainly. I’m sure that someone with a good enough copy of your fingerprint and some rudimentary materials engineering capability – or maybe just a good enough printer – can authenticate his way into your iPhone... The final problem with biometric systems is the database. If the system is centralized, there will be a large database of biometric information that’s vulnerable to hacking.””

Alan: Well that’s the whole point of it, isn’t it? Mind you too I mean even in some of the movies they’ve been showing us for years and years and years you see all these spy movies and you’ll see them making these little latex fingerprints of someone else’s fingerprints from a glass or whatever and using the fingerprints. There are so many ways you can do these things, isn’t there? I mean that’s just the way it’s set up to be actually.

Also in Australia...

“Asylum seeker policy sends population of private jails soaring”

“Prisoners in private prisons have increased more than 90 per cent since...”

Alan: They privatized it in...

"...1998"

Alan: A 90% increase in the inmates; it's a profitable industry isn't it?

"Australia has a higher proportion of prisoners in privately run jails than any other nation in the world thanks to its asylum seeker policy, a report says."

Alan: You know there is nothing that they can't make money off. All money comes from people one way or another for the big boys at the top.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. This is Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about how all, Marx said it too, and Marx did have a lot of good points too mind you because he knew all the top people who ran the banks. So he knew all the scams that were going on but he said all, basically all, wealth comes from labor of some kind. In other words at the bottom from the ones who make things because you're taxed silly you see and all the rest of it. Then the boys at the top profit from you and so on and so on and so on. And your government also acts like a, they collect the taxes and hand it to the private corporations, the biggies you see all across the whole planet now because we're global. Anyway it says here that:

"The population in Australia's privately run prisons has increased 95 per cent in the past 15 years."

Alan: Now why has it done that? It's because they've handed it over, the prison systems, to handle these asylum seekers and there were 28,711 prisoners just in 2011 it said. Why are there so many asylum seekers? Because you see these wars across the world in the Middle East and so on are driving folk out into other countries, the Western countries and also to Australia as well. And that helps the multicultural global aspect too. That's all part of the whole agenda folks. And then of course the guys who want the private prisons to handle this, work this out long before they start the wars even, to make money off this in a whole bunch of ways you'd never even dream of. That's how it's done. That's really how it's done.

Also the so-called...

"BRICS..."

Alan: The BRICS nations.

“...to set up a \$100 billion fund to weather currency storms”

Alan: So supposedly and this is the con of it too...

“The need for BRICS — Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa — to create a contingent reserve arrangement (CRA) to counter a sudden outflow of foreign capital is difficult to understand.”

Alan: Well you understand we're already under the World Trade Organization and the Bank for International Settlements, all run again by the same private bankers that run all the central banks and so on. They own them all. And the BRICS countries are being conned into thinking they can create one separate you see, but it will be the same guys at the top I guarantee you through front agents that own all their ones as well. They've been at this for centuries these characters you know.

“Brics, an odd grouping of countries with little in common other than the fact they are not yet first world, is trying to replicate a multilateral institutional arrangement when a time- and crisis-tested one already exists, namely the International Monetary Fund.”

Alan: I guarantee you it will be run by the same boys. You can't win in this system until you look at the problem. You can't win. You will never get out of it until you look at the problem and discuss it openly.

Also in Britain it says:

“95pc mortgages ‘not weapons of mass destruction’, Chancellor...”

Alan: They call him the Chancellor, the guy who is in charge of the cash...

“...George Osborne says”

So:

“He insists large home loans are part of a “healthy market” and “aspirational society”.”

Alan: Well for the ultra wealthy they are.

"George Osborne has used a speech in which he hailed the wider recovery of the UK economy to fight back at critics of his housing policies. Several Government schemes have aimed to get lenders to increase mortgage availability and affordability. Most controversial of all has been Help to Buy, whereby the Government underwrites high loan-to-value mortgages."

Alan: For very, very expensive places.

"This removes some of the risk from lenders and enables them to offer cheap loans to borrowers with small deposits."

Alan: How can you have an honest government or even call it your government when your government is working with the top bankers and making the laws to help the top bankers plunder you, huh?

"The model has drawn criticism from all quarters including Business Secretary Vince Cable and even some lenders themselves."

Alan: Who are probably left out in the cold you see.

"But the Chancellor said on Monday that the average loan-to-value (LTV) ratio for first-time homebuyers had fallen from 90pc to 80pc. "That is why the Government's Help to Buy scheme is a sensible, time-limited and necessary financial intervention to fix a specific financial problem: the dramatic reduction in the availability of high LTV mortgages," he said."

Alan: So that's what government's in the business of doing. And they always have been by the way. Every politician as they're going up the ladder clues in to how the system is working, there's all these hints and they drop names around them and so on of who's running the country, really running the country. And they know how to play the game you understand. And all this democracy stuff is a good con. It's a good con, otherwise you'd have revolutions every five or ten years you see; it stopped all revolutions and rebellions. And that's what they call the parallel government, they make sure that they put their own boys in at the top of all parties, left, right, whatever, it doesn't matter.

This article too...

"A science-based rebuttal to global warming alarmism"

"CHICAGO, September 10, 2013 — On September 23, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)..."

Alan: That lying institution at the United Nations.

"...is scheduled to release the first portion of its Fifth Assessment Report (AR5)."

"AR5 will conclude once again that mankind is causing dangerous climate change. But one week prior on September 17, the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC) will release its second report, titled Climate Change Reconsidered II (CCR-II)."

"My advance review of CCR-II shows it to be a powerful scientific counter to the theory of man-made global warming."

"Today, 193 of 194 national heads of state say they believe humans are causing dangerous climate change. The IPCC of the United Nations has been remarkably successful in convincing the majority of the world that greenhouse gas emissions must be drastically curtailed for humanity to prosper."

Alan: Well don't forget this is another big con by the same big banking boys who helped draft up all these laws to do with carbon taxes and all the rest of it so that they would profit from it. And even Lord Rothschild put a law through in Britain that the family private bank in Switzerland would handle all these carbon taxes, so a massive amount of money will go through from the whole world through his private central bank. It's not a bad deal, eh? But it's also to bring you under, into austerity so governments can have more agencies and so on and literally as they want to do and have always wanted to do, raise you from birth to death basically with government agencies running your lives minutely. So anyway it says:

"Today, 193 of 194 national heads of state say they believe humans are causing dangerous climate change. The IPCC was established in 1988 by the World Meteorological Organization and the United Nations Environmental Program."

Alan: Now don't forget all these big foundations, Rockefeller Brothers Foundation, and so on, they've all been the guys that have been helping set that up for a long, long time ago to rule the world properly you see. Because it's not properly ruled right now by these guys at the top and they must convince the public that you're to blame for everything, standard technique, and give you lots and lots of propaganda. The so-called scientists that are onboard with it are getting massive paychecks and grants and they're never going to give up this lie. Never. No way at all. And it's been used for political purposes and for changing societies and so on and to enrich those who are already stinking rich and give them even

more power over the planet and all of us. That's what it's about folks. I mean Pachauri has been caught in so many lies, the head of the IPCC, and all he was, he is not a scientist; he was an engineer for a railroad company. I mean, you know, in other words a driver, who got the job and in his spare time he turns out all these porn novels. I mean these are the characters they pick up. They have no morals at all and can be easily used to enhance themselves financially and so on. And by the way he is too. Pachauri is always raking in the cash and putting all these grants towards private businesses he's involved with. Everything is a racket folks. Everything is a damned racket.

And also they're trying to pass that big tax in the EU bloc country, the new Soviet bloc. The new updated Soviet bloc, it's better, it's more super than the old Soviet bloc because they're putting things through there which the old Soviet bloc didn't even get to. It's an advanced form of communism so as that the elite could live awfully well at the top, just like they did in Russia, but even better. But it says:

"Imposing a financial transaction tax (FTT) in 11 European Union member states would be illegal, according to the bloc's lawyers. The controversial tax aims to discourage risk-taking by taxing transactions of shares, currencies and bonds."

Alan: They actually want to do it for everything, even your checks, folks. That's where it's going to go. Every check that you write and so on, every e-transfer for a bill or a payment or whatever is going to be taxed folks. You always lose at the bottom. It never goes to what you think it's going to go to, never, ever. It doesn't happen that way.

Also this article too. And it's from the Washington Times.

"On September 23 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is scheduled to meet.

Alan: And so on. It talks about the con, the con of it, it goes more into how they created this gold standard of climate science. And it's in quotation marks, "Gold Standard". And it's quoted by all governments of the world, etcetera, and how they pulled all this off. And the guys who are involved in it, Al Gore and so on, and all these characters who already have set up their organizations that are raking in money for carbon taxes, etcetera, etcetera. What a racket. Everything is a racket, isn't it? Medicine is a racket. Everything is a racket, folks. Government is a racket. You've got to use your own minds as Albert Pike said, those who won't use it are steak on the table and beasts of burden by choice and consent. And he was the Pope of Freemasonry behind the big revolutions.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on September the 12th, 2013. I always ask newcomers to make sure they use the website cuttingthroughthematrix.com because you can spend an awful long time going through well over a thousand audios for free download. I go through the history of the system we're in, and it truly is a remarkable system. It's been on the go for an awful long time. It's above politics. It's above democracy. It's a parallel government, you might say, that was set up a long time ago by the richest folk in the world at that time, and their offspring of course take over in the generations to come, to the present. Because they believe they are the top of the evolved species of man, at the top basically. They wrote books about it a hundred years ago, and even before that too, to say that all those who could make it to the top – through finances and proper marrying, meaning having yourself mated up with someone simply to produce children, from a similar kind of background, wealthy, wealthy families and so on, and having children that won't wander off and do odd things and squander the cash – they had proved, by holding onto their cash for generations, that they were the fittest to survive. They tied it in with Darwinism of course. It's the same philosophy that's on the go today. It's very strong indeed and it's definitely alive.

So they set up foundations to run the world, tax-exempt foundations. They actually create armies, thousands of them, NGO armies across the world to lobby all governments along the same path, the same agenda, to do with going into austerity to save the world, greening to save the world, taxing everybody into austerity to save the world, and so on and so on, all on behalf of the richest people on the planet. They also want a global society which they can run properly. In other words, they don't want you simply growing up and saying what you want to be or do. They want to decide themselves, number one, if they need you to be born, and if they do they'll make sure that you're raised the proper way, and you're awfully obedient to the system, you won't know much more outside of your expertise. Because education, the school-to-work idea, was an old Soviet idea, Pavlov came up with it. He said that, you can only train them for what they need to know for their particular employment, and that's good enough.

So we're going through an old system, which is catching up to its goal of a world government, global government and the big boys themselves of course, who own most of the big international corporations that you'll ever hear of, belong to these private clubs, especially the Royal Institute of International Affairs and Council on Foreign Relations. They own the big banks as well of course. They set up the Bank for

International Settlements. They set up the IMF (International Monetary Fund). ALL private. And they set up the World Bank too. So their goal was to bring in the world with an economic system running them all and the BIS (Bank for International Settlements) would run all the private central banks, properly, you see, and scam the loot from the top.

So we're going through big, big changes of course and society is always being trained for it; that's what the media's there for, is to insert the handouts given to them by the big corporations and foundations and governments, right into the paper, and you read it thinking it's news. So you're being trained constantly to go along with the upcoming agenda as you go through it, and most folk unfortunately swallow it all, and never think about it at all. After all, if you're kept naïve why would you think someone's wanting to lie to you? Why should they lie to you? It's absurd, isn't it? And it's only because you're naïve, you've been trained to be that way. You expect the media to do your reasoning for you, and that's what Brzezinski said; they have trained you for that too.

So help yourself to cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Remember too, you can get the books and discs from me; that's all I have there actually. I don't sell anything else and I don't take on advertisers to sponsor me and pay and so on. Otherwise I'd live awfully well. But I kind of scrape by, hoping that you will buy the books and discs and so on. To do so you can... [Order and donation options listed above.] Straight donations are seriously welcome as we go through the planned inflation.

I've always said so many times, that nothing is omitted in this whole system on any large scale. Everything is planned that way. Everything is planned. Wars are planned many, many years in advance, minutely. Every possible action to come, counter-action that could come out of it and so on, that's all planned in war game strategy by generals and so on at the top, long before, years sometimes before they'll ever go to war with any particular country. Even to do with the backlash of the public, will they go for this, how will the propaganda be put on the public to see how they'll... You know, all these things are worked out way, way, way in advance. So there's nothing new under the sun, it's always really been like this. It's only more perfected today with scientists, behaviorists, psychologists, anthropologists even, working on board with all the big government teams in the Pentagon and so on and so on and so on. So that's how it really works. Nothing comes out of the blue as a surprise.

Most folk are trained, as I say, to really believe the media, the way it's put across to you and the way that you accept your opinions. Because you see, the media leads you, they guide you to the opinions they know you must come to, like a computer, giving it certain information, you must come to this particular opinion, you see, this result. And it's quite an easy technique. So as I say, I go through all of that too, and it's good to see that other people have taken the stuff I've been promoting for years and using it and putting it out there, some in an awfully big way.

We find, for instance, that the Royal Institute of International Affairs were the boys who sent Lord Grey over to the US in the early 20th century. Part of his job was to work with Colonel Mandell House to make sure they brought in a private central banking system, the Federal Reserve, into the United States; that was his main mission. And the group was very successful in doing that. You even find it in Mandell House's own writings; he talked about that and he took the credit for doing it. Even though President Wilson was supposedly the president, the number one man is never the boss. It's the guy behind him that's the boss. Because Mandell House also pushed Wilson to help set up the League of Nations, because the society at that time was called the Alfred Milner group, Lord Alfred Milner, that changed its name to the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Milner wanted the global society to be run and brought in through warfare, and then peace tables of course after warfare, and then amalgamating the economies together, and amalgamating the money system into one big global banking system. And that's what we're going through today actually.

Don't forget that the US was used mightily on this because Britain was already crashing, with all of its ongoing wars, since they created the private bank there, the Bank of England actually. They had one war after another, the debt was accumulating, they were running out of even their middle classes, in wars, especially after World War I. They killed off so many of their middle class young men too, as officers and so on, that they were going to fail. That was planned ahead too. So the US had to take over, and take over the old Empire, and further the ambitions of the Empire into a global system. So Mandell House told Wilson that he should push for the League of Nations, which was the precursor of the United Nations. They wanted this to be the embryo, the prototype for a world government, you see.

Today we find it's fairly interesting that the United Nations, as the US has been exposed in so many ways, it will tie in too, to give more power to the United Nations, because the public across the world are sick, just sick of ongoing wars from the US. So they can get the United Nations up to its full power, just like they said that they were putting up the IMF to its full power, the World Bank, and the Bank for International Settlements, all at this time, all going to their full power, that they were designed to go to a long time ago. It's all happening now, folks. So it's awfully, awfully interesting to watch this going on.

Plus, of course, the big bankers that are always in charge of everything, and in charge of these foundations, and the global society they're bringing in, they also want... This is also a war of resources. Back in the 70s they come out with, in fact even in the 60s some of the intelligence guys were writing books on this, the coming resource wars, where they'd find excuses to plunder countries, especially the Arabian countries, to plunder all their oil and minerals and so on. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about a little bit of how this system came to be. And talking about the beginnings of the League of Nations all the way down to the United Nations, and further too because naturally the big boys didn't want to stop there. They wanted to take over a global society, and destroy almost all cultures, but one would be left. But they would destroy all cultures with multiculturalism too, to lose their nationalistic flavor; that was the whole point of it too you see. Then they would run the world peacefully and happily, as the big bankers naturally will always do.

This article here ties in with this too because if you go into the history of, say, the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the Lord Alfred Milner group and so on, it was so well organized, their organization, because they had an inner party and an outer party – just like George Orwell; that's where he got his idea from. The inner party met in secret and they did not, just like high Masons do, they don't tell the higher secrets to the lower Masons. The same thing with the inner party, and only they knew the true agenda, or the machinations they were up to with creating wars across the world, way back then. The outer party believes and do it on faith, and plus they get well rewarded too; if you're a good member and you do whatever you're told you'll go up the ladder, you see. So nothing's really changed to the present day. But they also had sections which they called groups, and they had groups of fours that worked on certain aspects of the world's economy and so on, groups of five, groups of sevens and so on. That's where the Group of 8 [G8] and the Group of 20 [G20] comes from as well, by the way. It's the same

organization running the world today. So you find there's an article here for instance, it's a good article and it says...

Emerging market pain dominates G20 economy talks

reuters.com / Tetsushi Kajimoto and Katya Golubkova / Sep 5, 2013

St. Petersburg, Russia (Reuters) – Emerging and developed G20 powers struggled to find common ground on Thursday over the turmoil unleashed by the prospect of the United States reducing a flood of dollars to the world economy. (Alan: ...you see. So right off the bat they're pushing, we need something to get more power, to say who runs the world's money system, which of course is the Bank for International Settlements, to give it more and more power. In other words, we can't go on the old way and so on.)

The Group of 20, which united in response to global crisis in 2009, now faces a U.S. economy picking up, Europe lagging and developing economies facing blowback from the looming 'taper' of the Federal Reserve's monetary stimulus.

"Our main task is returning the global economy towards steady and balanced growth. (A: Now, this is all nonsense and lies because these are the same boys that know they have to bring you into austerity. These are the same boys, by the way, who drafted up the treaty for the unification of Europe into a super Soviet. These are the same guys with the American branch, the Council on Foreign Relations, that drafted up the paper that the presidents of Canada and Mexico and the US have been signing for years now, for integration. Same thing. They wanted three main trading blocs, and they run them all; this is the whole idea behind it. So they pretend there's a crisis there, because there appears to be when they say so, and of course they always have their solution, that we need a global governance system to manage it all. And they even said in their own writings that eventually the power of the purse will be the final thing that will bring all of this in.)

"Our main task is returning the global economy towards steady and balanced growth. (A: ...which is nonsense. We've been deindustrialized. These are the same boys, by the way, who created the World Trade Organization to set up China as being THE manufacturer for the planet, you see.)

Leaders signed off on a jobs and growth initiative, as well as steps to combat international tax evasion and tighten financial regulation. But concerns persisted that renewed market turbulence could hit developing economies hardest. (A: They really worry about the poor, these guys, you know.)

"Systemic risks, the conditions for an acute crisis relapse, persist," Putin said. (A: ...because Putin attended it too.)

The summit was overshadowed by great-power tensions over the Syria crisis, with leaders addressing security matters over dinner after their traditional debate on a world economy that is doing slightly better than a year ago. (A: ... so they say. Maybe it is for them, mind you.)

Anyway, I'll put this up tonight to show you that this is all one organization, that has different names for different areas. It's been on the go for a hundred years, and they have branches across every country in the world that advise governments on all policies. All policies, folks. And they're still on the go towards their final goal. These are the same guys too, who had their top think tanks tell them, years ago, they'd have to reduce the world's population too, because they wouldn't need all the laboring classes down the road, and they would bring in high tech, machines and robots and so on to serve them better, or they could reengineer humanity, genetically, to serve them better. Quite something. And did you vote? Did you get a vote? Did any country out there? for the creation of this private club of your elected presidents and prime ministers? It's a private club, folks, giving themselves the power to make global laws and so on. Nobody did. Of course you didn't. Because there is no democracy. There never was. So I'll put this up tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com.

Also, for those who can remember, about the former CIA Milan station chief...

Ex-CIA official seeks pardon in Italy over rendition

reuters.com / Steve Scherer / Sep 12, 2013

(Reuters) – Former CIA Milan station chief Robert Seldon Lady (A: ...is his name, Seldon Lady... He was arrested for kidnapping people, extraordinary rendition – which is normal, it's not extraordinary at all; it's very common. But he did it without permission and so on and he got caught by the Italian authorities and he was sentenced to nine years in prison.) has asked Italy's president to pardon him for kidnapping an Egyptian Muslim cleric under the U.S. "extraordinary rendition" program for which he was sentenced to nine years in prison.

Lady was among 23 Americans convicted for snatching Hassan Mustafa Osama Nasr from a street in Milan in 2003 and whisking him away to be questioned in Egypt.

The Italian trial was the first of its kind against such "renditions" practiced by former U.S. President George W. Bush's administration in the wake of the September 11 attacks on Washington and New York. (A: ...of course Obama stepped it up.)

Lady was tried in absentia and first convicted in 2009. Last year his final appeal was rejected.

Lady's lawyer Tom Spencer and President Giorgio Napolitano's office confirmed on Thursday that a pardon request had been made.

So here they go. I mean, these are big teams, you know, and this is to snatch one guy. They have them across the whole planet now. They'll be doing it at home too, I'm sure. And folk get disappeared all the time, don't they? Cause a problem, disappear – this is becoming more and more common, and you're getting used to it too. We're all being trained to accept these things, because there is no outrage. There's no natural inside outrage that comes out of you. If you're outraged it's because the media tells you to be. But we never get outraged about things, which we can come to our own conclusions about. We never do that because most folk don't come to their own conclusions unfortunately; you've all been trained. You've all been trained.

And also, I mean, Europe, I can remember when they forced the votes, time after time, on the different countries in Europe to join this EU nondemocratic superpower, this big brand-new Soviet system, nondemocratic again. And all the lies they told the public about, oh it's just free trade, it's just free trade, that was all it was. By the way, getting back to the Alfred Milner group, they were the guys who came up and said that their spiel would be free trade, that's how they would sell this to the public. Anyway it says here that...

UK is Europe's 'addictions capital', Says think tank

bbc.co.uk / 1 September 2013

(A: This is how far Britain's gone after joining it. By this time they were supposed to be walking around in togas, doing very little work because machines would be doing it all and they'd be so wealthy and happy, etc. etc. that they would need more and more entertainment and recreation. That was in the papers many, many, many years ago as they plugged the lies, plugged the lies. And what have they done with it? Europe's addictions capital, and the UK is the worst it says.)

The UK has become the drug and alcohol “addictions capital of Europe”, a think tank has warned.

The Centre for Social Justice – set up by Work and Pensions Secretary Iain Duncan Smith – said drink and drug abuse cost the UK £36bn a year.

Its report warned that the UK has become a hub for websites peddling potentially dangerous “legal highs”.

The CSJ also criticised the government for failing to tackle heroin addiction and cheaply available alcohol. (A: This is what they wanted to put on the people, folks. They got what they wanted. They're dysfunctional now, they're no problem. Back after this.)

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about the system. There's only one system and it's worldwide. And it takes countries up, makes empires of them, and then collapses them too, down through the ages; this is standard technique. And when you want to collapse an Empire there are many ways to do it, but first you must destroy culture. The culture gives you what you think of as nationalism. They don't want nationalism, you see, for most countries – there is an exception, but for other countries no, you can't have nationalism. That makes it easy prey for themselves to exploit, you see. But what they do is, what they've done actually in many countries is, even back in the 60s they came out during the big music era, that they came out with rock 'n roll and all the rest of it, they brought in the drugs. They brought in the drugs and the hippies. And the hippies, too, were part of the whole agenda – they didn't know it themselves; everyone gets used, you know – and, drugs were good, they said. And the BBC pushed it from the top down; they always interviewed guys who would be falling off their chairs, guys that were supposed to be rock stars, literally falling off their chairs, and then the interviewer would turn to the camera and tee-hee hee-hee as though it was all funny, it was all okay. And the youngsters saw that, these were the people they emulate, their idols, and that's what kicked it off. Now you've got a few

generations passed and here you have the UK being the drug and alcohol addictions capital of Europe. So that was all planned that way. It also let a lot of people come in from India. I can remember meeting a lot of them in different places, and these guys were stinking rich. They were buying up whole streets in Edinburgh, Glasgow and throughout Scotland, slumlords they called them because these places really should've been condemned. But they also were bringing in the big, big drugs too, and all kinds of drugs as well. That was all permitted as well for them to come in like that, en masse. Anyway it says...

Its report warned that the UK has become a hub for websites peddling potentially dangerous "legal highs".

The CSJ also criticised the government for failing to tackle heroin addiction and cheaply available alcohol.

The report, No Quick Fix, found that last year 52 people in England and Wales died after taking legal highs, up from 28 the previous year. (A: That's not all the ones with the so-called legal ones.)

The substances, sometimes referred to as club drugs and including Salvia and Green Rolex, are often marketed as bath salts (A: We've heard about that in the States.) or research chemicals.

(A: But here's the loophole they left in for Britain...) But the drugs can be sold legally as long as they are clearly marked "not for human consumption", (A: Well, what kind of consumption is it meant for, hey?) but have been known to cause permanent bladder damage, blood poisoning and death.

According to the Centre for Social Justice (CSJ), there are now more than 130 UK-registered websites selling the products cheaply by mail order – making postal service workers unwitting drug mules.

Well it's all designed that way, folks, or they would have stopped it ages ago, if they wanted to. If they wanted to. If you go into countries that are called failed countries, they've already been demolished, you'll find when their cultures and so on are destroyed, you'll find the same thing. You'll find in a lot of American Indian areas, where they live together, the children are sniffing glue all the time, or sniffing gasoline, anything to get this high. And of course the glue stuff rots their brains and everything else. But that's what they do, you become nihilistic and you end up doing yourselves in. Darwin talked about it too. It's nothing new, it's been done before down through the centuries of course. But this is what happens when countries don't know what they are anymore, their culture's totally destroyed, they have corruption daily in the news, that nothing happens about the corruption at the top, and they simply become despondent and nihilistic, as I say, as youngsters and they just do themselves in for escapism. This is the big plan of course, then you're very easily managed. They did not want the same people who could come together in the past and be awfully strong, to be strong at this particular time. They don't want that at all.

Also, again, from the United Nations... You'll hear more and more from the United Nations as they keep pushing themselves to the forefront as the arbitrator of all the world's problems, you see. This is part of the agenda. And a lot of that power will be given, I'm sure, to them because of this fracas with the US and Syria and all the rest of it. It's all worked out that way. Anyway it says...

UK 'shocking' bedroom tax (A: This is the new tax there in Britain.)

should be axed, says UN

rt.com / September 11, 2013

Social housing in the UK is deteriorating and “is almost a lottery” argues UN housing expert Raquel Rolnik. Her claims that the ‘shocking’ bedroom tax affects the most vulnerable citizens drew fire from the Tories who called her report ‘a disgrace’. (A: In other words, that’s the standard rubbish you get in Britain, the Tories and so on, and the Liberals and all the rest of them.)

The United Nations special investigator on housing has called on the British government to scrap its unpopular bedroom tax, officially known as the spare room subsidy, after hearing “shocking” accounts of how the policy is affecting some of Britain’s most vulnerable people.

The bedroom tax was introduced by the coalition government last April in a bid to save money from the £24 billion a year housing benefit bill. (A: See, it’s totally socialist. You see. Create socialism and you always get to this stage. This is the purpose of socialism, folks. It has a purpose, and it’s not what most folk think, that follow it.)

Raquel Rolnik, a former urban planning minister for Brazil, and now the UN’s rapporteur on adequate housing, said Britain’s previously good record of providing housing to poorer people in society is being eroded by successive government’s failure to provide sufficient and affordable social housing. (A: Well there’s nothing really affordable anymore, except, you know, the bath salts and the drugs. Actually, a lot of them are getting cheaper apparently.)

Also too, you find that the US has its tentacles into every country, just like Britain did, you see, when it was at the head of the Empire, and the world policeman as they like to call it. It sounds better than being, you know, a tyrant conquering across the planet, so world policeman sounds better. But it says...

The big three: Sweden reacts to report

of intel cooperation with NSA, GCHQ [of Britain]

rt.com / September 7, 2013 / Reuters / Nigel Roddis

(A: Well of course it is, they've been in there for a long time.)

Sweden's leaders came forward to express deep concern after a report alleged that the country's spy agency has close ties with the NSA and GCHQ, and is the third major partner in surveillance cooperation. (A: Well so is Germany and every other country there. And apart from that, even if they don't join in these things, the NSA just hacks them all anyway; it knows all your data. So I won't say much more about that.)

Also this article too says...

Syria Intelligence Being Manipulated EVEN MORE than in Iraq War

washingtonsblog.com / September 9, 2013

(A: Of course all the intelligence is manipulated. Intelligence agents MAKE the propaganda, you see.)

The Obama administration is manipulating intelligence about Syria in exactly the same ways that the Bush administration did to justify the Iraq war.

Specifically, the Obama administration is copying Bush's playbook of trying to kick UN weapons inspectors out of the target country so they can't finish their investigation.

The Bush administration also famously "stove piped" information from the war hawks directly to the president – cutting out the normal vetting process by intelligence agencies.

Likewise, IPS reports today:

Contrary to the general impression in Congress and the news media, the Syria chemical warfare intelligence summary released by the Barack Obama administration Aug. 30 did not represent an intelligence community assessment, an IPS analysis and interviews with former intelligence officials reveals.

The evidence indicates that Director of National Intelligence James Clapper culled intelligence analyses from various agencies and by the White House itself, but that the White House itself had the final say in the contents of the document. (A: So, they make up the propaganda and the intelligence to justify the war, the same as Bush did too. So things are going to plan of course.)

You find too that countries that go totally socialist, and Scotland had no say in the matter of course. You had this fake party that came in called the Scottish Nationalist Party; it sounded awfully good to Scotland that's never had a nation and a say in anything for a couple of hundred years. And in comes the Scottish National Party, and they're doing exactly the same thing as all the crooks in socialist countries do. I mean, I don't care if it's socialist or not, or capitalist, socialist, whatever you want to call it, it's all the same, folks – the crooks rise to the top. And here they are, it says they're accused of using

taxpayer cash to buy land, for their pals. Well, there's nothing new in that, is there? You go down through history like that.

Apology demanded over Lamont's SNP land deal claim

scotsman.com / ANDREW WHITAKER / 12 September 2013

The SNP government was accused of using taxpayers cash to buy a piece of land for £840,000 from leading businessman, before selling it back to him for just £50,000 at the First Ministers questions today.

Labour leader Johann Lamont claimed that Alex Salmond's government had presided over the land deals in Glasgow with John McGlynn, who said he's likely to back independence.

Ms Lamont suggested that there had been "gross incompetence" over the use of taxpayers' cash in the deal with Mr McGlynn, founder of the Airlink Group, who previously backed the Tories.

The Labor leader said that the land that had been previously set aside for the shelved Glasgow Airport rail link had seen Mr McGlynn handed something for next to nothing. (A: Well, that's how they do it. When Margaret Thatcher was in I had to laugh all the time because her little guy, Dennis, that was always trotting along beside her, was into real estate, big real estate projects, especially for selling to military purposes and so on. Maggie Thatcher was one of the people at the top who was deciding what land the government was going to buy for various military projects, you see. And guess who always got the first dibs at it? Well, her husband Dennis. And they jacked the prices up like you wouldn't believe, and got rich. This is the real world, folks. It stinks. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, here we are Cutting Through The Matrix. You'll find that the media, of course, has always been used, and owned, by the big boys themselves. There's lots of data on that, on how they got Britain into World War II even, by the Royal Institute of International Affairs. They got their members together, and some of their members owned all the media in Britain, and they said, we've got to terrify the public to make them think this upcoming war is real, to get them really on board, so they're psychologically going towards it, get them to accept it. So they decided to put out stories about getting gassed, they'll get gassed from the air, and so they dished out gas masks to all the people in Britain, which were never used. Then they had people digging up the grounds across London there to make these trenches, trenches, to save you from air raids. I mean, really clever, eh? But of course the intention really was just to get you all used to, it's inevitable, it's inevitable, it's inevitable, it's coming. And that's what they did. That's actually in their own records, that, that they did that; they boasted about it afterwards.

But the British media is awfully good at distracting you from all the real things that are happening, and the corruption and all the rest of it, by emotive topics, you see. When something should be said about other things, they won't say it. They'll simply give you an emotive topic to argue about, and the little people, as they call them, will certainly do that. They'll say, did you hear... did you hear... that Richard Dawkins said...

'Mild paedophilia never did me any harm':

Atheist scientist Richard Dawkins provokes outrage after he says

recent child abuse scandals have been overblown

dailymail.co.uk / By Daily Mail Reporter / 10 September 2013

(A: ...see. That's guaranteed to get the emotions going and get them off the fact that they're broke, there's so much drug addiction, there's still masses of people coming, flooding into the country now from

all over the world, all diverse cultures that can't even get on with each other, some of them, and it's all intentional of course. It's been that way for years. But they're broke and there's unemployment like you wouldn't believe. Anyway it says...)

-The 'God Delusion' author was the victim of 'mild paedophilia' at school

-He said it was important not to judge such incidents by modern standards

Atheist writer and intellectual Richard Dawkins has sparked fury by suggesting the recent spate of child sex abuse scandals has been overblown.

The 'God Delusion' author, who reveals in his new autobiography how he was the victim of 'mild paedophilia' while a pupil at boarding school, said that such incidents should not be judged by modern standards.

In an interview published in the Times Magazine, he said: 'I am very conscious that you can't condemn people of an earlier era by the standards of ours.

'Just as we don't look back at the 18th and 19th centuries and condemn people for racism in the same way as we would condemn a modern person for racism, I look back a few decades to my childhood and see things like caning, like mild paedophilia, and can't find it in me to condemn it by the same standards as I or anyone would today.'

Dawkins, 72, who is known for his strong religious criticism, (A: Remember too, he's a secular humanist. He belongs to the humanist society, and that IS a religion in itself. You have to really go through that and see that it's an absolute religion, folks.) said it was important to distinguish between varying levels of paedophilia, and that cases involving rape and murder should not be compared to 'mild touching up'. (A: ...he says.)

In a new autobiography Professor Dawkins told how a master at his Salisbury prep school had pulled him on to his knee and put his hand inside his shorts', adding that other boys had been molested by the same teacher. (A: It's homosexual pedophilia, you see. So I guess he didn't mind it. Maybe he liked it, who knows. Maybe he liked it.)

While he said that he had found the episode 'extremely disagreeable' he wrote: 'I don't think he did any of us any lasting damage.' (A: So there you go.)

See, that's guaranteed to get the emotions going, you see. Guaranteed. That's why they put it out. And at the same time they put that one out too, they distract you by also giving you David Attenborough, once again, who's telling the public, Don't have large families, once more, you know.

David Attenborough: Don't Have Large Families

uk.news.yahoo.com / Sky News / Sep 10, 2013

Human beings have stopped evolving (A: See, there's their belief system.) and should be persuaded not to have large families, Sir David Attenborough has said.

The TV naturalist, 87, said he was not optimistic about the future and “things are going to get worse”.

He said he did not believe humans would become extinct, but told the Radio Times: “I think that we’ve stopped evolving. (A: Now, that’s what they said about a hundred years ago when they formed the Royal Institute of International Affairs, that the ones at the top of the tree were the crème-de-la-crème, they were highest on the tree of evolution. The rest, all the junk genes type of people down below, couldn’t evolve any further. The same agenda. The same club, by the way.)

“Because if natural selection, as proposed by Darwin, is the main mechanism of evolution – there may be other things, but it does look as though that’s the case – then we’ve stopped natural selection. (A: You see.)

“We stopped natural selection as soon as we started being able to rear 95% – 99% of our babies that are born.

“We are the only species to have put a halt to natural selection, of its own free will, as it were.”

The broadcaster said of the future: “I don’t think we are going to become extinct. (A: Well, his lot won’t, you see, or his children too; he had a few himself.)

“We’re very clever and extremely resourceful – and we will find ways of preserving ourselves, of that I’m sure. But whether our lives will be as rich as they are now is another question.” (A: Well, damn sure they will be because you see, they use all our tax money in these organizations and these secret societies, to make sure THEY and their kin go off into the glorious beyond of the future, where we all just die off down below. And I’m not kidding about that either. I’m not kidding at all.)

Also, in Britain, it came out about Nigel Evans, the Deputy Speaker of the House of Commons in the Parliament...

Nigel Evans resigns as House of Commons Deputy Speaker after he is CHARGED with eight sexual offences

dailymail.co.uk / Stephen Wright and James Tozer / 11 September 2013

(A: Now, he came out as a homosexual two years ago I think it was, and got all the praise, and all the praise how brave, brave, brave and all that stuff. But now he’s charged after 8 people have come forward, at least, to say that he molested them. Unlike, you know, the other one I mentioned too, who talked about it wasn’t too bad, that’s mild pedophilia.)

- Nigel Evans was arrested in May on five counts of rape and sexual assault
- MP re-arrested after two men came forward with new allegations
- DPP announced Deputy Speaker has been charged on eight counts
- Evans denies allegations but has now resigned his Commons post

The 55-year-old Tory (A: ...Conservative.), who said he will continue to represent his Ribble Valley constituency in Lancashire but as an independent MP, was told he will stand trial accused of eight offences, the most serious of which carries a maximum life penalty.

The decision to charge the openly-gay politician (A: I don't know if he's that happy now.) – a popular figure in Westminster – came after he was arrested for a third time yesterday morning. (A: ...and so on and so on it goes. But, I'm sure he'll say it's mild... It's mild... Probably that's why the other one came out and said, oh it's just mild pedophilia, you know, it's okay, mild.)

And also, windfarms are slaughtering birds, as we know. But it's also killing off the Eagles in some countries as well. It says...

Eagle slaughter: Wind farms kill 67 eagles in 5 years

washingtontimes.com / By Associated Press / September 11, 2013

(A: This is just ONE area where they put them up, in Washington I think.)

WASHINGTON (AP) — Wind energy facilities have killed at least 67 golden and bald eagles in the last five years, but the figure could be much higher, according to a new scientific study by government biologists.

The research represents one of the first tallies of eagle deaths attributed to the nation's growing wind energy industry, which has been a pillar of President Barack Obama's plans to reduce the pollution

blamed for global warming. Wind power releases no air pollution.

But at a minimum, the scientists wrote, wind farms in 10 states have killed at least 85 eagles since 1997, with most deaths occurring between 2008 and 2012, as the industry was greatly expanding. Most deaths — 79 — were golden eagles that struck wind turbines. One of the eagles counted in the study was electrocuted by a power line. (A: [Alan chuckles.] So everything is just wonderful. Mind you too, they believe, and I'm sure they can, they can probably create new ones in the future by using their DNA and all the rest of it. Plus they have all kinds of specimens in deep-freeze as well. And they actually have arks of them. Maybe for us too; they'll kill us all off and then bring a few of us alive to be slaves, but alter us a little bit, you see.)

DC residents losing property in

'predatory' debt-collection program – report

rt.com / September 9, 2013 13:25

Washington DC officials have set up a controversial program that allows out-of-state investors to initiate foreclosures against homeowners who have fallen behind on their taxes, in some cases for just hundreds of dollars. (A: It's a planned ripoff, folks. Actually, compound interest always is, always was.)

The District of Columbia for many years placed liens – a means of debt security – on properties when homeowners failed to pay their taxes. Those liens were then sold at public auctions to investors who were able to make a profit by charging the homeowners interest on top of the tax debt until the total bill was repaid.

The program, however, has turned into a “predatory system of debt collection for well-financed, out-of-town companies that turned \$500 delinquencies into \$5,000 debts,” an in-depth Washington Post investigation reported.

Well those who have the greed really do go forward, don't they? They're awfully, awfully successful, aren't they? What do you think?

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

October 23, 2025 at 11:59 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1875](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Now as I say the world is not as crazy as they'd like you to believe. And the job of the media has always been to keep you either onboard with some big agenda like wars and things, that's very old. And we know for instance that almost all the moguls in the world, the media moguls that own big chains of newspapers and television stations and all the rest of it and radio stations, they, the guys are actually members of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Royal Institute of International Affairs. So it's the same bunch really, the moguls, that give you all your chitchat for the day, your thoughts for the day. And that's what they give you, thoughts for the day. Most of it you think is irrelevant but really it's meant to influence you and to give you your opinion, the correct opinion, the one that was decided for you by your betters. That's how it's so simple to do.

Other stuff is trivia and even the trivia is meant to create chatter. Especially if there's emotion involved in the trivia, it gets you all chattering with each other and tweeting and all the rest of it and picking sides and so on. It's so easy to con the general public and to play with their minds and so on. And most folk are oblivious to the fact that it's being done to them. They simply get caught up in the topic and emotion is good for that too.

Here's an article here that is really a rehash of something I've done before, I've read before on the radio. And this is a recent update on it basically but it's the same thing.

"Can governments influence the decisions and actions of their citizens without the public's conscious knowledge?"

Alan: Well actually they've always been doing it.

"Since 2010, the U.K. government has had a taskforce, nicknamed the "Nudge Unit",

Alan: Now, I've talked about Sunstein before in the U.S. government who is in charge of the U.S. branch of the "Nudge Unit".

"...which utilises behavioural economics to come up with policies that can "encourage and enable people to make better choices for themselves.""

Alan: In other words it's psychological manipulation you see. And they use many techniques; this is very old, old techniques. Long ago in fact they used a system even when they had the industrial revolution. And they came up with what they called 'time and motions' people, experts that would go into factories and study how people did things. And they'd find to get the most production out of each individual, regardless of the physical consequences to the individuals because they were ten a penny, to help production and help the shareholders get more money and so on. And there are new names for the same thing now, but now it's gone into the whole thing about making you feel good about changing your behavior or guilty or even scared. That's what the law does you know.

So here they are, at it again, admitting they've got it. And the one in Britain I believe too has been privatized. Now, most things that run the world today are already, they've always been private. As I say, the think tanks that advise governments on every kind of policy are privately owned. Now those who own them have had tremendous power, right down to changing the psychology of the general public. Think about it. Nothing is missed out on here.

I always think back to Bernays. I've talked about Bernays so many times and put different videos on him up on the internet. And if you go into the archives section on the website cuttingthroughthematrix.com you'll find the links to the videos. But Bernays said to the advertisers for instance, he says, Rather than design your products to be bought by people who want to buy them, the general public – in other words, make good products – he said, alter the psychology of the public to suit your products. That's what he said and by God isn't that the truth, eh? Because they've done it across the board to everything you can

imagine, not just products you're buying, but attitudes that you're taking part in or adopting and so on. You're being altered all the time. And governments really are just the middle men for this. Private organizations run the world. And all these private organizations are like a pyramid to the capstone at the top; they're all connected folks. But anyway it says:

"Anyone who studies markets, particularly anyone who trades, knows that human psychology plays a huge role in seemingly random market movements. The same line of thinking opens up the possibility that there might be smarter and more cost-effective ways for governments to interact with the general public on a whole range of issues. The obvious example of "behavioural economics," often cited in the literature, is the different outcomes you get when people are auto-enrolled into workplace pension schemes,"

Alan: Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about behavior modification, really that's what it is, on behalf of government to the citizens, changing the citizens' perceptions and attitudes and behaviors. And although this article here is about a book that's out, I mean the book will tell you very little because they never tell you much at all about the very important things that are going on, even Cass Sunstein's books aren't that detailed because you see the real secrets are still being used on you and if they tell you about it all then you'll start prattling about it and it wouldn't work so well, would it?

Anyway it says, talking about the workplace pension schemes...

"...versus having the option to opt-in to those schemes. Many more people end up saving in pension schemes with auto-enrolment."

Alan: And then it tells you...

"Why?"

"Clearly inertia plays a huge role in human behaviour, meaning we are substantially more likely to continue sitting on our behinds than we are to get up and act,"

Alan: You see. And it's a fact of human life that we're living in an artificial system. Most folk don't even think about that. They think it's normal simply because they're born into it. There is nothing in this system that wasn't contrived by small cliques of people, very rich people, a long time ago folks. That's why you're still running on a money system where it doesn't matter how much money you've got, they can devalue it any minute they want. And they can increase prices whenever they want to. They can grab it if they want to and steal it, which they've done already during the bank crashes and so on. And then you bail them out. I mean this is what you think is normal because it's the only system you can imagine, simply because it's the only one you've been told about because it exists.

The whole system is not natural. The people who live in, so-called primitive peoples, also called arrested development peoples, people who, and this is what they call them at the top because they hate these primitive people. They can't make them work in this system. And they've got to some sort of level, like the few tribes left that are in the Amazon basin that aren't so much touched by the big gold diggers that are down there. But they only have to work two hours maximum to four hours max a day. Four hours generally is when they go off hunting, a whole bunch of the guys go off hunting. And they enjoy it you see because it's a natural thing to do for men. And the rest of the day is theirs to play around and talk to the children and everything else and so on. There is no pressure from outside forces. Our lives in the so-called advanced systems are run by outside forces in every aspect and you never, ever consider it at all because it's normal to you, you're born into it, you're raised with it; you think it's all quite natural. And it's not, it's not. It's to serve the ones at the top who have been here for an awful long time, awful long time folks.

Anyway it says:

"One of the foremost theorists on this emerging intersection between government policy and behavioural economics is Cass Sunstein,"

Alan: Now he is actually...

"...a US law professor with an interest in the overlap between law, policy, and economics."

"He co-authored a book,"

Alan: Called

"'Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth and Happiness', with the economist Richard Thaler, and heads up the Obama Administration's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs."

Alan: Now the U.S. has their own branch of behavior modification for the general public, copied on the British model. So your own tax money is going to brainwash you folks and change the way you believe about things or do things or whatever.

"In a recent paper, "Empirically informed regulation," Sunstein draws on work designed to incorporate empirical findings about human behaviour into economic models, in order to suggest how regulation could be designed to be lower cost and more effective."

Alan: It's all to do with economics for the boys at the top who are getting richer all the time off of, well, all of you.

"Lawmakers can achieve this by going with the grain of predicable behaviour, rather than against it, so to speak. A general lesson, he argues, is that small, inexpensive policy initiatives can have large and highly beneficial effects.

For instance, the more complex the choice you lay before people, and the more perceived barriers they have to work through to enable that choice, the less likely you are to get them to move through that particular gate. "Complexity can have serious adverse effects by increasing the power of inertia," Sunstein writes.

Simplifying choices and removing the amount of paperwork that people have to fill in, makes them much more likely to act. Obviously, if you are highly motivated to buy a house, you will battle through the mortgage application form,"

Alan: Which of course is really paying till you're dead, that's what mortgage means.

"...come what may, but if the form was simpler, the dropout rate would be lower. The same is true of loan applications and so on and so forth."

"How The UK Government Helped Its Citizens Make "Better Choices"

Actually, of course, the British Civil Service has known about and exploited the power of inertia for decades, possibly centuries."

Alan: And actually the more destabilized the media makes things appear to you, it gives it a kind of cognitive dissonance, you're like a robot with two programs and you do this on one occasion and do this

on the other occasion and here you are clicking between the two, you don't know what to do. And that's a result really of being hammered, psychologically hammered by oh my God the banks are going to crash, oh my God we're off to war again, or possibility off to war again. And oh my God the government is putting more laws out for and they're raising taxes and so on and so on. You're overwhelmed it seems. So that's a technique that government also uses here as they put through other new laws which will make you fill in forms and so on and make you do things. You're easier to control when you literally are floating in space getting hammered by the cosmic rays in all directions in other words.

"Sunstein wants to go in the other direction, and one has to grant that, in the context of government bureaucracy, this kind of thinking is, if not novel (people have, after all, been banging on about cutting red tape for a very long time) at least helpful.

One of the main factors that Sunstein wants to take into account is the fact that people in fact tend not to behave like the ideal "rational citizen" upon which the rational model is predicated. People procrastinate and neglect to take steps that impose small, short-term costs but that promise large, long-term gains. They delay starting to exercise until they are so overweight they couldn't start if they wanted to, or they delay seeing a doctor about a nagging cough until their lungs are beyond salvation with lung cancer."

Alan: And so on.

"So what can government do? Government programs and funding should be channeled not just to informing people what they should do, but also towards designing programs that make it easy for people to act on the choices government wants them to make. The more specific and focused the message, the better."

Alan: And that's why for instance on cigarette packages mostly across the world now they will often have no names on the things. And they're not displayed on sight where you can see them so you have to know what you're after or you can't get them. You've got to sort of describe it, you know, it's like giving a story to the person behind the counter, things like that.

"As he puts it: "In many domains, the identification of a specific, clear, unambiguous path or plan has an important effect on social outcomes. Complexity or vagueness can ensure inaction, even when people are informed about risks and potential improvements. What appears to be scepticism or recalcitrance may actually be a product of ambiguity."

The idea has caught on to the point where the UK Government now has a specific policy-making unit focused on behavioural economy..."

Alan: And that's what they're calling this behavior modification.

"...and its implications for government policy. In 2010 the UK Coalition Government, impressed by what it knew of behavioral economics, decided to set up a group of 13 academics as an adjunct to the Cabinet Office. Called the Behavioural Insights Team,"

Alan: And I'll put the links up tonight for that.

"...or the Nudge Unit, this group was given the task of finding smart ways of "encouraging and enabling people to make better choices for themselves.""

Alan: But of course the people wouldn't know they were actually being guided to take them, make those choices.

"The Nudge Unit's output was sufficiently impressive for Government to seek to make the unit the first policy unit to spin off from Central Government as a profit-making venture."

Alan: They privatized it now. Now, as I said at the beginning too, can you imagine the power of these big foundations or the big corporations who get their hands on the power to change, given by the government, to do what they want to do, and put all kinds of stories out in the press and so on to change the people's behavior and so on and so on. I mean something the advertisers are doing all the time, but what a godsend when government approves you and backs you up and gives you the go-ahead to do it.

But it also means too that there are politically correct units also attached to them for changing, you know, until you believe that two and two is five. That's happening already with the public if you know what I mean.

"The whole idea initially was to use behavioral economics to improve the effectiveness of government programs and to find ways of getting more people "doing the right thing", i. e. giving increased traction to public policy implementation."

Alan: Back with more on this after this break.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt. We're Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the "Nudge Unit" or behavior modification of the general population by governments that hire professionals to do this for us and use all your tax money to broadcast that with little messages to make you change your behavior. So you pay for your behavior being changed. And it's all to benefit government and to give them more cash to play with to, you know, prop up failing big corporations or something like that or banks.

Anyway it says:

"When the Government announced the spin-off of the Unit back in May 2010, it praised the Nudge Unit fulsomely: "The team was established to find ways of encouraging, supporting and enabling people to make better choices..."

Alan: Meaning the correct choices, the ones that the government wants you to do to suit themselves at the top.

"Since then it has delivered rapid results – identifying tens of millions of pounds of savings, spreading understanding of behavioural approaches within government, and developing a reputation as a world leader in its field."

Alan: Well I guess they didn't miss all the bank bail-outs over there because they've got tens of millions they saved from altering people's behavior before that, eh?

"In other words, as far as the UK Government is concerned, behavioural economics works. One has to remember that this comment was made in the context of inviting bids from interested parties as the Government tries to spin out the Nudge unit, so a certain amount of egging the pudding is inevitable. But the Unit itself has a number of successful projects it can point to. One of these..."

Alan: And here's how they do a simple thing. This is a simple thing you see. They won't tell you the detailed things about your opinions in society and things like that you see because they're working on you all the time with these things.

"One of these was when it was tasked to help the government improve the take-up of loft [attic] insulation by householders."

Alan: To get you to buy the big corporations' fluffy pink stuff, you know, expensive too.

"The team found that one of the reasons for the slow take-up was that lofts,"

Alan: Attics.

"...as everyone knows, are wonderful places for storing all those things that you don't really want to part with but are unlikely ever to want again."

Alan: Now it doesn't say it here but I know for a fact they put out TV shows that ran showing you people who hoard things. That's why it was pushed by the government and funded to start off on television because they want you to clear it out, to do something else and buy something, you understand. This is common stuff.

"They are the archetypal "file and forget" zone."

Alan: The attics. So:

"The Unit came up with the idea of providing householders with low-cost labour to clean out their rubbish-filled lofts, which then made it easy for the householder to move to the next step, namely installing loft insulation."

Alan: Step by step they alter your behavior until they get what they want you see.

"The point here is that you take a grand policy, the need to conform to the Kyoto protocol, move to the next layer down, reduce the need for heating fuel, which lowers the UK's use of fossil fuels, then take a detailed look at what is preventing the take-up of what looks like something households should be wanting to do – namely reduce the cost of heating bills."

Alan: Well why do they not bring them down to a rational level, the cost of the fuel in the first place? Because it's just stinking greed that keeps it so darned high, isn't it?

Anyway it says:

“The approach produced a substantial increase in the take-up of loft [attic] insulation grants by households.”

“The Unit has produced several research papers, which stand up to scrutiny. The team’s most recent paper, “Applying behavioural insights to charitable giving”, provides yet another example of how “nudge theory” works in practice.

The team started by focusing on understanding what the behavioural science literature suggests would work in practice by way of increasing charitable giving, and then conducted a series of trials and tests to see how these insights panned out in practice through the use of controlled randomised trials.

They identified four “behavioural insights”: People give more when you make the process of giving easy, as for example, building in an option to automatically increase future payments in line with inflation (you get increased giving without the need for the individual concerned to take additional action); using auto enrolment as a workplace mechanism for higher-paid staff to donate, with clear opt-outs (again this uses the power of inertia); drawing on beneficial peer effects...”

Alan: You know your peer pressure.

“...by making acts of giving more visible to others in the same social group and fourthly,”

Alan: Actually they do it at the checkout counters too in most stores now too. Oh, would you like to give to the blah-blah-blah? You know, things that have never helped anything or cured cancers or whatever you know. And the whole idea is to do it in public, so if you say no, you feel “oh my God I didn’t,” you sort of shrink. You shrink in front of everybody. That’s the peer pressure. So you’re conned every way that you can imagine.

But anyway, as I say, don’t expect too much from this article. It’s very, very primitive stuff, simple stuff, because the big, big things, I could do many, many shows on and maybe one day I will, but because it’s being used on you all the time and it’s for more nefarious reasons than the ones they’re telling you here; it’s not just money and so on. Although Sunstein said too, Cass Sunstein, he said that eventually they’ll train the public to want to pay taxes and more taxes. So there you go.

So I'll put that up tonight. But getting back to the beginning of the talk, I mentioned how when you're in space and you're getting bombarded with cosmic rays all conflicting with you and so on, it's easier to nudge and move you into different ways and so on. And you'll get conflicting things. We all know for instance that the United Nations has been pushing for years about sexualizing children and to have masturbation in schools literally by the whole class participating. I've read the articles from the United Nations over the years on this broadcast. And so these things go on all the time, they keep pushing and pushing. And then you get the opposite you see. And it says:

"France to ban 'sexualised' child beauty pageants?"

Alan: You see.

"The French Senate voted early Wednesday to ban beauty pageants for children under 16 – and to impose up to two years in prison and steep fines for adults who try to enter children into such a contest."

Alan: So people say "well that's good, isn't it?"

"It looks like the French won't be getting their version of "Little Miss Sunshine" or "Here Comes Honey Boo Boo"..."

Alan: Whatever that is.

"...anytime soon. France's Senate voted early Wednesday to ban beauty pageants for children under 16,"

Alan: And so on... And give a fine, or prison, up to 30,000 euro. That's their cash over there.

"The amendment is part of a broader bill on women's rights, which will now proceed to the National Assembly, French Parliament's lower house, for debate and another vote. The senators who voted in favour of the measure argue that it will protect children from being prematurely "sexualised" through the use of heavy make-up and often provocative attire.

The amendment was prompted by a parliamentary report entitled "Against Hyper-Sexualisation: A New Fight For Equality", which, in addition to calling for an end to the pageants, encouraged a ban on adult-style clothing for children, including padded bras and high-heeled shoes. "Let us not make our girls believe from a very young age that their worth is based only on their appearance," the author of the

report, former sports minister and current senator Chantal Jouanno, said in an interview with free French daily "20 Minutes" last year."

"Controversy surrounding the issue peaked in December 2010, when French Vogue magazine published a photo spread featuring images of a 10-year-old French girl, Thylane Loubry Blondeau, decked out in a tight dress, jewellery, high heels and make-up. The magazine argued that the photos were meant to capture a classic fantasy of young girls – to dress up like their mother. But the images sparked outrage both at home and abroad."

Alan: It's hard to imagine outrage in France about anything sexual because if you walk along the streets in France everything is happening in broad daylight folks, you know.

"If the bill is signed into law, as expected, pageants like the annual "Mini-Miss" contest in Paris will no longer take place."

Alan: So there you get what you think is the opposite but don't forget the United Nations is still pushing for further sexualization, hyper-sexualization, which they call education, sexual education for children by pushing it. And I've read the articles as I say. I've got them all in the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com where they promoted like mutual masturbation in the classroom and stuff like that for very young children and so on and so on.

So you're bombarded with these kind of things you see, the negative and the positive, conflicting and so on. That's to get you, these kind of stories get you into that mood where you're easy because you just can't figure it out and then something else comes along and pushes you in, as Sunstein would say, the right direction, you see. Then you can contrast it with this article here.

Now getting back to that article I just read actually, it's interesting too that Cyrus woman who did her bump stuff with the guy's groin on stage not long ago, Miley Cyrus. It's interesting too that she apparently was photographed by a woman, I guess a feminist in the States, and before she was of legal age and so on in all these kind of poses as well. The same woman by the way who was the last one to photograph John Lennon. She photographed him nude actually in bed on the day he died, it was the morning that he died. And again, she's got her own particular fancies, put it that way. Put it that way.

Now this article here says:

"Swedish court..."

Alan: Now Sweden is very progressive. See, you understand there are terms you use all the time but you don't know what it means. You have to go back into even the French Revolution to find what progressive means. Because the French Revolution had just like today, they do networking with all kinds of disaffected or fringe groups, or they form the groups in fact out of people who thought they were the only ones who were on the fringe, and they use them. And in the French Revolution they actually had all kinds of strange people who wanted no laws for anything at all. And after the French Revolution there were stacks of young children, orphans and all that, just running around prostituting themselves in the streets because it was pushed big time there at that time.

So you've got all these ones too who are beyond what they call anarchism. An anarchist truly is meant for no government at all. If you can't govern yourself then you get government, but if you can govern yourself, in other words don't bother me and I won't bother you. That's what it really means. But government likes problems. That's why it increases all the time. That's how it came into being in the first place.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about basically how we're all manipulated by professionals. Their big, big organizations that got a lot of their ideas from marketers and propagandists and so on, and public relations because they all use these same techniques on the general public to change and alter our behavior and your attitudes too, and what you accept, what you won't accept, etcetera, and what you shouldn't accept in fact. Anyway:

"Swedish court rules it IS legal to masturbate in public (if it's not directed at anyone specific)"

Alan: It says. Now Sweden is the avant-garde again of the sexual revolution. They took over from France, although France is in a bit of a mess too as I say. It's quite something to behold. And that all started from the French Revolution because that was all part of the revolution too, that marriage was to be abolished too. Because the same guys who brought you communism, the World Revolutionary Societies, brought you the French Revolution too by the way. And get rid of marriage and have lots and lots of sex and all the rest of it with as many partners as you want and it's okay, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. It's still on the go today of course and it's worked awfully well in that respect. It's got what it wanted. Anyway:

- "Man, 65, acquitted of sexual assault after masturbating on beach."

Alan: I guess he was thinking of a Fish Called Wanda, eh?

- “Court ruled he committed no crime since he was not directing his action towards a specific person.”
- “Anyone doing the same in the UK would face charge of indecent exposure.”

Alan: Now is that last statement supposed to be so we can compare ourselves and maybe change too? So anyway:

“A court in Sweden has ruled that it is acceptable to masturbate in public.”

“The ruling came in a landmark judgement following a case brought against a man seen touching himself on a beach.”

Alan: He liked touching himself, eh?

“The 65-year-old man, who dropped his shorts close to the water at Drevviken beach, Stockholm, and started to masturbate, was initially charged with sexual assault. But in a surprise ruling, the Södertörn District Court acquitted the man. While the judgement stated it ‘may be proven that the man exposed himself and masturbated on this occasion’, it subsequently added that no offence had been committed.”

Alan: What does that say about the judge too, eh?

“It based its finding on the fact that the man was not pleasuring himself towards a specific person,”

Alan: I was thinking I guess he wanted to you know what to them all.

“...according to Sweden’s English language news website, The Local.”

“Prosecutors held their hands up in agreement, underlining that the law calls for the act to be directed at another person to count as a crime.”

Alan: See, the mob, the mass, is worth nothing. I hope you understand that. That’s how government sees all of you, you’re the mass. {Laughs}

"Public prosecutor Olof Vrethammar told the Mitti newspaper that he wasn't planning to appeal the ruling. He told the paper, quoted in The Local: 'For this to be a criminal offence it's required that the sexual molestation was directed towards one or more people. I think the court's judgement is reasonable.'"

Alan: You understand your whole law system, your legal system and your banking system and all the rest of it works together. The same people actually that ran it all set it up for you. And in the old days that would be dealt with by the folk around there very, very quickly too. And that was natural law you see, natural law actually exists believe it or not.

"The decision raises questions about whether public masturbation will now be acceptable across Sweden, so long as it is not directed at another person."

Alan: Now how about children in the area too, and all the rest of it too, etcetera you see. Because you understand pedophilia is to be normalized down the road. Those who brought you all the other things that are normalized through comedies and all the rest of it and television, blah, blah, blah, are going to bring you pedophilia and bestiality by the way because I've read their articles on the air here again after one of their big world meetings that they had in 2001. They said we won all the rights for homosexuality and lesbianism and all the rest of it, now we have to promote the push for pedophilia or they called it intergenerational love, they called it, and bestiality.

Anyway, so there you go, it's okay to masturbate in front of lots of people, just don't do it in front of one person. And you think it's all crazy. No, it's not crazy; it's crazy like a fox folks. That's what it is. It's all prearranged, all prearranged.

And also this one here, it's from the Guardian of course that thinks that all this stuff should go on.

"Local people should be at the heart of development, from providing inspiration to being participants for new ideas. Too often they're forgotten."

Alan: Because they really care about us you see, the silent majority.

"One of the major triumphs of psychology and design over the last 40 years has been to demonstrate how human behaviour is heavily influenced by context."

Alan: Oh no kidding, eh?

"Our beliefs, actions and experiences are entwined with the surrounding environment and our decisions are rarely made in isolation."

Alan: Actually they're made for you.

"For instance, we're more likely to judge other people's relationships as unstable if we're sitting on a wobbly chair rather than a normal one. Exposure to a clean smell can encourage people to wash their hands and challenges appear less difficult if we're standing with friends. Findings like these from across psychology, economics and neuroscience –"

Alan: This is all part of this behavior modification.

"...broadly behavioural science –"

Alan: And I call it modification because that's what it is folks.

"...are continuing to challenge long-held assumptions about the forces that shape our behaviour. The result is a large base of evidence that can be used to guide and support people in making better decisions."

Alan: In other words the decisions that they want you to make.

"The problem is that many policy or planning decisions are still blind to these insights. Walk for five minutes in any city and you will experience huge variation in the effects of messages, services and infrastructure designed to improve our health and wellbeing."

Alan: There is that word again, "wellbeing".

"Where there is variation, there is a need to experiment to find what works and improve what doesn't. Cities must become living laboratories, places where designers, scientists, companies and customers collaborate to investigate, make and test ideas with the communities that live in them. Local people should be at the heart of development, from providing inspiration to being participants for new ideas.

Alan: And that's how they get folk in, it's like focus groups. You can actually get the focus groups and alter their behavior completely, completely, and that's going way back again when they were testing all kind of marketing ideas but actually they found too they could really change the attitudes of the folk who

came in as volunteers to see if they liked this coffee over that coffee or whatever. They could actually change behavior in many ways, even sexual by the way, big time.

“Good designers are brilliant at managing the uncertainty of real world problems, observing people’s lives to empathise with the reality they face and the support they need.”

Alan: It’s written like something a social worker would give for you eh? You all need support and help you see because you’re all dysfunctional.

“Only by enabling people to realise their good intentions will we be able to tackle the biggest problems in society and alleviate pressure on the state.”

Alan: So it’s to save the state all this pressure as they get bigger and bigger and create more problems which creates more fallout and they have to come in to help the fallout.

“As much as it’s important for cities to listen to their citizens, behavioural scientists have taught us that we’re often unaware of the real drivers of our decisions. While involvement with communities can uncover what beliefs, experiences and behaviours are important, scientific findings can explain why they occur.”

Alan: Now don’t forget communities, communitarianism is the big thing. It’s to get pushed worldwide. Britain is pushing it, under the Great Society they call it, or the Big Society. But it’s communitarianism, where you’ve all to have the same beliefs by the way, the same opinions on everything, be politically correct. And if you don’t have the right opinions then you don’t have good well-being. There is something wrong with your well-being; you better get someone in there to change your attitudes so as you can have good well-being you see.

“By combining creative thinking with scientific rigour, living labs can reveal unforeseen opportunities to improve people’s lives through the design of products, services and places.”

Alan: There is nothing that they make in products that is meant to last, folks, there never will be. It’s for greed, maximizing the profit. It’s not just built-in obsolescence; it’s also aftermarket replacements for all parts that are guaranteed to break down.

“They are open platforms, sourcing and prototyping ideas with people of all backgrounds, combining the expertise within the lab with real world knowledge. The risk of innovation is managed by demonstrating

proof of concept before scaling up. Randomised controlled trials (field trials)..."

Alan: This is on the general populations remember.

"...are the best way of examining the general effect of an idea on individuals or groups in their natural environment."

Alan: I guess it means the class that you're in, your natural environment.

"Smart technologies are making data collection easier and less obtrusive, providing the structure for continued feedback and improvement."

Alan: And it's true. All these universities have been doing studies for years by studying the internet and all your chatter and complaints and attitudes and so on. They do it all the time. So they give you...

"...a gallery illustrating 10 examples from around world that are putting these principles into practice."

Alan: Don't forget now, if you have different opinions from the ones... And the problem is it's generally the majority that adopt the politically correct ideas and start parroting as though they had invented them themselves. Because they all want to be accepted, these are the masses. That's the true masses you see. They want to be the same as everybody else. They like sameness. And they want this thing called normalcy that's approved by those above them that gave them this normalcy. They like to be normal you see, even though it's always the new normal every other day or week or whatever.

So it leaves out of the picture all those who say, hey, I know what's going on, I don't want to go along with this, I'm not getting nudged or pushed or bullied by peer pressure into adopting these behaviors or ideas or opinions.


So I'll put these articles up tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com as I say and you can look them up for yourselves and go deeper if you want to. But don't buy the regular books out there because the real stuff is just not put out to the general population, folks. The Cass Sunstein books and so on doesn't go into anything in detail because they can't tell you just how far advanced they are. In fact you're the product of these guys. It didn't start with Sunstein either; go way back to Bernays' days and even before Bernays. Where do you think he got it all from? There are basically almost secret societies that have this information that run economics and countries down through centuries, how to make folk buy things, how

to get government to force folk to buy things or take things from government, which the government uses your money to buy, like vaccinations and so on and so on.

Anyway, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 11:59 am

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) [#1876](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

All I do is just put out the straight facts. And people don't know what facts are these days. They don't check them; they don't know how to check them in fact. They don't use reason. They don't use logic. Because they're not taught to use it. In fact, they're dissuaded from using it in school; that's part of your education, so as you don't think for yourself. And it works awfully well with billions of people across the planet. Old techniques, and there's whole programs that I've done on it too in the past. Others have written books about it as well, about the education system. Also, you'll find philosophers who wrote about education, like Jacques Ellul, talked about it. He says your primary education is essential for further indoctrination and propaganda from the state to take effect on you. If you don't get that initial brainwashing it won't work on you so well. That's why you find, actually, in this system, there's so many people, the higher they go in the system or the establishment the more robotic they become and they believe everything that they're told. Those who are more streetwise at the bottom often can see through things very, very quickly, very easily, get right to the core of the matter, where folk miss the obvious reasons for things happening or policies being made and so on.

So help yourself to cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Remember too, you can buy the books and discs by [Order and donation options listed above.] And straight donations are awfully, awfully welcome as we go through the planned inflation, which is to go on for years apparently. But mind you, inflation is always built-in to a debt money system; the whole system was a con to begin with. It's a complete farce actually. It's a complete con, for total power and those who run it of course are a clique, a big gang. They intergenerationally run it, into the ground of course, as they profit off you. They teach the public not to even question the system. You think money is just there like gravity when you're born, and you're taught to go out and work and earn it, then the government also is there to tax it all back. Taxing your labor, actually, was called slavery at one time. And that's what 'tax' means, to labor, you tax yourself when you push yourself, or someone else pushes you.

So we're living in a system which Charles Galton Darwin talked about in *The Next Million Years*. He said, there's always been slavery in one form or another and today, he says, we're in the process of creating a new, more perfected form of slavery. In other words, it's a slavery that the public don't even catch on to; they think they're free. And that's why the governments keep telling you you're free, or it's the best country in the world, or we've got the best healthcare in the world, or yada yada yada ya. That's all, ALL pure propaganda.

Last night I talked about different techniques that are used on the public and that all governments have professional scientific teams working on you, all of you. They've got the pulse of the public with all their information from tweets and so on, given to universities. Academia is totally on board with it too, with implanted, or embedded, you might call it embedded professors who always work with government on various projects. They get all your data and they get the pulse of the public. They know where the public are grieving about something, or angry about something, or whatever it happens to be, and they know how to rectify it. And the neuroscientists, psychologists and behaviorist teams go into action and nudge you with specially-written propaganda that's inserted into newspapers, without question in fact, as articles, you see, articles.

Edward Bernays talked about this, who's wrongly called the father of propaganda because really he had got it from predecessors and ancestors in fact who had been studying the same techniques for a long, long time. Human nature, human nature you see... Because even merchants, the merchant class had to understand human nature to sell you junk or things you didn't need. So they had always studied human nature to find out how or why things would actually take off in sales and other things wouldn't do it, and how could you make things sell. A good example is, some people phone professionals when they can't move a product and often they'll come in and say, well it's too cheap, so they raise the prices on it and people actually start buying it. That's the strange things about human nature. These guys study it all and most folk who have the human nature don't even know they've got it, they just simply impulsively obey or do things. It's quite a fascinating subject altogether.

But governments have always been in the process of, or in the game of managing lots and lots of people, even the old Kings and Queens; that's why they had advisors at court, all kinds of advisors, the Machiavellian types that knew how to weasel information out of everybody and come up with plans to manage everybody on behalf of the King or the Queen. Some of them even managed the King and the Queen too; that's why they brought in those who come in, say, using Kabala, in the Middle Ages for instance, awfully popular at the courts. Because Kings and Queens wanted to know if the weather was going to be good when they sent a bunch of ships over to conquer somebody or another, and they'd bring in these guys to predict the weather or good omens and so on like that. Even older than that, you can go back to Greece, of course they had the same thing going on there. But you'll find that these people had a lot of power over Kings and Queens, who don't know much at all about how to do things. In fact, they're so inbred they're not too bright; it still goes on today. In fact, I really often think that that was the system that those behind them wanted, not too bright leaders, people who appeared to be leaders but who were malleable by the experts, you see, the advisors around them.

And I think it's the same today. Prime ministers and presidents are front men and the guys behind them are far, far more important; they know the agenda. George Bush Junior was a great example of it too. The guy half the time didn't know where he was... He actually came on stage at the state of the union address and he says, here we are at the... and he couldn't remember what it was. And he says, well you all know what it is, and then they all laughed. But I mean, this is the guy who pretended he was president. What's even worse is the people go along with it and pretend he is too. But nothing changes, you see, we're conned constantly and even this kind of government that we have means that you have to have a participation in the con if you want to be ruled, you understand. And that's actually taught in higher studies and so on at university, that you must participate in the con yourself.

It was the same thing with, as I said last night, to do with the nudging things; in other words, government policies, they want you to follow government policies. Government wants you to have certain opinions about things, or alter your opinions about things, and your behavior, and they have many methods to do it. But back to Bernays and he said, that at the time, it was easier to do it with women, initially, than men. Then he said, but you can get women to start nudging the men to buy products or change their ways or whatever. So he says, most of our propaganda, for social change, is aimed at the women. And they brought out, 90% of the magazines at the time were all geared at women, with Dear Abby's and things like that for advice, advice, advice. But they were all put out by the experts that ran the commercial systems. Quite fascinating. Nothing changes though.

This ties in with last night, this article here, and it says...

Computer program uses Twitter to 'map mood of nation'

bbc.co.uk / 7 September 2013

(Alan: Now, I've mentioned this before but here's an article on it. I've said, they always keep the pulse of the public, to keep them in order in other words.)

British scientists have developed a computer program they say can map the mood of the nation using Twitter. (A: Now, they've had this since they gave you the Internet, believe you me.)

Named Emotive, it works by accessing the emotional content of postings on the social networking site.

The team, from Loughborough University, say it can scan up to 2,000 tweets a second and rate them for expressions of one of eight human emotions. (A: Now, this is a university grant obviously that got them started in this. But you can believe it, the NSA had these programs years before, far superior too. See, there's three levels of everything, and the guys who are working at the bottom level don't know there are ones above them. Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about the system basically and reading an article about a lower form of research. And that's why they call it research, the guys at the bottom in universities don't know that the searching has already been done on levels above them. So they do research, you see, and of course they think they're the first ones to do it. So it's a bit of a joke really. And you'll find this with a lot of grants that get put out there too, the things that they're actually studying or looking for have already been done. What they may find is extraneous information that's added to the information that the top guys get, because everything gets fed to the top and no one knows where it goes or what is really done with it eventually. So this particular following of the people's moods and so on, of nations, through Twitter and so on, it's rather primitive, this particular program. But it says...

Named Emotive, it works by accessing the emotional content of postings on the social networking site.

The team, from Loughborough University, say it can scan up to 2,000 tweets a second and rate them for expressions of one of eight human emotions. (A: ...and I'll bet you the ones at the NSA, and GCHQ in England, can do probably multi-thousands, maybe even millions, a second.)

They claim Emotive could help calm civil unrest and identify early threats to public safety. (A: So you see, you get grants for all these things too and they're always using academia.)

More than 500 million people across the world use Twitter, and more than 340 million tweets are posted daily.

The team, from the university's new Centre for Information Management, (A: See, you've got Centers for information management, eh...), say the system can extract a direct expression of anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, surprise, shame and confusion from each tweet. (A: ...you see. And they can also tell, you see, if the little prompts that they're giving you, and nudges, etc., to change your behavior and your

beliefs or whatever else it happens to be, what's trending right now, if it's actually working or not. And they use shame to do that too; there's many ways they do it with you.)

The academics said that using the Emotive software to geographically evaluate any mass mood could help police to track potential criminal behaviour or threats to public safety. (A: Well they'll say anything for their grants, eh?)

It may be able to guide national policy on the best way to react to major incidents, they added.

Prof Tom Jackson, who led the research team, said that public postings through social media gave a very accurate real-time record of how and what people were feeling.

(A: It's quite an interesting... It says...) "Following the murder of soldier Lee Rigby in Woolwich there was an outpouring of sadness and disgust through Twitter," he said.

"Across the country people expressed their emotions at this unprovoked attack, with some using the incident to incite racial hatred against Muslims.

(A: It's really interesting, this, because you see, Britain's been at this for a long time. You find the guy, Lawrence, that was Lawrence of Arabia of course, in his own book, The Seven Pillars of Wisdom, he said that he had been trained at Cambridge, with a whole bunch of people, in Arabic languages, and sent over, pretending they were civilians, starting up newspapers across the Arabic countries. He said that their job was to put out stories to change the attitudes of the populations, soften them up to getting

taken over in other words, or getting them stirred up to rise against the Ottoman Empire. And he says, then they would send teams, you see teams, they didn't have the Twitter and all that then, and they sent teams of guys they'd hire, who'd go around the bazaars and the coffee places and so on and listen to the chat, to all their chat to see if the stories in the paper were taking effect, the desired effects that they wanted to get action done and so on. So this is just an ongoing thing of the same thing, only it's better to get all your information under the guise of, you know, fighting terrorism; they can get all your information, telephone conversations, EVERYTHING folks, you see.)

"Across the country people expressed their emotions at this unprovoked attack (A: That's with the soldier Lee Rigby in Woolwich.), with some using the incident to incite racial hatred against Muslims.

"Two days after his murder his family appealed for calm, stating that their son would not have wanted his name to be used as an excuse to carry out attacks against others.

"This appeal had an almost immediate effect, leading to an outpour of positive sentiment." (A: See, they use everybody. And they also get these to create outrage too, when it suits them as well. You understand?)

Prof Jackson added: "Twitter is a very concise platform through which users express how they feel about a particular event, be that a criminal act, a new government policy or even a change in the weather.

"Through the computer program we have created we can collate these expressions of feelings in real time, map them geographically and track how they develop." (A: But they can also manipulate their outcome, can't they too, direct where they want them to go.)

Dr Ann O'Brien, who was part of the team that created the study for emotions used by the programme, said it could chart the strength of feeling expressed in both ordinary language and in slang.

"For any incident we can view how reactions grow and diminish over time," she said.

The system is currently only being used to analyse tweets in the UK, but the researchers said it could easily be scaled up to monitor tweets globally.

Well you can bet at the higher level they've been doing this for years. Guarantee it, folks. This is the low-level stuff at universities, who also obviously are given access to all your information. And you forget that too, that universities are getting access to your information all the time, with permission from the government, and the NSA, and GCHQ and all the rest of it. But remember too, if government wants to attack you, they can tar you with a terrible paintbrush and put out all the stuff, and see how the public are reacting to you, and how to tweak the public in one way or another, or intensify their feeling or outrage against you, whatever it happens to be. This is an old technique, you understand, only they're using telephonic communications and electronic communications to do it, to collect the data. So this goes with everything... Every policy, every paper you read, every day, you're all being analyzed with your comments and so on, to each other, daily, by all these programs in the NSA and so on.

Also tonight too I'll put up an article about eugenics. Because eugenics is awfully important, it's ongoing of course. Eugenics has been the dream of the elite to always, not only improve themselves but basically to make the general population more easily manageable, for themselves. This one says...

UK bioethicists (A: ...which is eugenicists...) promoting a second wave of eugenics

bioedge.org / Michael Cook / 14 Sep 2013

And the guys in it, actually, say that they proudly call themselves eugenicists, at the top; they still use the same term naturally. They use bio-ethics to sort of put you off the trail. It sounds better, you know, bio-ethics... Ethics sounds good, we like ethics and stuff don't we; it sounds better than eugenics. So I'll put this up too because eugenics is getting pushed and promoted mightily, really, in a thousand different ways, under the guise of helping you or your children's health, or even altering our genes and so on, in vitro, in order to create healthier children. That's what you want to believe too, because the government is always there to help you; that's what you're taught, you see. And nothing is further from the truth. The future is already written and all of you and your offspring are not in it, for too long. That's the truth of it.

Also this article too, about how wonderful things are, as the propaganda comes out, and trivia comes out. When things are really bad they give you lots of trivia to keep yourself occupied with, or oooh and aaaah stories... oooh, you know, stuff like that. But it says...

Retirement: One In Five Will 'Never Stop Work'

uk.news.yahoo.com / Sky News / Rhiannon Mills / Sep 18, 2013

One in five Britons now fear that they will never be able to stop working because of shortfalls in their pensions and savings (A: I bet it's a lot more than that.), according to a new report.

The survey, carried out by HSBC , warns that the UK is heading for an "Age of the Unretired", with pensioners in Britain more gloomy about their future prospects than those in other countries around the world. (A: Well, they're given the same propaganda across the world too, because everybody's looking pretty gloomy.)

The global survey, which questioned 16,000 people in 15 countries, shows that 19% of those asked in the UK expect that they will never be able to afford to retire fully. (A: I know a lot of them can't retire at all actually.)

In America the figure was 18%, in France and Hong Kong it was 12%, but in Brazil – one of the world's new fast-growing economies – only 5% think they will never retire properly.

It is also perhaps not surprising Brits are so downbeat, with nearly half of the British pensioners questioned saying their retirements had not turned out as they had planned, because they have less money to live on than hoped.

Well you understand, everything has tripled in the last few years, the cost of everything that you need. And that is the plan; the Federal Reserve chairman said that a few years ago after the so-called banking crash, the farce of that con. He said, we've got planned inflation for the next 10 years, 10 years... minimum. But as I say, it's always built into it anyway, otherwise you could live like they did in 1900, with five dollars a month. It's always been built into it, this con of money, in the hands of these private gangsters. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix. I mean, governments have always been in the business, naturally, from ancient times to the present, of how to manage the people. And how to rip them off too, and even train them into a system that they think is normal to be ripped off, you see, by changing the names of things. I've mentioned before that in the old days the highwayman would stop your coach and pull out his guns and demand your money, and you paid the money and you could travel on, you see. And today you have cops, cops do it. They've just added a whole bunch of new laws in Britain, for instance, to rake in the cash by tickets of all kinds. And they've got so many already it's just astonishing. I'll put that link up too.

But the thing is, when someone with a uniform does it, who's authorized you see, who stops you on the highway and he's got a gun and the whole bit, and he gives you a ticket. And some of them actually, in some countries, you've got to pay them cash, on the spot, or a check or something like that, then you can travel on, you see.

So it's all perception management, and perception management alters the reality for you, because you've been trained to see it as a different thing. It's no different than going into the old Mafia movies, you know, when they tried to blame the Italians for being the Mafia. And you would see them, the henchmen going around, say, New York or Chicago and demanding money from shop owners for protection money. And he says, protection money from who, who are you protecting me from? ...from us, or anybody else who tries to muscle in. Because the gang always fights over the herd. You are the sheep and you always have the shepherds, you see, fighting over the sheep, who want the sheep. They live off you, you see, awfully well too. And you knew you were being leaned on heavily by a guy, who'd either beat you up or kill you or burn your place down, and today you've got the same thing with governments and so on, who live awfully well, again. Even local governments live awfully well off all of you with the massive taxes they ask. They get much bigger salaries than most folk that they tax, and they live awfully well and get lots of perks as well, for extorting money off the public, you see. And you never get an accounting as to where it all goes, naturally. And that's the way things are. It's a con trick, you see. It's a real con trick.

But they also try, as I say it's all perception management, and training you into seeing things differently from what they really actually are. Here's an article here that ties in with it too...

How stimulating the brain's cells can 'trick' it into saving memories: Findings could lead to breakthrough in treating disorders

Scientists found that by altering brain cells the brain could be tricked into saving something as a memory. (A: ...to saving something as a memory. In other words, they can give you false memories too, you see. And this money is all coming from your tax money for grants to these scientists that are working on this.)

It is the first evidence that memories can be created by manipulation. (A: No, it's not. There's other ways that they've done it too.)

They found that by altering brain cells in the cerebral cortex, the brain could be 'tricked' into saving something as a memory.

The findings could lead to new ways of understanding and treating memory disorders (A: So they always say that they're doing it to try to help people that are sick or whatever, had strokes or you name it, blah blah blah. They always bring that in. DARPA used that. DARPA is in the business of helping paraplegics, as you well know; that's their job, eh. And they put the chip in the guy who was a paraplegic so that he could move the cursor or a mouse and send emails to pals. This is what they tell us anyway.), reports journal Neuroscience.

The findings could lead to new ways of understanding and treating memory disorders, reports journal Neuroscience. (A: Now remember, the first object of any grant money that's put out by the big boys is for military purposes, or managing the public. Always. All inventions, all creations, all these discoveries are always, first and foremost, for weaponry.)

The researchers, from the University of California Irvine, looked at how memories were formed to make their findings.

Professor Norman Weinberger then showed that by altering brain cells in the cerebral cortex, which produces the predicted specific memory, these memories could be 'saved'. (A: ...just like in a computer.)

In a study in mice, the animals were played a specific tone while their nucleus basalis, deep within their brains, was stimulated.

This released acetylcholine (ACh), a chemical involved in memory formation, and increased the number of brain cells responding to the specific tone.

The following day, the scientists played many sounds to the animals and found that their respiration spiked when they recognised the particular tone, showing that specific memory content was created by brain changes directly induced during the experiment.

Prof Weinberger said: 'Disorders of learning and memory are a major issue facing many people and since we've found not only a way that the brain makes memories, but how to create new memories with specific content, our hope is that our research will pave the way to prevent or resolve this global issue.'

And it is a global issue, isn't it? Because I mean, in a global world system, totalitarian system, you've got to make sure you can give all the people false... Actually, they could eradicate your memories and give you all these new ones, you see. And the MK Ultra experiments was to do with this very thing, how to

eradicate all memories and give the people new personas and even fake memories. So here you go. They're still at it, folks, you know, and probably way beyond that in many other ways too. And we pay for it all. We pay for our chains. We pay for our lobotomies, you know, all kinds of things, all the time.

Government's first priority is the preservation of itself. Never forget that. Never forget that. And government is the middleman for the elite, that own them; all governments are. Even the communist government was the same. You had all the party members down below who worked in the factories; they got all the perks and extra cash and they had whorehouses for them and all the rest of it too. And they all had these ridiculous party lines that everybody had to parrot, and they all knew it was ridiculous but that's how people are. Psychopaths prostitute themselves quite easily with no emotion whatsoever. And it's the same in every government across the world. Politicians, remember, their job is to con people... and play the nice guy and smile and joke and lie, you know; that's his job. But he's not the brightest, often, so they've got all these experts way above them, on behalf of the elite, who are appointed to advise them and write their speeches and so on and tell them what to do and what proposals to put forward. That's how things really work, folks. I'm sorry to say this but this is how it's been for all along, at least for the last 150 years, probably longer.

So that's the system you're living in and they're always tampering with us, in many ways, that most folk don't know about. Never mind the usual ways of drugging your drinking water, and vaccinating you and all the usual stuff, and bombarding you with microwaves. All the studies are out there too, old studies that have been repeated many times, that prove the same things in behavior modification and so on and so on. Don't forget, when Brzezinski wrote it in his book too, *Between Two Ages*, in the chapter *The Technotronic Era*, he said, we can actually put a whole continent under behavior modification, or control, by using E.L.F. waves. That was back in the 1970s. And he was the top guy there, the go-between for the NSA and a whole bunch of different organizations in charge of the military. They don't make these statements in their own books unless it's already done.

Also, Facebook, it says...

Facebook users are committing 'virtual identity suicide' in droves and quitting the site over privacy and addiction fears

(A: It's about time, eh; it's about time some of the idiots left it.)

dailymail.co.uk / Victoria Woollaston / 17 September 2013

Report suggests Facebook recently lost active users in the U.S and UK

The majority of people quitting the site blamed concerns over privacy (A: Well eventually... It takes how many years for it to get through to them?)

Other reasons included fear of addiction, and shallow conversations (A: And of course they've done all the studies on the shallow conversations part, and how they've basically isolated people, who've got hundreds of friends that are only often sockpuppets as they call it, fake friends, all computer-generated responses. Once again, mind control, eh. Behavior modification, you've changed your behavior, you're sitting in front of this ridiculous piece of plastic every day staring at it. That changes your behavior, just like TV did.)

And in some countries that really pushed... I went through Europe years ago, many times, and I noticed that there were experiments going on, on the whole populations, different experiments in different countries. And I know they were all led by the same people, and I got verification of that from a parliamentarian, in Norway in fact. But the public weren't aware of it. They were pushing a form of socialism that incorporated many of the techniques that were used in the communist states. They were using it in Sweden, for instance, that's avant-garde for everything, and the feminist thing, and there's no such difference in genders, it's all learned behavior from your parents and all that rubbish. So they were doing that there and in Holland they had, at that time, no kidding you, I think they had something like a third of the population on permanent disability with bad backs. They even did programs on the BBC

about that. Anyone who said that they were an artist – because they tried that thing, ‘if I say I’m something you better say I’m something too,’ it could be gender, whatever. But if you say you’re an artist then the government had to buy your paintings off you. And so you did a few scribbles every year. And they even had massive buildings to house all these scribbles, you see. So eventually it couldn’t last because of the debt factor. But what they were trying to do too, was to encourage, and they did the program to encourage the men to stay home with the babies and let the women go out to work. All these experiments across the whole of Europe, and all that data was being fed up to the source, because we were already global and run by the guys who run the global financial systems and so on; we were already global. And there’s Holland now...

After a ride to parliament in golden carriage, Dutch king declares end to welfare state

rawstory.com / Agence France-Presse / September 17, 2013

(A: ...I guess the experiment’s over. Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, we’re back Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about the big experiments of Europe, of course, and all the data, again, was fed up to the big boys who owned them all. They didn’t know at the time they were already owned, you see, by the big money boys that owned all their central banks and so on, the big global gang. But they’ve now finished their big welfare state there, it says here, and the King declares it in a speech written by the Prime Minister. So...

The Dutch government heralded the end of the welfare state on Tuesday as the fifth-largest eurozone economy presented an austerity-driven budget for 2014. (A: I always think of the way things are written, it’s interesting.)

"The classic welfare state is slowly but surely turning into a society of participation," King Willem-Alexander told parliament, laying out the Liberal-led government's plans for the year. (A: See, the Liberals brought in the welfare. See, people still believe that there's different parties.)

"It is asked of all those who can to take responsibility for their own life and that of those around them," the king said in the speech written by Prime Minister Mark Rutte. (A: So you got to take responsibility for your own life, supposedly. If that was the case they'd leave you with more cash in your pocket to do so. But you see, they've got mass immigration going in there too, from all countries, except Europe, the same as Britain, and they're paying all this money out to house all these new folk and pay for their welfare and stuff like that, you see.)

The king, who travelled through the streets of The Hague to address MPs and senators in an ornate horse-drawn golden carriage, said the transformation would be particularly noticeable in social security and long-term healthcare policies.

"The classic welfare state of the second half of the 20th century has ended up with practices in these domains that have become untenable in their current form," said the king, whose country for decades symbolised the western European ideal of the welfare state.

Dutch Finance Minister Jeroen Dijsselbloem later unveiled his budget in parliament, with the Netherlands economy still struggling to return to growth.

(A: Then they go on about the growth factor and GDP and all the rest of it, and...) A growth prediction of 0.5 percent for 2014 . . .

(A: You understand this is all rubbish that you're trained to believe is all real? I mean, where is it written... Is there some God that came down and wrote it into stone that we're supposed to all work in a system where certain people are allowed to run all the economy and the money system, you see, and that we're all born in to serve the economy, and we're all racing with other countries to try to get ahead of them, you see, to get a stronger currency, which we don't own anyway, which is never stable, and there's no point in saving it up because it's getting devalued all the time, because it's built into the system? You understand, the whole thing is a big giant con, folks. Absolute con. But we're trained into this, you see. And then you get the growth prediction of...)

... 0.5 percent for 2014 is less than previous forecasts and unemployment, on the rise since June 2011, is expected to climb beyond 9 percent.

It's right out of Orwell's 1984... Choco Rations are up... always throwing out statistics, statistics, statistics, you see... That you're supposed to believe in, you see. But that's your job, serve the economy, do what you're told, get taxed to death, and go into austerity at the same time. Isn't that wonderful? Are those who are taking all the cash off you and running this whole system, are they living in austerity? Do they plan to? Of course they don't, folks. They're just perfecting their system of ultimate greed. You see. At one time they had to leave you with so much money just to keep you happy, more so in the States than in any other country because they were getting built up to be the big battering ram for the world, taking over from Britain, to globalize the system. And now they're taking it all away again, you see, to standardize them into the old system, now that they've been the battering ram. And China will take over, that's what they said at the CFR long, long ago, many years ago; back in the 30s actually the Royal Institute of International Affairs said that. So it's all there, folks.

Also...

Data retention, VPN logging and internet surveillance in Europe

The European Union is well known for its extensive and highly controversial mass surveillance legislation, the mandatory Data Retention Directive (DRD), adopted in March 2006. This requires all ISPs and communications providers to keep data for at least 12 months, and which must include enough information to: (A: ...and they tell you all the stuff, trace and identify and all the rest of it.)

... In practice this means that logs are kept of all telephone calls, SMS messages and emails made and received, and all websites visited, and all EU citizens are subject to this massive invasion of privacy, regardless of whether or not they are suspected of any crime.

The details of who can access this information varies by country (for example in the UK a large number of organisations have been granted access with very little judicial oversight), but in general it must be available to 'competent' national authorities in specific cases, 'for the purpose of the investigation, detection and prosecution of serious crime, as defined by each Member State in its national law'. (A: ... and so on and so on. Then it gives you the opposition to the data retention directive and all the rest of it.)

But the folk will accept it because they get addicted very quickly to twittering and chatting away and prattling, and just repeating rubbish that's fed to them by the media. So they won't give it up, you know. And most folk don't mind now. Actually it's a great job they've done on it, on psychology of the public. Most of them don't really mind... oh well I've done nothing wrong you know... and that's how they see things. People fought against government intrusion for centuries, having rebellions and all the rest of it and revolutions. And it only took a few years for everyone to give it away. That's why you never fight in a revolution for something better, because you know the next generation or the ones down the road will toss it out the window, for candy, basically. No kidding you, folks. It's too easy to manage people by those who have the ancient sciences of it. It's just too easy. No kidding.

And also too, I'll put up an article tonight too about Attenborough. Everybody's heard about Sir Attenborough, again, at it again, complaining about giving foreign aid to feed people across the world, third world countries, because they have overpopulation problems. A lot of the countries, actually, are underpopulated in Africa; most folk don't know that. They've got the opposite impression by the propaganda. There's not enough folk left in a lot of the places to actually do the farming that they need, and then they have all these wars on the go. Now you've got the big AfricaCorp group in from the United Nations too, using the US military, to grab the last resources there too, and getting the wars stirred up between different tribes and peoples and all the rest of it. So the guys are all fighting and no one's doing the farming. Old techniques, Britain did it for centuries too, when the big boys took over them, moved in and took their countries over too, a long time ago.

Attenborough criticises food aid – uk.news.yahoo.com / Press Association / Sep 18, 2013

And...

President [Obama] Breaks Arms Export Laws to Send Shipments to
Syrian "Rebels"

thenewamerican.com / 17 September 2013 / Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.

According to a story published by The Hill, "President Obama has waived federal regulations on U.S. arms sales to begin supplying anti-chemical weapons equipment to rebel forces in Syria."

Under applicable (until now) provisions of the Arms Export Control Act, the president may not authorize shipment of any item included in the U.S. Munitions List.

(A: And it goes through the paragraphs of the munitions list and all the rest of it...)

Paragraphs (a)-(c) of Category XIV specifically outlaw exporting “chemical agents” or “equipment for dissemination, detection, and identification of, and defense against” those agents. (A: ...and so on and so on. But what’s different? I mean, he’s been exporting arms for years. They had the conferences, what, earlier on this year, and Britain has sent them arms shipments through Qatar, so did the US, and missiles too by the way, and so did France. So everybody’s in on the act. That’s all I’ll say about that because it’s not worth saying any more is it?)

And also in Detroit it says here, teaching folk to be austere. It says...

Detroit Government Shuts Down Power Because Residents Didn’t Conserve [Enough] Electricity

(A: This is austerity, you see.)

Intellihub.com / Andrew Freeman / September 16, 2013

The government initiated a “intentional shutdown” of the power grid, now representatives are blaming residents for failing to conserve energy.

A power outage that was confined to a small area became a city-wide problem when the local government made the decision to shut down a large portion of the power grid as a “precaution”, sending

a “strong message” that people needed to turn off their air conditioners.

When asked about the short notice for the forced power outage, City of Detroit Chief Compliance Officer Gary Brown, said “We did start calling our customers prior to taking them down and asking them to turn off air conditioners, but they weren’t responding as fast as we would like them to so we had to send them a strong message by turning the power off.”

Now, that’s what the Smart Meter is about too, for those who don’t know about it. Because the first articles that came out about Smart Meters in Canada said that they could actually turn off all your power, on demand, not just the one person’s house; they could actually isolate you, or a whole area, or the whole country, or parts of the country. That was part of its intention. So now they’re using it, of course, and teaching you, training you, like basic beasts, you know, basic beasts, just train them, by fear and no power. That’s the civilized country that’s leading the world.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it’s good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

October 23, 2025 at 11:59 am

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1877](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Hi folks. I’m Alan Watt. This is Cutting Through the Matrix on September the 25th, 2013.

I always suggest newcomers to the broadcast look into the website cuttingthroughthematrix.com and help themselves to the audios which are there for free download, there are well over a thousand, maybe a thousand and a half of them, where I go through the system we’re born into. And it truly is, underneath all the palaver you might say, the excess speech and nonsense and trivia and distractions of media because the media is part of the control mechanism of course. There is one system running the whole world right now and it’s been running it for a long time. The 20th century was used to standardize a lot of countries into the same one system with the World Bank at the top, the Bank for International Settlements even above that and the IMF and so on and so on and so on. So the big bankers of course a

hundred years ago set up this system to bring in their system. And of course it's been done very quietly at times, and at other times, in times of crisis, they use wars to do things. And they decided that they'd bring in a new system all together and run the world properly. And properly means basically they would run the politicians quite openly. It's very open in fact because their own private club's historian, Professor Carroll Quigley, who was the historian for the CFR, Council on Foreign Relations, he said that there hasn't been a president or a prime minister elected for sixty years, that was back in the 1960's he said that, that wasn't a member of this particular club. It doesn't matter about the guys down below, it's only important that you get the guys at the top of the parties and they're all members. That's the way it is folks.

So they have a plan of course for the world to run it properly as I say and gradually train you into authoritarianism. The Club of Rome, that's also a think tank, one of the many think tanks, these private clubs, came out in the 70's and said that democracy would never work, too cumbersome, too many conflicting pressure groups and parties all wanting things for themselves, and they wanted to get the big, big job done of globalization and the new system to be brought in. So they would bring in an authoritarian system; the best way to do it is through perpetual war on terror, naturally, to keep you safe and strip all your rights away at the same time. Most folk have adapted into it rather quickly as it's happened in the recent years and they've got further to go from this.

But at the moment we're going through the last few wars to standardize the world to make countries that are not in debt to the IMF and World Bank, to bring in private central banks, and so on. And of course at the same time be looted by the same group that gave you this global society in the first place, the ones that run the money.

So help yourself to all the audios. Remember too all the sites listed have transcripts for print-up in English and if you go into alanwattsentimentsentinel.eu you can get transcripts in other languages of some of the talks I've given over the years.

But most folk never catch on. They never catch on to what's really happening. They get caught up in the news. And news doesn't mean truth. It's a word, it's new, whatever is new. It could be any story at all. That's why it's called stories, news stories you see. It's like history. It's the guy at the top, after the battles are won he writes them for the king and he writes his story. That's why you get history. So news is just the same you see. News is just something new for us to prattle on about or distract us or even lie to us directly because news doesn't have to contain any truth, legally by the way; that went all the way to the Supreme Court in the U.S. and the judge said that the news doesn't have to tell truth. It's got nothing to do with it, it's just news. So anyway you can do that.

Remember too that you bring me to you. I don't bring on advertisers as sponsors. I don't sell lots of products and so on. All I have are the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and this keeps

me kind of clean you might say and no one can kind of lean on you and use you and push you and so on. So therefore if you want to keep me ticking along here you can help me by supporting me, buying the books and discs there at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. From the U.S. to Canada remember you can still use personal checks to order, you can also use international postal money orders from the post office, you can send cash, or use Paypal. Across the world you've got Western Union, Moneygram and Paypal. And straight donations are seriously welcome as we go through the planned inflation for the next umpteen years.

But I generally take things, subjects which people just take for granted simply because they exist and like banking systems or even money for that matter and things like that and just point out the absurdity of how we're told they all basically run, all this kind of system runs. Whenever you, as I say, find that a science is made out of and a degree is made out of counting, there's a con going on because everyone can count, folks, and subtract. It's rather simple. But when they take all your cash, which just represents your labor, that's all it does, you're paid tokens; money is just tokens you understand. And then they put it into this big casino which the same big boys own, the casino, it's all rigged, the stock market, and toss it all around while they rip off millions again. It's quite a system and it's bizarre and it's so simple really to understand for those who have never really been conned into studying economics. But it's very simple to understand. And even Lord Rothschild, the first one that moved into Britain, when he was asked about the con of the stock market there with the Bank of England, he said that very few folk would figure it out. The ones who would figure it out would be the ones who were working in the system as chartered accountants, etcetera. In other words they were profiting from it themselves and they were not likely to let the cons get loose to the public how it's all done. And nothing has changed today. Wars have gone on and been forced on by those who already controlled the banking systems of different countries as they moved from empire to empire and destroyed the old empires by simply moving out with their cash. And then into new empires and using them to expand the empires and the U.S. is being used right now. And of course they'll be left with nothing but debt, the actual people that is. They'll be left with nothing but debt.

Now we've seen this farce too where the banks twice a century, at least twice a century, they plunder the public via the government. The government is just the butler basically for big bankers. That's what the butler does, they say yes sir and no sir and they pass bills to make things law, like making you take vaccinations, things like that and so on. Or like the U.S. mandating that you must use Obamacare, a government-ordered system but run by private insurance. So that's what government's there for you see today. It's been like that way for a long time in fact because most folk just simply didn't know it. And we take things so much for granted because we're born into this system and most of this stuff is already existing around us, so we don't question it at all. Your parents don't know to question it, they accepted it too.

So we find that the con goes on. Now after the last plundering of the public and getting awfully well rewarded for it too by the public once again, you find that they set up all these new supposed laws so that the next time the public won't have to bail out big banks they say, which is not true; they still will do it. But they also want to steal the depositors' money as well and that's called bail-ins you see. And we find that Iceland, it says in this article:

"Iceland Borrows European "Template" –"

Alan: The plan in other words that was decided at the Bank for International Settlements and the World Bank; all countries have agreed to use this one system and I think it kicks in, in some countries, Canada included, in 2015.

"Iceland Borrows European "Template" – Removes Large Deposit Guarantees"

Alan: From the banks it says. So:

"Following the crisis in October 2008, Iceland's government declared all deposits in domestic financial institutions were 'blanket' guaranteed – an Emergency Act that was reaffirmed twice since."

Alan: Well I'll continue with this because there are a couple of articles on the same thing. Folk don't know they're getting ready for the same thing again.

Back with more after this break.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the banking system. And of course they're getting ready down the road to give everyone haircuts. It must be the new fashion I guess and we'll all have haircuts and be dead broke as they steal your cash, if it's in the banks that is. And they've made a law so they can do it, legally you see. Everything is legal if it's passed in law. It doesn't mean it's right or wrong or whatever, it just means it's legal. And lots of things have happened like that. But it says:

"Following the crisis in October 2008, Iceland's government declared all deposits in domestic financial institutions were 'blanket' guaranteed –"

Alan: That meant all of them were guaranteed.

"...an Emergency Act that was reaffirmed twice since. However, according to RUV, the finance minister is proposing to restrict this guarantee to only deposits less-than-EUR100,000. While some might see the removal of an 'emergency' measure as a positive, it is of course sadly reminiscent of the European Union "template" to haircut large depositors."

Alan: It's not European Union, it's the whole world folks because they've done it in Australia, Canada signed on to it, they've all signed on to it and as I say it kicks in, in 2015.

"The government will remove its blanket guarantee for large depositors..."

And it says:

"The bill is currently in the process of Finance, but the basis is very similar to the bill presented to Parliament in November 2010..."

Alan: And actually this is older, this whole thing is older when we go into the history of these ideas for stealing depositors' money. This is not the first time they've discussed these things and tabled bills and so on. They keep things quiet until they need them and then they scalp you.

But anyway this also ties in with this article.

"Cyprus-Style Wealth Confiscation Is Now Starting To Happen All Over The Globe"

"Now that "bail-ins" have become accepted practice..."

Alan: And that's normalized now. Just quickly we adapt, just like that you know.

"...no bank account and no pension fund will ever be 100% safe again. In fact, Cyprus-style wealth confiscation is already starting to happen all around the world. As you will read about below, private pension funds were just raided by the government in Poland, and a "bail-in" is being organized for one of the largest banks in Italy. Unfortunately, this is just the beginning. The precedent that was set in Cyprus is being used as a template for establishing bail-in procedures in New Zealand, Canada and all over Europe. It is only a matter of time before we see this exact same type of thing happen in the United States as well. From now on, anyone that keeps a large amount of money in any single bank account or retirement fund is being incredibly foolish."

"Let's take a look at a few of the examples of the Cyprus-style wealth confiscation..."

"Poland"

"For years, there have been rumors that someday the U.S. government would raid private pension funds. Well, in Poland it just happened. According to Reuters, private pension funds were raided in order to reduce the size of the government debt..."

Alan: Well governments are always going to be in debt because they just keep borrowing money from the private banks, don't they?

"Poland said on Wednesday it will transfer to the state many of the assets held by private pension funds, slashing public debt but putting in doubt the future of the multi-billion-euro funds, many of them foreign-owned. The Polish government is doing the best that it can to make this sound like some sort of complicated legal maneuver, but the truth is that what they have done is stolen private assets without giving any compensation in return..."

The Polish pension funds' organisation said the changes may be unconstitutional because the government is taking private assets away from them without offering any compensation. Announcing the long-awaited overhaul of state-guaranteed pensions, Prime Minister Donald Tusk said private funds within the state-guaranteed system would have their bond holdings transferred to a state pension vehicle, but keep their equity holdings. He said that what remained in citizens' pension pots in the private funds will be gradually transferred into the state vehicle over the last 10 years before savers hit retirement age."

Alan: "Iceland" is the one I just mentioned too. I'll put the links up for that too and it goes on, then it says...

"Europe"

"European finance ministers have agreed to a plan that would make "bail-ins" the standard procedure for rescuing "too big to fail" banks in the future. The following is how CNN described this plan..."

European Union finance ministers approved a plan Thursday for dealing with future bank bailouts, forcing bondholders and shareholders to take the hit for bank rescues ahead of taxpayers. The new framework requires bondholders, shareholders and large depositors with over 100,000 euros to be first to

suffer losses when banks fail. Depositors with less than 100,000 euros will be protected. Taxpayer funds would be used only as a last resort."

Alan: Well they will do all of it folks. Understand, each time they steal and get away with it and then we bail them out, the whole country bails them out, because you're put down as the guarantor to pay off the debt you see by taxation, then they get bolder and bolder and if nothing is going to stop them then naturally just like any greedy sod he's going to keep pushing for more and more. And that's what they're going to do. So now they've made it legal to do it. And then it says in:

"Italy"

"As Zero Hedge reported on Tuesday, a "bail-in" is now being organized for the oldest bank in Italy....

Recall that three weeks ago we warned that "Monti Paschi Faces Bail-In As Capital Needs Point To Nationalization" although we left open the question of "who will get the haircut including senior bondholders and depositors.... given the small size of sub-debt in the capital structures." Today, as many expected on the day following the German elections, the dominos are finally starting to wobble, and as we predicted, Monte Paschi, Italy's oldest and according to many, most insolvent bank, quietly commenced a bondholder "bail in" after it said that it suspended interest payments on three hybrid notes following demands by European authorities that bondholders contribute to the restructuring of the bailed out Italian lender."

Alan: So it goes on and on and on. "New Zealand" by the way is also in the same boat.

"The New Zealand government has been discussing implementing a "bail-in" system to deal with any future major bank failures. The following comes from a New Zealand news source...

The National Government are pushing a Cyprus-style solution to bank failure in New Zealand which will see small depositors lose some of their savings to fund big bank bailouts, the Green Party said today. Open Bank Resolution (OBR) is Finance Minister Bill English's favoured option dealing with a major bank failure. If a bank fails under OBR, all depositors will have their savings reduced overnight..."

Alan: A nice word, it sounds better than stolen, eh? Reduced.

"...to fund the bank's bail out. "Bill English is proposing a Cyprus-style solution for managing bank failure here in New Zealand"."

Alan: So Canada's the same. All this is on this site actually, Canada as well. And in fact Canada was all for it. They were in at the very, very start to get it all done. And:

"Canada"

"Cyprus-style 'bail-ins' are actually proposed in the new Canadian government budget. When I first heard about this I was quite skeptical, so I went and looked it up for myself. And guess what? It is right there in black and white on pages 144 and 145 of 'Economic Action Plan 2013' which the Harper government has already submitted to the House of Commons. This new budget actually proposes 'to implement a 'bail-in' regime for systemically important banks' in Canada."

Alan: So it's called the...

""Economic Action Plan 2013" was submitted on March 21st, which means that this "bail-in regime" was likely being planned long before the crisis in Cyprus ever erupted."

Alan: Understand, anything that happens on a big scale in the world is planned often for years in advance folks. I hope you understand that. We are the last to know about anything. And then the media will filter it down to us as though it's just something the politicians had to come up with in an emergency, very recently sort of thing. That's how it's done.

But believe you me they're going to do it again. I mean that's part of the plan too for further consolidating power globally. And we need a new institution to give more power to the World Bank, the BIS, the IMF and all the rest of the big privately-owned boys up there that were set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs because that's who set them up you know. The same club that decided to change the whole world and start wars even to get their way. That's a fact too; they did; they were behind lots of wars. They still are by the way. And they're a front for something else of course.

Now this article here too is to do with this fracas in the Middle East that keeps going on and on as we all know but again there is no big news to this because it was planned and at least discussed back in the early 90's by the PNAC group, Project for the New American Century and then published in about '98. They also published one in about '93 and then republished it in '98 with the same list of countries to be taken out. And we know who was all involved in it, in the setting up of it.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You’re listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. I’m Alan Watt. This is Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the nonsense in the world. And everything really is nonsensical because even when truth is exposed the media will forget it in a week’s time and go back to some other story or some other spin on things.

But it says here, this is to do with...

“After U.N. speeches, Israel strikes wary tone on Iran”

“The good news for Israel in President Barack Obama’s speech at the United Nations was his insistence that any steps Iran might take to solve the standoff over its nuclear program must be transparent and verifiable. The bad news was that Obama wasn’t clear about what those steps should be. Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, in a one-minute video posted online Tuesday after the Obama speech to the General Assembly, welcomed the parameters outlined by the president and made clear he wanted to know more. But he also reiterated Israeli skepticism that conciliatory gestures by the recently elected president of Iran, Hassan Rouhani, reflects anything more than a skillful charm offensive aimed at easing Western pressure while the pursuit of nuclear weapons continues unimpeded.”

Alan: And it goes on and on and on. But you know Israel has got lots of nuclear weapons and it hasn’t signed any non-proliferation treaty with the United Nations. It hasn’t allowed any inspectors in either.

So I mean the whole idea of the nuclear weaponry was, even during the cold war, was that it was a standoff. It was to stop nations from just being the one bully with one thing, the big, big super weapon, and taking over whatever they wanted, you understand. That was the whole thing. In fact that’s why they say we never actually went to war with Russia because no one dared do it. It stopped war. But Israel wants to be the only country or state as they like to call themselves over there that’s got the ability to do this. But as I say they don’t sign anything, they don’t let inspectors in, yada-yada-ya. So it’s just ridiculous. The whole darn thing is ridiculous. And then too this kowtowing by all nations to Israel shows tremendous power of the big, big lobby worldwide. Massive power involved in it.

But even a child would ask what’s fair. Children do that. Children see things and they don’t see shades of grey, it’s black and white you know. And so they ask why did you do this for this one but not for that one and so on and so on and so on. But we can’t get an answer, can we? We can’t get an answer.

And this goes on and on and on. And remember too all the countries that have been taken out so far, and Syria is still to go, and Iran, were all on the same list of the PNAC group back when Bush Jr. was in as president. And we have the same list actually that was published in Israeli newspapers as well.

And then we find an article like this too...

"Former U.N. Ambassador Criticizes NYC Hotel Hosting Hassan Rowhani"

Alan: Who is over for the talks at the United Nations.

"A former U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations is calling on a prominent New York City hotel to deny accommodations Iranian President Hassan Rowhani and his political delegation, which will be in the city next week for the United Nations General Assembly meeting."

Alan: So they're telling them not to take them in as guests, paying guests.

"Rowhani and a team of top Iranian diplomats will purportedly be lodging at the ONE U.N. hotel in downtown New York City, according to former U.N. Ambassador Mark Wallace, who currently serves as CEO of United Against Nuclear Iran (UANI)..."

Alan: I mean this is part of the lobby group for Israel you see.

It says and:

"...a watchdog group."

Alan: No other country, just united against nuclear Iran.

"It is inappropriate for the U.S. hotel to play host to a delegation that has denied the Holocaust, threatened to kill U.S. soldiers, and is secretly pursuing nuclear arms that would likely be used to strike America and Israel, Wallace and UANI wrote in a letter to the hotel's management."

Alan: So this is the sort of lobbying that goes on constantly and constantly with special interest groups or self-interested groups and they put pressure on governments by many, many means. And money is a big part of it to do with it too, big money behind it too.

Also, this article is quite interesting because it says... I mean politicians are politicians you understand. They don't really manage things. Well they manage their wallets that keep getting fatter, they're awfully good at that, but they don't really manage things. As I've said, above them are advisors. These are more important than the politicians and the same with presidents, their immediate advisors are in on the big global agenda far more than they are too. And all you get is a PR blitz to elect this guy or that guy. They give fake stories about their upbringing, fake stories about their family life and everything else. It's all fake, fake, fake. And they read their scripts written by the scriptwriter and that's that. But it says here:

"Germany's Green Party leader regrets campaign to "legalise paedophilia""

Alan: You see. So:

"The head of Germany's Green Party has expressed regret about a two-decade-old political pamphlet containing calls to legalise some forms of pedophilia."

Alan: I wonder about what some forms are.

"Jürgen Trittin was listed as "legally responsible" for a 1981 election pamphlet, which called for the decriminalisation of sex acts between adults and children "that occur without the use or threat of force."."

Alan: It's done by coercion and other means too and bribery.

"Mr Trittin, the co-leader of the party and a former German environment minister, told a press conference in Berlin on Monday: "It was also my fault and my responsibility that these mistaken demands endured for so long." He added: "This position is false, was false and lasted too long." Asked how he viewed it then, Mr Trittin replied: "I saw it as problematic". At the time the pamphlet was published, Mr Trittin was a grassroots activist for the Greens. In the mid-1980s he became a regional MP for the Greens in Lower Saxony. The demand for legalisation was withdrawn during the course of the 1980s after campaigning by women's groups within the Green party. The revelation will be a further blow to the Green Party's election hopes."

Alan: And so on.

"The party's support for making paedophilia legal only ended in 1990 but the past has haunted them throughout this election campaign."

"He argued at the press conference that the party's view on paedophilia was shaped by the campaign against Paragraph 175, the provision of the German criminal code that made homosexuality illegal. This was abolished in 1994."

"He said: "In the founding phase of the Greens, gay and lesbian groups were campaigning to reverse discrimination of a kind you can hardly imagine today. "The impetus for liberalisation and decriminalisation overshot its target."

Alan: It overshot its target, eh? Going for children.

"And it overshot, because there was the fiction that – beyond violence and the abuse of a relationship of trust – there could be sexual relations between adults and children." The Greens announced earlier this year that they would set up an inquiry into their links..."

Alan: I was going to say their kinks.

"...with paedophile groups, following a row over a Green MEP Daniel Cohn-Bendit's apparent endorsement of sex with children."

Alan: Well some of them are still pushing for it.

"The first report of the inquiry, published last month, concluded that the Greens "set barely any boundaries on sexual relations between teachers, carers and their charges – or between adults and children". Daniel Cohn-Bendit, a student leader in the 1968 unrest in Paris, claimed in a 1975 book that he interacted sexually with children while working at a kindergarten. The remarks came to light again this year when he was awarded a prize by the Theodor Heuss Foundation, which honours West Germany's first president. He subsequently insisted that he was not a paedophile and had made the remarks solely in order to shock "bourgeois" society."

Alan: Being a good commie and all that.

"The Greens..."

Alan: And so it goes on and on and on but the fact is, I mean nothing shocks folk anymore because you've been degraded morally over, by a deliberate course of action. And I've talked many, many times about the Frankfurt school and their publications and the books they put out too and they were rather

blatant about destroying all Western values and cultures and so on and European values absolutely. Even in America, they saw them as European values and they'd go in and destroy them too because they didn't belong to those countries, even though they were maybe born in some of them.

But the thing is, this was the agenda. It's been awfully successful. Last night I talked about the article, one of the articles was to do with this new sex box thing in Britain, BBC is bringing it out, Channel 4, where they're going to have couples having live sex in these boxes supposedly and it will go on and on from there because eventually everything is to be, all taboos have to be destroyed totally, including sex with children by the way. And I said that years ago, you're going to see live sex on TV, even in comedy shows they'll have it eventually until you will yawn because people adapt so amazingly fast. And then they get into all the kinky stuff because nothing satisfies them anymore. And you get sadomasochism and so on and so on. Talking of that, by the way, this was an article from Britain...

"Village hall trustees ban bondage group from holding flogging workshop after being told event was "relationship support group meeting""

- "Trumpington Village Hall, near Cambridge, was set to host all-day BDSM...

Alan: I had to look up the D part. I wasn't quite sure what it was. But it's to do with Bondage and Discipline they call it, Sadomasochism...

...workshop on 12 October."

- "£10 day [cost] included sessions on spanking, flogging, 'erotic hypnosis' and a 30-minute class on nipples"
- "Still offered tea, biscuits, sandwiches and crisps throughout"
- "But now the event has been cancelled by the venue as the application was made under "false pretences""

Alan: They said they were there for a kind of relationship course, to discuss relationships.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, reading about one of these oops stories where a local town hall had actually booked a sadomasochist bunch workshop for these weirdos. And they misrepresented themselves saying that they were for an adult relationship discussion group basically. And it's quite amazing too what's... I mean this is what folk's into after watching a whole lifetime of television getting worse and worse and worse and movies and so on. Because that's the agenda, folks, you know. That is the agenda.

You understand in ancient times certain peoples ruled over all the pagans because of the pagan habits and so on. And when you want to bring down peoples and rule over them, that's what you do, you degrade them. They don't know they're even being degraded. But it's happened. It's already happened when you think about it, I mean there are far more abortions than ever before now and every city in the U.S., Britain, and everywhere else are just burning them up everyday you know or selling their parts off because there is always a profit in death you know in this money system and those that run it. And also you have, you'll eventually get even sadomasochism and so on along with the sex box stuff that's coming out now on BBC, live sex; you'll get adult and children sex eventually too. It's all going that way because you adapt and adapt as you're getting perverted you understand. That's what it is, you're getting perverted. Then you need more and more, it's like an addict on cocaine or whatever. They need more and more all the time. And then actually it's so easy to rule you and dominate you by those who know how to do it in governments and so on. But it's happening; what can you say? It's happening and it's pretty well done. It's too late actually and remember too what Theo Adorno from the Frankfurt School said, he said, right down he says to when we have necrophilia, it won't be enough then. When you have necrophilia as we destroy the cultures, it won't be enough he said in one of his books. That's pretty well here. And you want to save... You think you're going to save this, don't you? You think you're going to save your systems? It's a joke. It's an absolute joke that you're going to save your systems.

Also this article too, to do with kickbacks. Of course kickbacks is normal in today's society in all business and higher business. And it says here:

"Two quotes..."

Alan: From the Libor Scandal.

- "Derivatives Broker 1:"

Alan: This came out to do with the Libor Scandals, fixing, rigging the rates for banking. Broker 1 says:

“...Make 6m go lower! They r going up. Senior yen trader will buy you a ferrari next yr if you move 3m up and no change 6m.”

Alan: And it's got the dates that these statements were made by text messages that were all intercepted and so on, by personal mobile phones.

- “Yen Desk Head: Lord Baliff, I would suggest a lunch over golden week. Monday or Tuesday if you are around. *** As for kick backs etc we can discuss that at lunch and I will speak to Senior Yen Trader about it next time he comes up for a chat.”

“And... introducing “Lord Libor” – ICAP’s own Colin Goodman, who seems to have only one connection on LinkedIn – perhaps to King Eurodollar:”

Alan: And it goes on and on and on but it's not a bad article to do with normal business. And this goes on amongst politicians too. And all you have to see, I've read the articles here, who puts most of the politicians in the U.S. in, and in Britain as well now; it's the same bunch, a small clique, bought and paid for, you know. Democracy, really? Democracy, quite something.

Also, you can also watch outright theft at times under many guises. I mean it's just like robbing the public when the banks pretend to fail. And it says:

“Judge rules that Feds have right to seize Fifth Ave. tower tied to Iran, largest asset seizure in US history”

- “Feds accused the building owners, a foundation with ties to the Iranian government,”

Alan: What do you mean, ties to the Iranian government?

“...of funneling rent proceeds back to Iran.”

Alan: Do you understand that you've got these foundations all over the planet in every country, all kinds of them funneling money back to all kinds of places if any interest goes to it or if there is any investment at all. You'd be surprised where some of the investment of Canada and so on happens to be right now, by the government even.

- “The building is at 52nd Street and 5th Avenue, one of the most sought-after addresses in the city.”

Alan: I bet it is.

- “Selling it might fetch upwards of \$700million for the families of victims of Iran-aided terror attacks.”

So:

“A federal judge handed an Iranian-owned Fifth Avenue office building in New York to the government Monday.”

“Handed down by Manhattan Federal Judge Katherine Forrest, the decision is subject to appeal, the Daily News noted, but the ruling came only days before a separate private lawsuit was to commence in an attempt to seize the building on behalf of those victims. ‘The court has found that, based on the incontrovertible record evidence,’ rent money was funneled to a state-run Iranian bank, making it ‘a front for the government of Iran.’ ‘The assets at issue . . . are subject to forfeiture,’ Judge Forrest concluded. A real estate appraiser told the Daily News the building was snared at just the right time.”

Alan: {Laughs} It’s amazing isn’t it? Do you realize what they’re telling you here is the cover story? This probably took a bit of chatting and bribery and so on for about a year for all the different people to get together to try and steal this tower, which they’ve done successfully. And they’ve got people onboard in the government and everything else for it to happen. And you get some flimsy story. Not bad, eh? There’s outright theft there, right there. If you knew where some of the people’s money from the U.S. was invested all over the world you may be shocked yourselves. It’s just astonishing what goes on and people never question it. They never question it. Ah well.

“US Census Report Shows Entrenched Poverty and Declining Living Standards”

Alan: In the U.S. And it says that the actual report was:

“...released Tuesday, entitled “Income, Poverty and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2012,” makes a mockery of President Barack Obama’s claims to be restoring “security and opportunity for the middle class” in the wake of the 2008 financial breakdown. The report provides a snapshot of a society in immense crisis. Poverty is at a near-generation high of 15 percent, close to the high point since the 1965 War on Poverty, the 15.2 percent rate reached in 1983. According to Tuesday’s report, 46.5 million Americans, including 9.5 million families, live in poverty. Some 20.4 million people live on an income less than 50 percent of the official poverty line, 7.1 million of these being children under 18. More than 48 million remain without health insurance. More than 31 percent of the population experienced some period of impoverishment during the years 2009-2011. Median household income, at \$51,017, was slightly

lower than in 2011, and down by 8.3 percent from 2007. Despite more than four years of so-called "recovery," American society remains plagued by mass deprivation and entrenched poverty."

Alan: And I think it's slated to go down the hill. It's interesting if you look at ancient Egypt, you found, and from then on actually, you found that there was a dominant minority running the country. And they lived in incredible wealth that you could not imagine even today, those people, with massive mansions and buildings and so on. And they were surrounded by incredible poverty, incredible poverty these cities. And in the cities too there was a mayhem with prostitution, there was even forms of drugs because they had opium back then too, and so on and so on and so on. And down through time it's the same thing you'll see where the kings, the kings of cash are settled you'll find the same things again. The same M.O. you know, the same M.O., massive poverty but a minority with incredible, incredible wealth. And of course the minority gives you your whole culture, your TV, everything, which always makes things seem pleasant and normal, doesn't it? This is normal, all the happy smiling faces on television. It's so much different from reality, isn't it? That's a great con.

Also:

"U.S. subpoenas records of five Philadelphia judges"

Alan: The corruption is everywhere.

"Federal prosecutors and FBI agents have subpoenaed financial information from five Philadelphia judges, sought campaign-donation records, and interviewed judges and political figures as part of a wide-ranging investigation over the last year,"

It says:

"The financial disclosures of Angeles Roca, along with those of four other judges, have been subpoenaed."

Alan: To do with again fixing cases for cash. Pay to play they called it. This is the country that's the light to the world?

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 11:59 am

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1878](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

So help yourself to the website. You'll start to learn an awful lot of the conology, as I call it, the art of conning whole populations of people, generation after generation. Remember too, on the site cuttingthroughthematrix.com you can also find transcripts on all the sites listed there in English for print-up. If you go into alanwattsentientsentinel.eu you can get transcripts in other languages. Remember too, you bring me to you. You are the audience who support me because I don't bring on big advertisers as guests and give you one-hour ads basically. I certainly could do and be a lot better off for it too, but this keeps me kind of cleaner at the moment. And I hope it continues because things are getting pretty tight, as you well know, with inflation and so on. And folk are running out of cash, there's people losing their jobs all over the place too, with outsourcing still going on to different countries. That's the big plan of course, to take down the first world countries, that helped to fund the so-called third world countries up into a higher standard of living, all designed by people you'll never elect, through the big foundations and organizations that really run the world and that created the World Trade Organization to make sure your taxes all went abroad, for big corporations to set up there. So help yourself to that. Remember too, you can buy the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. [Order and donation options listed above.] Straight donations are seriously welcome, as we go through the planned inflation for the next 10 years, according to the Federal Reserve chairman.

So we're really going through massive changes. You understand, nothing happens by itself. Any big changes in society are planned by lots of brains working together and lots of meetings. They go over their agendas for the future, how to shape the public, how to train the public – and you're being trained all the time by the way, every generation has been trained – and this is for revolution. Revolution, most revolutions are bloodless. They're actually cultural revolutions, and the same revolutions are going on across the whole world, conducted and spearheaded by the same agents and people. Because this is a very, very, very old agenda indeed.

To change the system into a global society, then you must destroy all existing cultures, especially ones which are strong cultures, and that's why Europe was being demolished actually. I've read about this before, from even mainstream, where the British Deputy Prime Minister, admitted that he was told by

Tony Blair to open the floodgates to Britain – he wasn't the first one to do it of course; Thatcher was doing it too – and he said, this would destroy what was left of the British culture forever. It would be too many folk coming in, different kinds of people, from really varying countries, and not necessarily even European countries. The same thing's happening across Scandinavia and so on and so on. So a big, big, massive change is happening as lots and lots of people, millions are migrating across the planet to the countries that are really encouraging them to come in.

And we also go through the other old mores, they used to say the old mores, and morals that we used to have, they're all taboo now and they're kicked out the window. Because the old morals kept you – and it was part of your culture – it keeps your culture intact, and when you have a common culture you stand up against tyranny of all kinds. Well, first you must destroy all of those morals and so on, and degrade the people, until they will never stand together. You must make them hedonistic, as Lord Bertrand Russell said, and he certainly was a big planner of the stages that you're going through today. He's long dead but he helped plan everything in global meetings with his cohorts to do with the taking down of culture. Even before he came along other ones were at the same thing. HG Wells was one of them in fact, the novelist, but he also wrote lots of nonfiction; he was a propagandist for this organization, this private club, that called themselves the Lord Alfred Milner group, or just the Milner group, and they became the Royal Institute of International Affairs, but a private organization, unelected. They advise governments across the planet. Their counterpart or their branch in America is called the Council on Foreign Relations. They have branches across the old British Empire countries, but including India as well, and they advise all governments on what the agenda is and where to take the world. We have no say in anything, actually, at the bottom, and democracy was a joke because these people didn't believe in democracy, ever. In fact, they believe in eugenics and superior types and inferior types.

If you go into the histories of the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs you find that they backed every tyrant throughout the 20th century. In fact, they wanted wars, they had to instigate wars, and it's documented by their own personal historian, Carroll Quigley, who had access to their archives, to update them and so on. He said, these guys literally fomented World War I; they were behind the agitation for that. Long before that, in fact, during the Boer war, which they also caused by the way, they were going after the world's resources and they decided not to let Germany come in and take over the resources of different parts of Africa too, which would be a step they were taking, so they decided to nip it in the bud and have a war. Wars also help to change the cultures of both opposing forces, by the way; it's another side effect of war. Quigley himself said, you can do more on social change in five years of war than 50 years of peace and propaganda.

So what we're going through today is a continuation of it, because it's the war on terror, which can go on forever you see, because terror can always be redefined, which it has already in fact, many times in different instances, and you can constantly change society and train society to be anything that you want, to behave the way that you want them to behave, to believe what you want them to believe, to train them not to talk about certain topics, taboo topics, and give them default positions so that whenever these topics come up they go immediately back to default, the original setting, and simply don't talk about it and they get embarrassed and walk away. And we are very easily trained, very easily trained.

These are old, old arts, you understand, going back to the old Greeks who wrote a lot about it, the art of controlling peoples and managing continents and empires, and this was updated with every Empire from then on. And at the top of every Empire you always had this odd thing called money, supposedly a means of exchange. But in reality too, those who always controlled the means of exchange, from generation to generation and Empire to Empire, really, you control everything from the top to the bottom, including the governments. And all those who are elected into government, the first thing they do as a President or Prime Minister is to have a chat with the Federal Reserve chairman – or the Bank of England for instance in England, and the Bank of Canada too, which isn't really a bank – and they're given their marching orders, they're on board.

But everybody that you elect at the top, it doesn't matter what party is running and who they supposedly run for, you find that they're all preselected by the Council on Foreign Relations and the Royal Institute of International Affairs. And that was, again, from Carroll Quigley who mentioned it in his book *Tragedy and Hope* and *The Anglo-American Establishment*, which is a fantastic insight into how wars are created, who's behind them, what are the ultimate goals, geopolitical goals and financial goals as well. And that's what we're really living in today, as the last few countries not in the World Trade Organization and on the central banking and IMF are being flattened. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, we're back *Cutting Through The Matrix*, where I try to tell you how things really are as opposed to how they appear to be. The whole art of managing massive populations across the planet is to do with

perception management. Perception management is awfully important. You've no idea the amount of time that marketers and psychologists and so on spend doing the wording of the various drafts and bills that they put out through government and so on. You have no idea how important it is for them to go over every single word and its sequence within a sentence and so on. Because you work like a computer and the whole idea is to alleviate any concerns you might have with bills and laws that are going to go through, and to make you think it's all happy and good and upfront and so on. But it's always very, very deceptive. So it's meant to con you in other words.

But here's an article here to do with corporate welfare, and we saw lots of corporate welfare when the banks got bailed out. And people forget another thing about banking, a lot of investments and purchases and so on across the world, big building projects, which they love of course, using nations as the guarantors for all the cash that's borrowed to build them, you find that governments are involved with insurance companies, big insurance companies. Insurance companies build roads and everything, for their own investments, etc., sometimes outdoing the banks, the big banks, and people forget about them. And when Britain, the US and all these countries bailed out the corporate world crooks – that have always been around, and I guess will always be because they never change the laws to stop it, so they can do it again, since they always plunder us twice a century at least – then you find that governments give a lot of corporate welfare and bailouts and so on to big insurance companies. This article is interesting because it's the usual pabulum. As I say, the media gives you pabulum, to spin something to you, and it's never right to the point and so on and so on, or it doesn't go into the whys of things. But we know that Britain for an example, I'm sure America did the same thing, they also had to bail out massive insurance companies, massive ones. And in Britain it was Lloyd's of London and it says...

Osborne Faces Scepticism Over Lloyds Sale

news.sky.com / 22 September 2013 / Mark Kleinman

George Osborne (Alan: ...who's the head of the Treasury, that they call it over there.) is facing scepticism from inside his own department about the prospect of a multibillion pound sale of Lloyds Banking Group

shares to the general public.

Sky News understands that some Treasury officials are expressing private concerns about the complexity of a retail offering of part of the Government's remaining 33% stake in Lloyds.

(A: Now, how many billions did they have? because this is the remaining 33% stake in Lloyds, and the taxpayer was put down as the bailout character, who will get nothing back from it at all except getting higher taxes all the time. And since when is it the government's role to stand in and put the taxpayers down to bail out anybody, anyway, in the first place, any corporation? No one asks the obvious questions. Because you think the governments are there to serve you. No, no, no. They're there to serve the big corporations, because there's one system in the world and the real government is above the ones that you think you elect. It really is. It's a parallel government, the real one. Anyway, they had a remaining 33% stake in Lloyds...)

A mass public sale of shares in the bank is widely-seen as likely in the early months of next year following last week's successful placing of a 6% stake through a deal which raised £3.2bn. (A: And then it goes on with its usual pabulum and so on and so on.)

But when they say they're going to offer this to the public, this isn't the Joe Public. They mean private businesses, the big boys. And the guys that are overseeing this are guess who? It's the bankers to the world, Goldman Sachs, you know, the same bankers of course that fudged Greece's books and made them look so rosy in order to get them accepted in joining the EU; we know where that went too. And they did quite a few other countries as well. But there was no charges laid there either for fudging the books. But anyway, it's quite interesting, this, because they give you the usual 'value for money for British taxpayers' rubbish and so on and so on, and then this next article goes on and it says...

Lloyds Sale of A\$9 Billion Aussie

(A: Australian.) Unit to Get at Least Four Bids

bloomberg.com / Paulina Duran / Sep 19, 2013

The face value of assets in the transaction, known as “Project Carey,” is close to A\$9 billion (\$8.6 billion) and bids are due Sept. 30, according to the 15 people, who asked not to be identified because the details are confidential. The sale includes Lloyds’ Capital Finance unit and a portfolio of over 30 corporate loans valued at more than A\$2 billion, (A: ...each or maybe the lot...) , lent to companies including Telstra Corp Ltd. (TLS), Arrium Ltd. (ARI) and WICET Holdings Pty, the people said.

Britain’s biggest mortgage lender, part-owned by the U.K. government (A: ...as though it’s a natural thing. Since when is your government in the banking business? buying over the assets of defunct businesses?), is off-loading assets it no longer considers essential (A: I mean, government’s not supposed to be in the business of handling or buying out Lloyd’s of London because they were sunk with the banking crisis; the reason they were sunk is because they were as crooked as the rest of the banks.), as it shrinks its balance sheet after a government bailout in 2008. The U.K. this week started to sell its 39 percent stake in the lender as part of a move to full private ownership. European banks are bolstering their balance sheets via asset sales as they try to meet stricter capital rules.

Goldman Sachs Group Inc. (GS) is advising Lloyds on the sale, with senior management from Credit Suisse Group also assisting the London-based bank. Lynne Machin, Lloyds’ Sydney-based spokeswoman, didn’t return a call or reply to an e-mail (A: ...blah blah blah blah blah.)

And it’s interesting because, even though they’re giving certain bids to big corporations in Australia and across the world, these corporations are often owned by foreigners, again. See, you’re already global. You’ve been global your whole life, you didn’t know it, and your parents were too and they didn’t know

either. Actually, so were the grandparents; they didn't know it either. See, nationalism is only good when they want lots of wars to continue, one after the other, where they can set you against different countries. But in reality, in between the wars, you're always global, because the same boys run all the countries, through their money system.

And then you go into this article here too, and guess what? I mean, isn't this amazing, this happens just in time to sell the assets, that supposedly the British government's holding, on behalf of the public, as though you had shares in it or something.

Westgate insurer faces Sh6.6 billion compensation bill

businessdailyafrica.com / September 25 2013

London-based insurer, the Lloyd's market topped the list of big losers as Nairobi counted its losses in the wake of a deadly terrorist attack that claimed at least 67 lives and left dozens missing. (A: So this one that happened in Nairobi, you can imagine if that happened and they just completed the sale from the government over to the private business, it might have folded right there and nobody would get paid. Isn't it happy for the boys involved that Britain still owns those shares, they haven't sold them yet, so the taxpayer is left with the tab for this. Isn't that amazing? You think things just happen by themselves? It's quite something.)

More than 50 businesses that operated in the shopping complex, including Nakumatt Supermarkets, Barclays Bank, restaurants, jewellery and clothes shops, with goods worth billions of shillings, are also facing huge losses whose extent is yet to be determined.

The more than 500 people who worked in the shopping mall have been left jobless and the announcement that three of the building's floors had caved in during the three-day battle with the terrorists means it will take months for the businesses to get back into operation.

It has since emerged that Sony Holding Limited, a real estate company led by Alex Tachenberg, insured Westgate Shopping Mall through UK's Lloyd's market for about Sh6.6 billion. (A: So guess who's going to pay that up? So I guess Britain can look for its taxes shooting up again. Quite something isn't it?)

And there was an article too that came in today, to do with, Israel is over now helping in this inquiry investigation into the Nairobi incident. And I wondered why, but it's not until you read down the article why they're there to help. Israel owns a big chunk of that shopping mall [Alan chuckles.] and I guess that explains it. So Lloyds better cough up the cash quick, or at least the British government, on behalf of the taxpayer. So I'll put that up tonight too; all these links, remember, I put up at the end of the broadcast.

Nairobi attack: Israel takes lead role in advising Kenyan forces

theguardian.com / 23 September 2013 / Richard Norton-Taylor and Vikram Dodd

There's always connections to everything, you know. Always. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt. This is Cutting Through The Matrix and this came out today too...

Senate funding bill won't include 'Monsanto Protection Act'

(A: It says. Don't bet on it. They'll reword it through something else, in an omnibus bill, and slip it through. They never give up, these guys. But it says...)

thehill.com / Julian Hattem / 09/25/13

The Senate bill to keep the government funded will drop a controversial provision that food safety advocates warn lets agricultural giants avoid judicial oversight.

The provision, dubbed the "Monsanto Protection Act," was extended under the House's continuing resolution passed last week. Under the Senate bill, it will expire at the end of the month. (A: I guarantee you they'll put it through under something else, some other guise. Big business runs government, you know. Those in government treat the government as a private business themselves.)

This article too, is quite interesting. It says...

Congress Moves To Outlaw Alternative Media

activistpost.com / September 25, 2013 / Dave Hodges

(A: I've mentioned before about how the media is completely changed since the Leveson Inquiry in Britain, for instance, Lord Leveson or whatever his name is, and I don't know if he's a Lord or not, I think he is. But anyway, he drafted up the thing to keep journalists in line. But really, he's also going after blog posters and so on. And the same happened in Australia at the same time, with a counterpart in Australia. And I'm sure Canada did the same and other countries as well. But in the US here it goes...)

The alternative media is having a dramatic impact on the worldview of the country, so much so that US Senate is legislating against the only objective press left in the country.

It is a well-known fact that Senator Dianne Feinstein wants your guns and now she wants control over your words. Feinstein believes that a proposed media shield law (A: This is what they're calling it, media shield law...) should be applied only to who she refers to as "real reporters." (A: In other words, authorized ones, licensed ones.) Feinstein chastises other reporters as "basement-dwelling, pajama-clad bloggers with no professional credentials"

At issue is that the government could soon decide who is a journalist and who is not. Feinstein introduced an amendment, to Senate bill 558, that defines a "covered journalist" as someone who gathers and reports news for "an entity or service that disseminates news and information." The definition includes freelancers, part-timers and student journalists, and it permits a judge to go further and extend the protections to any "legitimate news-gathering activities." In the definition introduced in Feinstein's amendment, somebody writing for a small town paper with a circulation of 30 would receive First Amendment protections, but quality news people (A: ...and so on, would be outside the box basically, if you're not actually licensed to do it.) . . . With the passage of this amendment, our sources would not be privileged and many of our sources would dry up. But Wolf Blitzer and Anderson Cooper can take bribes from the CIA to not cover certain stories, yet Feinstein thinks they deserve protection as authentic journalists.

(A: Well, it's amazing the amount of work that she's put through from the US government to her hubby and his big corporations as well, and that seems to be okay as well; nobody bothers about that.) This is the same Feinstein who In 2009, introduced legislation which directed \$25 billion in "taxpayer money to a government agency that had just awarded her husband's real estate firm a lucrative contract to sell foreclosed properties at compensation rates higher than the industry standards. Feinstein was a member of the Military Construction Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies Subcommittee (MILCON) from 2001 to 2005. During her tenure, Feinstein voted for appropriations worth billions of dollars to her husband's firms URS Corp. and Perini Corp. Feinstein's personal wealth accumulation since becoming a

senator has grown to nearly \$200 million. (A: Not bad work if you can get it, eh, serving the public. You know there's two definitions of serving, you got to take the farmer's one.) Feinstein has never held a job outside of public service. I am having trouble understanding how a public servant can accumulate a fortune of this magnitude. (A: Well, I could go into that in great detail, but I won't.)

And also too, the same story broke out in the LA Times...

Bill to protect journalists clears Senate panel

latimes.com / David G. Savage / September 12, 2013

(A: So this is the more cleansed, hygienic version, to put you back to sleep, you see. It says here that...)

WASHINGTON — Journalists and bloggers who report news to the public will be protected from being forced to testify about their work under a media shield bill passed by a Senate committee Thursday.

But the new legal protections will not extend to the controversial online website Wikileaks and others whose principal work involves disclosing "primary-source documents ... without authorization." (A: See, the whole point of being licensed is to have authorization to pass on what they call news to the general public. And if you're not authorized and licensed, and you're trying to get some truth out there for a change, they come after you. That's what's happening now as they pull America into line. You've got to standardize everything, you see, and that's what they're doing. Anyway it says here...)

Senate sponsors of the bill and a coalition of media groups that support it hailed Thursday's bipartisan Senate Judiciary Committee vote as a breakthrough.

"We're closer than we've ever been before to passing a strong and tough media shield bill," Sen. Charles E. Schumer (D-N.Y.) said. "Thanks to important bipartisan compromises, we've put together a strong bill that balances the need for national security with that of a free press." (A: There's too many conflicts within the US government, you know, way too many conflicts if you look into these characters.)

Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.) insisted on limiting the legal protection to "real reporters" and not, she said, a 17-year-old with his own website.

"I can't support it if everyone who has a blog has a special privilege ... or if Edward Snowden were to sit down and write this stuff, he would have a privilege. I'm not going to go there," she said.

Feinstein introduced an amendment that defines a "covered journalist" as someone who gathers and reports news for "an entity or service that disseminates news and information." (A: Understand, everything comes mainly through Reuters. I mean, we really are conned all the time by the information that they decide we're going to get. It doesn't mean it's true information, it can be to spin you off into having the opinions that they want you to have as well. That's been happening for about a hundred years easily, quite easily.)

And also, this article too is talking about how they did it to Britain...

Lord Justice Leveson (A: I guess it's Levi-son...)

promoted to third top judge (A: That's his payoff for pushing this massive thing through and drafting it up, in collusion with his Australian counterpart, in more ways than one, and I'm sure he got his promotion over there too.)

bbc.co.uk / 26 September 2013

Lord Justice Leveson, the man behind the report into the future of press regulation, has been made the third most senior judge in England and Wales.

The judge will become the head of the Queen's Bench Division in October.

The promotion means he will oversee a large branch of the judiciary, including claims brought against government ministers. (A: ...that's politicians and workers.)

Lord Justice Leveson succeeds Sir John Thomas, who has become the Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales. (A: This is like something from the Middle Ages, isn't it, in the so-called country that gave you democracy. What a joke that was, eh? What a joke that was. You ever wonder why it's red, white and blue? All the countries that were red, white and blue were the ones that were overtaken and conquered, by specific revolutionaries, moving from one to the next, then France, I think France was there too, and then the US. Old, old stuff you know.)

Also, we've had so many lies, over even the Bin Laden thing, that went on for years and years with fuzzy fake videos and wrong voices and phony translations and so on. That's what they feed the public.

Because they always say that the first casualty of war is truth, you see. So you must always get the public on board for any war that you want to go for. Even when you're plundering for oil and stuff you must give out different reasons, EMOTIVE reasons to get the public angry. And this is an old thing and, again, truth doesn't matter when plans are drawn up for war; facts don't count. Facts don't count, they never will. Anyway, so it'll continue...

Iran news agency slams CNN for 'fabricating'

Rouhani's Holocaust remarks

rt.com / September 26, 2013

Iran's state-owned Fars claims that CNN has 'fabricated' the remarks made by President Hassan Rouhani in response to the question about the Holocaust. The US news channel added to or changed parts of his remarks, the agency said.

On Tuesday, the newly elected Iranian president gave his first English-language TV message in an interview with CNN's Christiane Amanpour. The interview made international headlines with hundreds of news agencies worldwide boasting titles like "Iran's President Rouhani calls Holocaust 'reprehensible' crime against Jews" or "Rouhani recognizes the Holocaust as crime against Jews".

Asking about Rouhani's take on the Holocaust, Amanpour noted that his predecessor, President Ahmadinejad, infamously denied the Holocaust. "Do you accept what it was, and what was it?" the US journalist asked.

However, according to Iran's Fars News Agency (FNA), the news channel made up parts of Rouhani's answers, adding the word 'Holocaust' among other placatory remarks to its translation from the answers given in Farsi. (A: He never mentioned 'Holocaust'. And they give you the Fars translation, which is the language he speaks in, and then the CNN translation, and it's a world of difference. It's a world of difference, folks.)

But we get this all the time. But facts don't count, you know. Facts don't count; it doesn't matter. But they can really... You understand, the whole point is to convince everyone, through lies, to give you your opinions on everything, and how to demonize people. Understand, they can demonize whole nations of people, or individuals; they can demonize YOU, any time they want. And most will believe it.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix and this article too... You get so fed up talking about corruption in governments because governments have really always been this way. Britain's better at it because it's had a lot longer experience on how to cover up and keep from the public things that are happening within government with private deals and so on and making money. The House of Lords is famous for it. They loved the Cold War because they always had massive investments in the missiles that they were always making. You know, the Russians had a different one every month, supposedly – it was all fabricated of course – and we had to always get a new one to counter it. And these guys just passed bill after bill to requisition the cash for it from the taxpayer, and they made billions out of it. But in the States it's more open; maybe because there's more of them I think, you catch them more often. It doesn't matter because nothing happens to them anyway in the States; they've got a protected status, obviously.

How was Weiner's wife Huma Abedin allowed to 'double-dip' with private contracts while working for Hillary Clinton at the State Dept.?

A new lawsuit presses for answers

dailymail.co.uk / David Martosko / 25 September 2013

A government watchdog group has sued the U.S. State Department for records showing that Huma Abedin, the one-time adviser to then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, had a sweetheart deal that allowed her to keep her salary while cashing in on the side with a lucrative private-sector consulting contract that could have presented glaring conflicts of interest.

(A: And Judicial Watch is the one that put out the Freedom Of Information Act request.)

... In late May, the group asked the State Department to hand over paperwork showing the terms of its employment contracts with Abedin, the long-suffering wife of disgraced former New York congressman Anthony Weiner.

... Politico had reported a week before the group's request that after Abedin returned from maternity leave in June 2012, her status shifted from employee to 'Special Government Employee' – a part-time consultant. And the State Department, it was reported, allowed her to work for politically connected clients on the side. (A: You understand, if you got inside information you're worth a lot of cash to private business.)

Those included the William Jefferson Clinton Foundation and Teneo, a consulting firm co-founded by former Bill Clinton consigliere Douglas Band. The former president also sits on the company's board of directors.

Since Mrs. Clinton retired from her job as Secretary of State in March, Abedin has also been working for her personally, leading her transition team. And as the 2016 presidential race approaches, she promises to be an integral part of any campaign push.

Fox News has reported that the State Department paid Abedin \$135,000 per year to work part-time (A: Not bad for part-time, eh. That's what they say, we all have to have part-time jobs these days, three or four of them just to pay the rent, eh.) from her New York City home, an amount that she supplemented with a \$355,000 annual contract from Teneo.

That money likely kept the Weiner household afloat after the former congressman resigned his seat over his admission that he had a sexting habit.

Iowa Sen. Charles Grassley, the Senate Judiciary Committee's top Republican, has already made public demands for the same information Judicial Watch sought with its FOIA request.

'It appears,' he alleged in a June 13 letter to Secretary of State John Kerry, 'that Teneo may have been compensating Ms. Abedin for gathering information from government sources for the purpose of informing investment decisions of her clients – or in other words, political intelligence.'

Abedin has never disclosed the nature of her employment with Teneo, and the company did not respond to a request for information about that work. The firm says it provides 'the leaders of the world's most respected companies (A: You always get this, with the 'respected' businessmen. You know, the more successful they are, the more psychopathic they are, that's WHY they're so successful.) , nonprofit institutions and governments with a full suite of advisory solutions.'

'As is so often the case with the Clintons, or those close to them, Ms. Abedin's questionable dealings are wrapped in secrecy,' (A: Well, isn't that what government's all about, eh?) Tom Fitton said in a statement.

'The State Department's stonewall on Abedin suggests that there is something to hide.' (A: Well, there's lots of things to hide, not just with that one. But nothing happens about it anyway; it's a kind of a moan topic for the public. We just moan and grunt, you know, ooooh, you know, that's what we do, don't we? [Alan chuckles.] What else can you do?)

And also, this article is about why the IPCC, on climate change, at the United Nations, why it's not being televised...

Why the IPCC Meeting Isn't Being Televised

nofrakkingconsensus.com / September 26, 2013

Since Monday, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has been in a meeting. The purpose of that meeting is to take a document authored by scientists and ensure that its wording is palatable to the powers that be. (A: Remember, I talked before about psycholinguistics, neurolinguistics as they call it today, the same thing, ways to put things across. Because you work like a computer with a program and a logic, and a good programmer can actually tell the answers that that computer must spit out according to its logical pattern. It says...)

Called the Summary for Policymakers, this is a 30-page précis of the IPCC's as-yet-unreleased Working Group 1 report (which is expected to total 1,000 or so pages). (A: ...which they want all countries to sign. You know, nobody ever reads these things, but all politicians know that's the game, they're not supposed to read them.)

At the meeting, one sentence after another has been projected onto large screens. Diplomats, bureaucrats, and politicians from dozens of UN nations have haggled, horse traded, and negotiated. (A: ...what's in it for me sort of thing.) Eventually, phrasing that everyone can live with has been agreed upon. Then they've moved on to the next sentence.

The meeting is closed to the public. (A: ...naturally, because it affects all of us.) It is closed to the media. No minutes are kept. (A: ...we're told.) But let us imagine that a television camera had been smuggled inside. What would we see?

In 2010, IPCC insiders answered a questionnaire sponsored by the InterAcademy Council (a collection of the world's science academies). Their anonymized answers paint an unflattering picture of these meetings.

First, here are some general impressions. The remarks appearing below are all direct quotes:

I suspect that...anyone who has not been involved in this process would scarcely believe how this meeting is managed; the expense, the length of the sessions, and the apparent pickiness of some of the discussion would strike many as a very poor way to conduct international business. (p. 114)

this was an agonizing, frustrating process, as every sentence had to be wordsmithed on a screen in front of representatives of more than 100 governments, falling farther and farther beyond a realistic schedule by the hour. In Brussels in 2007, the process ran all night on the two final days. (p. 334)

(A: These are all comments from people who attended.)

...I have observed the behaviour of the delegations from individual countries which certainly reflects a completely different mindset than my own as a scientist.

It's all political, and feminism and this and that and the other, all involved in it. Because these are the goals they're all aiming to get lots of cash for, for these private NGOs that all work for the big foundations that run the world. That's what they want to get. And get us all changing our entire way of life, by the way. That's SO important. All of us... that's what it's about. It's a social/political goal, nothing to do with the climate. And many of the top politicians have actually said that, it doesn't matter if all the science is bunkum or nonsense, as long as we get the political agenda through. You see. Anyway, I'll put this article up tonight to show you, it goes on and on, it's quite a lengthy article. And it says that they're going to present it eventually to the public, some bits of it. But again, they've carefully wordcrafted it, or wordsmithed it to sound palatable to the general public. But within it, if you got a lawyer to read it, you'd get a completely different take on it all, because they have lots of lawyers working on this stuff, because they've got lots of laws to pass in the future, you understand.

Also, it's interesting, that if you read this article here, which is quite a good website actually on some of the things to do with money and the big boys and so on, you find that the company TEPCO in Japan, it says it's the most hated corporation, and it's now to be bailed out forever. No kidding.

Investors Of Japan's Most Hated Corporation, TEPCO, To Be Bailed Out Forever – testosteronepit.com / September 25, 2013

It goes into the Japanese domestic production dropping "8.1%, down for the 12th month in a row, as automakers shifted production overseas to hedge against currency fluctuations, produce in markets where labor is cheaper, produce closer to their customers, and benefit from translating overseas revenues", etc. etc. But they're also moving over, as we well know, because some of the first cars to come

into some countries after Fukushima were unfortunately radioactive, radioactive indeed. But anyway, that's what's happening there. So this thing's going to go on forever with these nuclear meltdowns owned by TEPCO, so I guess they're going to be bailed out for ever and ever, amen. So it would seem.

Also, just for the people in the NSA of course, who are always happy for all the cash that's thrown their way, so as they can snoop on all of us, and give private business information to the big moguls like Goldman Sachs and stuff... You think that doesn't go on? Of course it does. Why do you think it's really, really there? Anyway it says...

Booting Up: New NSA Data Farm Takes Root In Utah

npr.org / September 23, 2013


The National Security Agency says its massive new data center near Salt Lake City will enhance the agency's ability to analyze the email, text message, cellphone and landline metadata it collects. (A: It's going to help them do better jobs on watching all of you. And it won't say exactly when it will be fully up and running, but they're really working hard...)

... There will be no "grand opening" or celebratory barbecue outside the sprawling facility, which is five times the size of the Ikea down the road. (A: [Alan chuckles.] Quite the world, eh. Quite the world we're living in.)

Well, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

October 23, 2025 at 12:00 pm

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1879](#)



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Now remember too that you bring me to you because I don't bring on advertisers as guests, I don't ask for advertisers as guests, in fact I decline them and I depend upon the people to buy the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. So to order from the U.S. to Canada if you want to understand the art of conology, how to con whole populations down through the ages, a very old science of course. It's much more perfected today under neuroscience and behaviorism, etcetera. You can buy the books and discs using personal checks from the U.S. to Canada, you can also send cash or use Paypal. And across the world you've got Western Union, Moneygram, and Paypal. And straight donations are seriously welcome as we go through the planned inflationary periods. And the inflation is to carry on for about another ten years according to all the bigwigs of the moneybags boys at the top.

So we're going through a planned society. Even the wars across the world too to take down countries by economic means through their banking and downgrading them, etcetera, is all part of them copying the exact same system, a private centralized bank under the IMF and so on. They've got to borrow money from the World Bank and pay it back through the IMF and they've got to be under the BIS, the Bank for International Settlements, and if they don't agree they're being bombed out of existence as we speak.

And of course it's the time of plunder too. They're called resource wars. Back in the 70's they talked about resource wars, the coming resource wars, and how there will be real hard wars to bring in, for the big, big corporations, international corporations, to get all the territory and oil and minerals and so on they want across the world. And we know they're going into Africa big time now. They've been setting this up for years as well.

So anyway, we're going through a long-term business plan. And that's what it is, it's a business plan. Most folk can't get their heads around that; they think we're all national still. And even before Gulf War I, every country was mentioning the global, global, global, we're all global and that was all the mantra at the time and suddenly you became national again when they needed troops to go over and fight for the resource wars for the big international corporations that give you nothing back except the bills of course. And then after this is all done too we'll go back to being global once more.

It's quite amazing how we can put on a globalist cap for years and then put the nationalist one back on when it suits the bigwigs to use us. Because nations are already gone, they're already gone. And of course Rompuy the head of the EU parliament said the same thing. I've read the article on the air in a

speech he gave at the EU parliament, that the nation-state is dead. That was their goal for centuries by the way because these organizations have been going down through the centuries causing revolutions to bring in a kind of socialist type order. And they work with the big bankers because big bankers prefer socialism. Under socialism you have big government. Big government needs to borrow money, because they've never got enough, even though they tax the people to death. And bankers live off the interest off it. They don't care if the lump sum is going to get paid back; it never can be at compound interest. It's only important that they get massive sums every year. That's called balancing the budget. It doesn't mean you pay off the main collateral that you owe; it's the interest on the debt that you pay off. And it's been like that your whole life. That's how it's been; every country is the same, folks. Because every country was taken over a long, long time ago by the same money people who came in from other countries.

So we go through the charade of pretending again that we're nations, etcetera. While the G20 was formed out of your prime ministers and presidents across the world and the public never even got a vote on it. Here's a private club basically that formed itself above all constitutions and created their own private club, signed global agreements and contracts, and they also dish out your money across the world. Your cash, the cash they tax off of you, across the planet for all their foreign excursions and their plans, etcetera, and their international companies too.

We bailed out the big banks of course when they decided to have the crash because they decided to have the crash. They knew they weren't going to last forever bumping up the prices of mortgages and then passing them off to the next bank that would buy them for a profit and keep bumping them up again. It's a complete bubble of course, an artificial bubble. And it's criminality of course. But of course no one, there is nothing, nobody is going to try them, nobody goes to jail over this.

We're given democracy, this thing called democracy, something called democracy that the big boys when they formed the Royal Institute of International Affairs, Council on Foreign Relations in America, when they formed them they had no intention of giving you democracy. They gave you the appearance of democracy, then you wouldn't rebel every four or five years, you would vote another bunch in. That's what you do. And meanwhile the parallel government, the real government that's above the ones that you think you elect, run the show and they tell the boys what to do that you think you put in.

I can remember when Brian Mulroney came into government in Canada as prime minister and he ran on getting us out of NAFTA. Within two weeks he was the champion pushing it forth you see. They're all liars and they're put in by again the same organization, the Council on Foreign Relations. They're all members of it. And they have been that way for it's now a hundred years. Every prime minister and president of the U.S. too has been a member for a hundred years. This is how long it's been going in picking presidents and prime ministers.

So they all know their roles. And Brian Mulroney pushed NAFTA, he was all for it. He said oh it will create jobs galore. Of course it did in Mexico and elsewhere across the world but not in Canada. And then a few years after he came out of government he was doing an interview and he was asked what he thought of NAFTA now and did it really help Canada, he said to be perfectly honest I don't know. You see when he's in government he's doing what he's told. He is not the top man at all. He is doing what he's told to push through and it's all the same across Britain and the U.S. and elsewhere. That's how it really, really works. There is one organization running the world.

Winston Churchill brought it out in parliament at one time because he was out of the loop on certain things before the Royal Institute of International Affairs was called its present name; it was called the Lord Alfred Milner Group. And this group had fomented wars in South Africa. They got the Boer War going by causing it to happen and then blaming the Boers of course, so as the British army would come in and then the big business interest which of course was themselves. The same member group, they grabbed all the gold fields and the diamonds and so on. That's how the thing really works in this world folks. It's vastly different from what you think.

Back with more I think after this break. I think that's the music coming in.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the system and the system really is all encompassing, all encompassing. Your whole reality was given to you and every generation is updated with your new PC ways of behavior and so on and accepting various things and having certain opinions and so on. We're being standardized all the time but the previous generation had its own standardization given to them too, thinking it's all normal. But in fact for centuries we have been bred for work. That's what we do, we work and we fight, we go to wars. And Fort, Charles Fort, said that a long time ago. "I think we're farmed", he said. And really that's how you look at it; you're pretty well farmed. And meanwhile of course you're conned all the way thinking that you have a country and you are free and you're independent. All countries tell their folks that. All countries say that. And they have the best healthcare in the world and the best everything in the world. That's the standard routine that you go through. And most folk believe it and serve their country in all kinds of ways which perhaps they shouldn't sometimes.

But the technique of creating such societies as I say is very, very old indeed. And when your reality is all encompassing and you accept it and your peer group accepts it too, you don't realize that, no, you're in a form of slavery basically. If you had true freedom no one could lean on you for a previous generation's debt for instance. And that's what we all do because we're all paying off the debt of previous generations and anyone born in the future is going to be doing the same. And that's a slavery form you

see. It's a more sophisticated form of slavery. That's what Charles Galton Darwin was talking about in his book, "The Next Million Years". That's what he meant by that, a more sophisticated form of slavery, meaning the slaves would never figure out they were slaves.

You think everything is quite natural. Everything exists because it's there when you're born, and your peer group, the same age group too, will think the same thing as you, so you're all quite sane and that's how you think it all works of course and nothing is further from the truth. It's all contrived that way. It's set up that way and you're not allowed to dig into any areas that are outside the box so to speak. And it will go on and on and on until they have the perfect society, as we're dying off actually. And we certainly are dying off because very few folk in the first world countries are breeding, they're not having children. The U.N. happily reports on this every year and also happily reports that they have to bring in masses of immigration from other countries to bring them in to help pay off the national debts we've all accumulated for these wars and bailing banks out and everything else that we do for the big corporations. That's reality.

But we're also tampered with our minds all the time by professionals. And I've gone through the psychological nudge units they have in Britain and the U.S. and Canada and elsewhere. We all have them now and they nudge you in so many ways into thinking or behaving in a different way or having different opinions than you used to have. These are all the nudges and you're guided to them so that you'll feel that you belong with the peer group.

The more education you have the easier it is to program you. And I've read the articles too. They have done study after study in universities to do with that very topic because those who get higher up into the middle classes are so desperate to hang on to being a middle class person and mixing with their peer group, drinking wine standing up and all the rest of it, that they don't want to be seen as someone not in the know. And they immediately adapt and adopt any new behavior that's given out to them by their bosses, their masters, you see.

Now in Britain they're trying to desensitize the public to war, to the effects of war. And it says here that...

""We must make war more acceptable": MOD (Ministry of Defence) urged to make repatriation ceremonies low-key and use more drones, SAS (Special Air Service) and mercenaries to reduce..."

Alan: What's called the...

"..."body bag syndrome""

- “Report says images of flag-draped coffins reduces support for military action”

Alan: Remember the first thing you got to do in war is to get the public support behind you by telling lots of lies, about an enemy bayoneting babies or something like that, that’s the standard old stuff.

- “It adds special forces and mercenary deaths don’t hit public as hard”
- “MOD says document is to foster ‘debate’ and...”

Alan: So far it...

“...is not policy”

Alan: But it will become policy. So:

“Repatriation ceremonies for the remains of dead soldiers should have a lower profile in order to make war more palatable to the British public,”

“It examines how to sway ‘casualty averse’ public opinion, a situation commonly known as ‘body bag syndrome’, and was published by the Ministry of Defence’s strategy formulation unit. The document suggests that the Ministry of Defence should ‘reduce the profile of the repatriation ceremonies’ where coffins carrying deceased soldiers are brought back to UK bases such as RAF Brize Norton in Oxfordshire. It discusses ways to ‘reduce public sensitivity’ and methods of explaining that ‘risks are knowingly and willingly undertaken’ by armed forces personnel.

Suggestions included making greater use of the Special Forces and mercenaries because it claims losses sustained by the elite soldiers and hired guns do not have the same impact on the public and press. “Neither the media nor the public in the west appear to identify with contractors in the way that they do with their military personnel. Thus casualties from within the contractorised force are more acceptable in pursuit of military ends than those from among our own forces.””

Alan: So they want to use more private armies is what they’re saying here because you don’t think about them as being yours as much; they’re just international mercenaries.

“It added: ‘The public appear to have a more robust attitude to SF (Special Forces) losses.’ Reassurances are made in the paper that the British public may not be as ‘risk-averse’ as they appear, and suggests

this is 'based on recent, post-2000 experience'."

"The report adds: 'The public have become better informed and our opponents more sophisticated in the exploitation of the sources of information with the net result that convincing the nation of the need to run military risks has become more difficult but no less essential.'

The report, written in 2012 and released under the Freedom of Information Act, has been met with criticism by relatives of soldiers killed while serving their country."

Alan: And it goes on and on and on. But that's a pretty standard one as we get our heads tampered with again you see. Everything is a show to the public, even the presentations of everything you will see on television is a show to the public as it goes through editing, it goes through careful structuring by professionals in marketing and so on, on how to get opinions over to the public. The correct opinions, the ones they want you to have that can be completely 180 degrees from the reality, in other words they're an absolute lie. But that's okay, that's quite acceptable when you're dealing with the public. This is how we're treated you know.

And I really cannot figure out how people prattle on about democracies, that you've got democracies. Where? Where do you have a democracy?

Also, this one reminded me of Scotland actually, this article here. It's talking about Ontario, Canada...

"Six different boreholes produced approximately 5 km of core samples while exploring a deep geological repository near the Bruce Power Nuclear Plant in Ontario. The GR has been proposed to store nuclear waste deep underground."

Alan: So they're going to store it. They don't know where to put it you see. And some of these countries like Canada are bringing the stuff in from other countries and refining it supposedly, you know kind of recharging it in a sense, but there is always stuff left over; what are you going to do with it all? Well, you bury it.

So:

"Core samples dug in and around the site beside Lake Huron show the rock formation to be extremely stable with no signs of movement for millions of years."

Alan: Well that's a bet, isn't it?

"This will make it safe from any future geological event such as earthquakes."

"Six different bore holes produced approximately five kilometres of core samples while exploring a deep geological repository near the Bruce Power nuclear plant. The DGR is being proposed to store nuclear waste deep underground. Core samples dug in and around the site beside Lake Huron show the rock formation to be extremely stable...."

Alan: Etcetera, etcetera. And this is what they plan to do with it. And then it gives you a story of someone who lives near the plant itself. It's called the Bruce Nuclear Plant. It's a woman, and it says she is getting up in arms about it. She is not happy about this stuff getting sunk near her at all under this stable rock as they claim. But that's what they were doing in Scotland back in the 70's. They were bringing it up from England and boring it into the Grampian Mountains or encasing it in a glass casing and dumping it into the lochs.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about the farce of the world because that's all we have really is farce, isn't it, when it comes to disclosures to the public that's put through marketing and censorship and all the rest of it. It's like George Orwell's "1984", we're living in it. It's all around us in fact.

But you have an article like this and that came out last week in fact.

"US intelligence chiefs urge Congress to preserve surveillance programs"

"US intelligence chiefs used an appearance before Congress on Thursday to urge lawmakers not to allow public anger over the extent of government surveillance to result in changes to the law that would impede them from preventing terrorist attacks."

Alan: So they urged lawmakers not to allow public anger. So don't get angry, you're not allowed to be angry, you see.

"General Keith Alexander, the director of the National Security Agency, conceded that disclosures by the whistleblower Edward Snowden "will change how we operate"."

Alan: Meaning it will be harder to find out what they're up to.

"But he urged senators, who are weighing a raft of reforms, to preserve the foundational attributes of a program that allows officials to collect the phone data of millions of American citizens.

In testy exchanges at the Senate intelligence committee, Alexander and the director of national intelligence, James Clapper, refused to say on the record where the NSA had ever sought to trawl cell site data, which pinpoints the location of individuals via their phones.

They were challenged by Democratic senator Ron Wyden who, as a member of the committee, has for years been privy to classified briefings that he cannot discuss in public."

He says:

""You talk about the damage that has been done by disclosures, but any government official who thought this would never be disclosed was ignoring history. The truth always manages to come out," he said."

Alan: I wonder if it does though. Anyway it says:

""The NSA leadership built an intelligence data collection system that repeatedly deceived the American people. Time and time again the American people were told one thing in a public forum, while intelligence agencies did something else in private.""

Alan: Well that's called lying you know, just outright lies.

""Asked by Udall whether it was the NSA's aim to collect the records of all Americans, General Alexander replied: "I believe it is in the nation's best interest to put all the phone records into a lockbox – yes.""

Alan: So they're going to keep doing it forever by the looks of it but then that's the world agenda. All countries are the same now folks. We're way beyond the Stasi system that they had in Eastern Germany, way, way beyond their system.

And also this article too, to do with the agenda. The agenda is right on track to where it's supposed to go. Remember the Council on Foreign Relations and you've heard it from different members of the CFR saying this, they always say that, "How can we use this disaster to our advantage?" What they mean is a disaster to their agenda's advantage you see, to push it in line with the agenda. In other words they live off disasters that appear to come out of the blue to the general public, but they're not. They are not, not from 9/11 onwards, it hasn't come out of the blue at all. And it goes right along with the agendas of course. It's the same with the agenda now for the unification of all the monetary system under the Bank for International Settlements. And there'll be another big crash when it's time. When it's time for the next crash it will happen. It's planned that way you see. And it always is horrible to the public but not to the guys that plan it all. They get what they want out of it you see. But it says:

"US Census Report Shows Entrenched Poverty and Declining Living Standards"

Alan: In the U.S. So:

"A US Census Bureau report released Tuesday, entitled "Income, Poverty and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2012," makes a mockery of President Barack Obama's claims to be restoring "security and opportunity for the middle class" in the wake of the 2008 financial breakdown.

The report provides a snapshot of a society in immense crisis. Poverty is at a near-generation high of 15 percent, close to the high point since the 1965 War on Poverty, the 15.2 percent rate reached in 1983. According to Tuesday's report, 46.5 million Americans, including 9.5 million families, live in poverty. Some 20.4 million people live on an income less than 50 percent of the official poverty line, 7.1 million of these being children under 18. More than 48 million remain without health insurance."

Alan: Well a lot of them will still get by anyway because the rest of the folk will have to pay it for them.

"More than 31 percent of the population experienced some period of impoverishment during the years 2009-2011."

Alan: Then in another article it says here from the Business Insider...

"2012 U.S. Census data released last week doesn't look good for the middle class, with median household income, income growth, and share of the total national income all continuing to decline. Liberal think tank Center for American Progress published several charts on these depressing trends."

Alan: And I'll put up the links for all these tonight, including the chart.

"David Madland, the Director of the American Worker Project, thinks that the trends will continue, barring major policy changes in the government. "My expectation going forward is that median household income will be stagnant while the incomes for the wealthiest will increase significantly," He told Business Insider."

Alan: Well that's been that way for years because even the United Nations is happy to shout that too, that the gulf between the rich and the poor is staggering. The middle class are really just getting put into the bottom class now. And the ones who are wealthy today, this big, big clique are getting wealthier and wealthier all the time.

"The recent decline of middle class incomes is because almost all of the economic growth since the latest recession has been gobbled up by the super-rich. Large leaps in wealth for the top 5% have masked declines in income for the bottom 95%, giving the appearance that the economic recovery has benefited all parties.

Even in good economic times (such as 2001-2007), median household income stayed flat. These trends, says Madland, are 30 years in the making — the result of "trickle down" economic policy and the loss of workers' economic power to get higher wages."

Alan: What they don't mention here is it's also because you're run by private institutions that gave you the World Trade Organization (WTO) with its private court that decides who gets free trade and who doesn't. And they decided to give it to China. And your factories all went abroad. The same in Canada, they all went abroad. And not only that, they use the taxpayer in these secret agreements actually, at the time they were pretty secret, the taxpayers funded those factories to get up and move. And also, we funded any losses they incurred during that process of setting up in China.

So of course it's all planned that way. And they knew all the fallout of it before it happened. They plan everything and say, what will the fallout be? Oh millions will be unemployed. {Laughs} What are we going to replace them with? What are we going to make them work at? Well they'll just have to go on unemployment, etcetera, etcetera. I mean this is all planned. We're global folks. We're run by international globalists. The private organizations that set up the WTO were the Royal Institute of

International Affairs in London, England, it's a private organization. Every media mogul is a member of it so that's where you get your propaganda from. And their branch in the U.S. is called the Council on Foreign Relations. It's the same thing; they do the same thing there. Anybody who's anybody in the U.S. is a member of it. And they want global free trade and a global government and one system running the banking system across the planet, all in private hands. Their hands you see. It's very, very simple to figure out.

Now fracking of course, again this is what really gets me, they always use the public, the public's purse, put it that way, for the big projects at home or abroad nowadays, big corporations. And the governments give you great public relations like we're going to have energy for another hundred years because we can do fracking and get energy at home. And then once they get all the grants put in and they're doing it and so on and then start bottling the gas, etcetera, they start selling it abroad. So, so much for having it at home. It will be gone, it will all be gone abroad you see. And I've read the articles on the air about it. Everything is a racket folks and government is part of the racket. But anyway it's always fallout for the public in more ways than one.

"Dangerous levels of radioactivity found at fracking waste site in Pennsylvania"

Alan: I mentioned this before but they've done a deep study on it.

"A gas production site at the Marcellus shale formation in Pennsylvania."

"Scientists have for the first time found dangerous levels of radioactivity and salinity at a shale gas waste disposal site that could contaminate drinking water. If the UK follows in the steps of the US "shale gas revolution", it should impose regulations to stop such radioactive buildup, they said. The Duke University study, published on Wednesday, examined the water discharged from Josephine Brine Treatment Facility into Blacklick Creek,"

Alan: It's called.

"...which feeds into a water source for western Pennsylvania cities, including Pittsburgh. Scientists took samples upstream and downstream from the treatment facility over a two-year period, with the last sample taken in June this year.

Elevated levels of chloride and bromide, combined with strontium, radium, oxygen, and hydrogen isotopic compositions, are present in the Marcellus shale wastewaters, the study found.

Radioactive brine is naturally occurring in shale rock and contaminates wastewater during hydraulic fracturing – known as fracking. Sometimes that “flowback” water is re-injected into rock deep underground, a practice that can cause seismic disturbances, but often it is treated before being discharged into watercourses.

Radium levels in samples collected at the facility were 200 times greater than samples taken upstream. Such elevated levels of radioactivity are above regulated levels and would normally be seen at licensed radioactive disposal facilities, according to the scientists at Duke University’s Nicholas school of the environment in North Carolina.”

So:

“Hundreds of disposal sites for wastewater could be similarly affected, said Professor Avner Vengosh, one of the authors of the study published in Environmental Science & Technology, a peer-reviewed journal.”

Alan: And I’ll put the links up for that one too.

““If people don’t live in those places, it’s not an immediate threat in terms of radioactivity. However, there’s the danger of slow bio-accumulation of the radium.””

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We’re Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the system, which is everything of course. It’s all that we know, is the system.

And another article too about the border, the U.S. and Canada border. And there have been so many horror stories coming out of there too over the years since 9/11 as it’s got crazy; it’s got crazy and so on. And the Department of Homeland Security really has the full right to do whatever they want apparently. But apparently there is a TV show called, “On the Media” and the producer and the family and friends of this producer of the “On the Media” show were detained after attending a wedding in Canada. And I think her name is Sarah Abdurrahman. So it says:

“Everyone they detained was an American citizen, coming back to the US after attending a wedding of a cousin. They were treated terribly, put in a cold room with no food or drinks, and no information on what

was going on. CBP demanded they hand over their electronics, and made it clear they might not get them back.”

Alan: It’s true, they take your cell phones off you and everything.

“The thing is, this isn’t a unique situation. As the report notes, there’s almost no oversight over CBP actions, allowing them to act with impunity. In the report, the story is told of a 4-year-old girl, an American citizen, who was detained for 14 hours, in a cold room, without being allowed to speak to her parents and given no food beyond a cookie. And then she was deported. Even though she was a US citizen. She was allowed to come back weeks later, but now has symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder.

Abdurrahman’s own story is perhaps not that crazy, but is still ridiculous. She tried to find out information during the detainment, but was repeatedly told “it’s not your right to know.” She wasn’t even allowed to know the names of the CBP agents who detained them. When she asked, agents turned their backs to her so she couldn’t see their name tags. Multiple attempts at getting Homeland Security or CBP to respond to questions failed.”

“She also tells the story of some other wedding attendees who were similarly detained with incredibly obnoxious behavior from CBP agents.”

Alan: Border Patrol agents

“The first one they met was quizzing everyone in the car on their names, and the 3-year-old child in the back cheerily volunteered his name and the CBP agent snapped at him.”

Alan: Yelled at him.

“The agents initially promised that they wouldn’t search their phones, but then demanded that people unlock their phones, and even told them the phones would be confiscated and not returned. People complained that they needed their phones for work and were basically told too bad. Abdurrahman notes that three full cars of people from that one wedding all had their phones confiscated, and she notes that DHS...”

Alan: Department of Homeland Security.

"...claims only about 15 phones are confiscated each day by CBP, and wonders why everyone from one wedding appear to have nearly reached that quota."

Alan: And it gets worse and worse actually but I'll put the link up tonight for you to have a look at. And I think there is an audio that goes with it if you want to listen to the audio.

Now we know too that the big internationalists have never changed their... You got to understand the psychology of psychopaths. They're not creative psychopaths but they get to the top as CEOs of massive corporations. And they're megalomaniacs too. But a psychopath really is all out for themselves, the world revolves around themselves, that's it. And they have never changed their attitudes to the rest of the public. They're there for their use of. That's what the public is for. And they tend to get up into politics too. Anywhere where the big public purse is, they get up there. They like power, they like accolades and applause.

So they've used the same systems down through the ages. Well I knew when they were building Dubai and for the very, very rich folk to go and live in Dubai, they imported people from all over the world, even from Latin America. And they bring them in under the same old cons that the British Empire used, part of your pay to get over there is deducted from your wage every week and eventually they'll deduct money for you to get back home again. And of course because you have to spend money when you're living there, you can never save up the money to get back home, so you're a slave.

And this one is at Qatar. Now Qatar is the great place for the U.S. and Britain and other countries to ship their arms into for the Syrian rebels. That's been in mainstream news and I've read that on the air too. So they have:

"'Slaves worked to death' building Qatar's World Cup paradise – report"

"Protesters demonstrate against the perceived exploitation of workers in Qatar, the location of the 2022 World Cup, before a UEFA Congress in central London on May 24, 2013."

"One construction worker a day dies as Qatar seeks to build facilities for the World Cup. That's the finding of The Guardian newspaper, which characterizes the conditions facing migrant workers in the country as "slave labor.""

Alan: Now as I say that was the same in Dubai because I knew a doctor who went over there for a couple of months and he couldn't believe these people were living literally in cardboard boxes. These are the workers. They were working twelve to fourteen hours a day in that kind of heat too. And many of them got

sick, many of them died, and they just didn't even know who they were, they just buried them. This still goes on today folks. And don't think for an instant that the same people who brought these people over to build this World Cup place wouldn't do the same to you if they could get away with it, because they would. In all ages they have, they have. Never think that you're somehow getting civilized and that human nature is changing. It hasn't at all, not in the least. Not with the psychopathic types.

Also this article is pretty good too...

"MasterCard today unveiled a new global report, "The Cashless Journey," that tracks how 33 major economies are progressing from cash-based to cashless societies."

Alan: They planned this long, long ago. Long ago.

"The report, produced by MasterCard Advisors, identifies new technologies, government programs and consumer preferences as key factors that are driving this shift, creating more productive and inclusive economies."

Alan: That's a nice way of saying it but there is more to it of course. But it goes through the different countries.

"The report identifies Belgium (where an estimated 93% of the value of consumer spend was cashless), France (92%), Canada (90%), the UK (89%), Sweden (89%), Australia (86%) and the Netherlands (85%) as countries where cashless payments are nearly ubiquitous, and attributes the broad movement away from cash to the uptake of new cashless payment technologies such as mobile, contactless and EMV Chip and a modern payments infrastructure."

"Countries such as the United States (where an estimated 80% of the value of consumer spend was cashless) and Singapore (69%) are approaching the "tipping point" to becoming nearly cashless, and remaining cash use is largely a product of consumer habit."

Alan: So it's just habit they're telling you, right. And then they give you the ones that are down at the bottom, that haven't caught up yet.

But then you go into this article to give you another look at it.

"Israel eyes..."

Alan: Israel.

“...eyes cashless economy to boost tax collection”

Alan: You see there are many ways to put this kind of stuff out you see.

“Off-the-books payments cost the Israeli public because billions of shekels go untaxed and don’t contribute to the treasury, according to the head of the new committee that will propose ways of reducing cash transactions and increasing electronic payment methods.

Alan: So they’re doing ways that are actually going to discourage folk from using cash. You get little bonuses, little free this and that if you don’t use cash you see. It always works with the people unfortunately, doesn’t it? And it’s all for that. And too the governments planned years ago to go completely cashless and eventually in your computer, will be linked right to the government tax office and any purchase you make or anything that you sell will be taxed immediately as you do it in real time and it’ll go straight to the government. I’ve read about that years ago. So that’s why we’re all going this way. That’s why.

Also it says:

“Feds arrest the alleged founder of Bitcoin’s largest drug market”

“Federal prosecutors have indicted a man named Ross William Ulbricht in San Francisco. They say he is the founder of Silk Road, a controversial website that allows users to buy and sell narcotics and other illicit goods. Founded in 2011, Silk Road website used Bitcoin, a digital currency that helped mask the identity of Silk Road’s merchants and customers.

The government shuttered the site and seized approximately 26,000 Bitcoins worth approximately \$3.6 million. The government says it was the largest ever Bitcoin seizure in history.

“During its two and a half years in operation, Silk Road has been used by several thousand drug dealers and other unlawful vendors to distribute hundreds of kilograms of illegal drugs and other illicit goods and services to well over a hundred thousand buyers,” the government alleges in its indictment. The government says that 600,000 Bitcoins changed hands on the site, which at current exchange rates translates to \$1.2 billion. In addition to narcotics, Silk Road also had listings for fake drivers’ licenses, counterfeit currency,”

Alan: I wonder what counterfeit is? If money is backed by nothing, what is it, eh? It's a religion, it's backed by faith.

"...hacking services and much more, the government alleges."

Alan: And so on and so on. But we'll all be cashless shortly and then it's like Bertrand Russell said when he talked about giving the people credits instead of money. It's the same thing you see, cashless, it doesn't matter what the cash is, it's credits. And eventually they'll just stop all of your, they'll take what they want from your account, the government will do that, or shut you down if you've been bad or politically incorrect on your site. Things like that. It's all been discussed before you heard of the internet.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 12:00 pm

[EDIT](#) | [MOVE](#) | [SPLIT](#) | [TRASH](#) | [SPAM](#) | [UNAPPROVE](#) | [REPLY](#) **#1880**



Alan
Keymaster
(127.0.0.1)

Now remember too that you bring me to you because I don't bring on advertisers as guests, I don't ask for advertisers as guests, in fact I decline them and I depend upon the people to buy the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. So to order from the U.S. to Canada if you want to understand the art of conology, how to con whole populations down through the ages, a very old science of course. It's much more perfected today under neuroscience and behaviorism, etcetera. You can buy the books and discs using personal checks from the U.S. to Canada, you can also send cash or use Paypal. And across the world you've got Western Union, Moneygram, and Paypal. And straight donations are seriously welcome as we go through the planned inflationary periods. And the inflation is to carry on for about another ten years according to all the bigwigs of the moneybags boys at the top.

So we're going through a planned society. Even the wars across the world too to take down countries by economic means through their banking and downgrading them, etcetera, is all part of them copying the exact same system, a private centralized bank under the IMF and so on. They've got to borrow money from the World Bank and pay it back through the IMF and they've got to be under the BIS, the Bank for International Settlements, and if they don't agree they're being bombed out of existence as we speak.

And of course it's the time of plunder too. They're called resource wars. Back in the 70's they talked about resource wars, the coming resource wars, and how there will be real hard wars to bring in, for the big, big corporations, international corporations, to get all the territory and oil and minerals and so on they want

across the world. And we know they're going into Africa big time now. They've been setting this up for years as well.

So anyway, we're going through a long-term business plan. And that's what it is, it's a business plan. Most folk can't get their heads around that; they think we're all national still. And even before Gulf War I, every country was mentioning the global, global, global, we're all global and that was all the mantra at the time and suddenly you became national again when they needed troops to go over and fight for the resource wars for the big international corporations that give you nothing back except the bills of course. And then after this is all done too we'll go back to being global once more.

It's quite amazing how we can put on a globalist cap for years and then put the nationalist one back on when it suits the bigwigs to use us. Because nations are already gone, they're already gone. And of course Rompuy the head of the EU parliament said the same thing. I've read the article on the air in a speech he gave at the EU parliament, that the nation-state is dead. That was their goal for centuries by the way because these organizations have been going down through the centuries causing revolutions to bring in a kind of socialist type order. And they work with the big bankers because big bankers prefer socialism. Under socialism you have big government. Big government needs to borrow money, because they've never got enough, even though they tax the people to death. And bankers live off the interest off it. They don't care if the lump sum is going to get paid back; it never can be at compound interest. It's only important that they get massive sums every year. That's called balancing the budget. It doesn't mean you pay off the main collateral that you owe; it's the interest on the debt that you pay off. And it's been like that your whole life. That's how it's been; every country is the same, folks. Because every country was taken over a long, long time ago by the same money people who came in from other countries.

So we go through the charade of pretending again that we're nations, etcetera. While the G20 was formed out of your prime ministers and presidents across the world and the public never even got a vote on it. Here's a private club basically that formed itself above all constitutions and created their own private club, signed global agreements and contracts, and they also dish out your money across the world. Your cash, the cash they tax off of you, across the planet for all their foreign excursions and their plans, etcetera, and their international companies too.

We bailed out the big banks of course when they decided to have the crash because they decided to have the crash. They knew they weren't going to last forever bumping up the prices of mortgages and then passing them off to the next bank that would buy them for a profit and keep bumping them up again. It's a complete bubble of course, an artificial bubble. And it's criminality of course. But of course no one, there is nothing, nobody is going to try them, nobody goes to jail over this.

We're given democracy, this thing called democracy, something called democracy that the big boys when they formed the Royal Institute of International Affairs, Council on Foreign Relations in America, when they formed them they had no intention of giving you democracy. They gave you the appearance of democracy, then you wouldn't rebel every four or five years, you would vote another bunch in. That's what you do. And meanwhile the parallel government, the real government that's above the ones that you think you elect, run the show and they tell the boys what to do that you think you put in.

I can remember when Brian Mulroney came into government in Canada as prime minister and he ran on getting us out of NAFTA. Within two weeks he was the champion pushing it forth you see. They're all liars and they're put in by again the same organization, the Council on Foreign Relations. They're all members of it. And they have been that way for it's now a hundred years. Every prime minister and president of the U.S. too has been a member for a hundred years. This is how long it's been going in picking presidents and prime ministers.

So they all know their roles. And Brian Mulroney pushed NAFTA, he was all for it. He said oh it will create jobs galore. Of course it did in Mexico and elsewhere across the world but not in Canada. And then a few years after he came out of government he was doing an interview and he was asked what he thought of NAFTA now and did it really help Canada, he said to be perfectly honest I don't know. You see when he's in government he's doing what he's told. He is not the top man at all. He is doing what he's told to push through and it's all the same across Britain and the U.S. and elsewhere. That's how it really, really works. There is one organization running the world.

Winston Churchill brought it out in parliament at one time because he was out of the loop on certain things before the Royal Institute of International Affairs was called its present name; it was called the Lord Alfred Milner Group. And this group had fomented wars in South Africa. They got the Boer War going by causing it to happen and then blaming the Boers of course, so as the British army would come in and then the big business interest which of course was themselves. The same member group, they grabbed all the gold fields and the diamonds and so on. That's how the thing really works in this world folks. It's vastly different from what you think.

Back with more I think after this break. I think that's the music coming in.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the system and the system really is all encompassing, all encompassing. Your whole reality was given to you and every generation is updated with your new PC ways of behavior and so on and accepting various things and having certain opinions

and so on. We're being standardized all the time but the previous generation had its own standardization given to them too, thinking it's all normal. But in fact for centuries we have been bred for work. That's what we do, we work and we fight, we go to wars. And Fort, Charles Fort, said that a long time ago. "I think we're farmed", he said. And really that's how you look at it; you're pretty well farmed. And meanwhile of course you're conned all the way thinking that you have a country and you are free and you're independent. All countries tell their folks that. All countries say that. And they have the best healthcare in the world and the best everything in the world. That's the standard routine that you go through. And most folk believe it and serve their country in all kinds of ways which perhaps they shouldn't sometimes.

But the technique of creating such societies as I say is very, very old indeed. And when your reality is all encompassing and you accept it and your peer group accepts it too, you don't realize that, no, you're in a form of slavery basically. If you had true freedom no one could lean on you for a previous generation's debt for instance. And that's what we all do because we're all paying off the debt of previous generations and anyone born in the future is going to be doing the same. And that's a slavery form you see. It's a more sophisticated form of slavery. That's what Charles Galton Darwin was talking about in his book, "The Next Million Years". That's what he meant by that, a more sophisticated form of slavery, meaning the slaves would never figure out they were slaves.

You think everything is quite natural. Everything exists because it's there when you're born, and your peer group, the same age group too, will think the same thing as you, so you're all quite sane and that's how you think it all works of course and nothing is further from the truth. It's all contrived that way. It's set up that way and you're not allowed to dig into any areas that are outside the box so to speak. And it will go on and on and on until they have the perfect society, as we're dying off actually. And we certainly are dying off because very few folk in the first world countries are breeding, they're not having children. The U.N. happily reports on this every year and also happily reports that they have to bring in masses of immigration from other countries to bring them in to help pay off the national debts we've all accumulated for these wars and bailing banks out and everything else that we do for the big corporations. That's reality.

But we're also tampered with our minds all the time by professionals. And I've gone through the psychological nudge units they have in Britain and the U.S. and Canada and elsewhere. We all have them now and they nudge you in so many ways into thinking or behaving in a different way or having different opinions than you used to have. These are all the nudges and you're guided to them so that you'll feel that you belong with the peer group.

The more education you have the easier it is to program you. And I've read the articles too. They have done study after study in universities to do with that very topic because those who get higher up into the

middle classes are so desperate to hang on to being a middle class person and mixing with their peer group, drinking wine standing up and all the rest of it, that they don't want to be seen as someone not in the know. And they immediately adapt and adopt any new behavior that's given out to them by their bosses, their masters, you see.

Now in Britain they're trying to desensitize the public to war, to the effects of war. And it says here that...

""We must make war more acceptable": MOD (Ministry of Defence) urged to make repatriation ceremonies low-key and use more drones, SAS (Special Air Service) and mercenaries to reduce..."

Alan: What's called the...

"...body bag syndrome""

- "Report says images of flag-draped coffins reduces support for military action"

Alan: Remember the first thing you got to do in war is to get the public support behind you by telling lots of lies, about an enemy bayoneting babies or something like that, that's the standard old stuff.

- "It adds special forces and mercenary deaths don't hit public as hard"
- "MOD says document is to foster 'debate' and..."

Alan: So far it...

"...is not policy"

Alan: But it will become policy. So:

"Repatriation ceremonies for the remains of dead soldiers should have a lower profile in order to make war more palatable to the British public,"

"It examines how to sway 'casualty averse' public opinion, a situation commonly known as 'body bag syndrome', and was published by the Ministry of Defence's strategy formulation unit. The document suggests that the Ministry of Defence should 'reduce the profile of the repatriation ceremonies' where

coffins carrying deceased soldiers are brought back to UK bases such as RAF Brize Norton in Oxfordshire. It discusses ways to 'reduce public sensitivity' and methods of explaining that 'risks are knowingly and willingly undertaken' by armed forces personnel.

Suggestions included making greater use of the Special Forces and mercenaries because it claims losses sustained by the elite soldiers and hired guns do not have the same impact on the public and press. "Neither the media nor the public in the west appear to identify with contractors in the way that they do with their military personnel. Thus casualties from within the contractorised force are more acceptable in pursuit of military ends than those from among our own forces."

Alan: So they want to use more private armies is what they're saying here because you don't think about them as being yours as much; they're just international mercenaries.

"It added: 'The public appear to have a more robust attitude to SF (Special Forces) losses.' Reassurances are made in the paper that the British public may not be as 'risk-averse' as they appear, and suggests this is 'based on recent, post-2000 experience'."

"The report adds: 'The public have become better informed and our opponents more sophisticated in the exploitation of the sources of information with the net result that convincing the nation of the need to run military risks has become more difficult but no less essential.'

The report, written in 2012 and released under the Freedom of Information Act, has been met with criticism by relatives of soldiers killed while serving their country."

Alan: And it goes on and on and on. But that's a pretty standard one as we get our heads tampered with again you see. Everything is a show to the public, even the presentations of everything you will see on television is a show to the public as it goes through editing, it goes through careful structuring by professionals in marketing and so on, on how to get opinions over to the public. The correct opinions, the ones they want you to have that can be completely 180 degrees from the reality, in other words they're an absolute lie. But that's okay, that's quite acceptable when you're dealing with the public. This is how we're treated you know.

And I really cannot figure out how people prattle on about democracies, that you've got democracies. Where? Where do you have a democracy?

Also, this one reminded me of Scotland actually, this article here. It's talking about Ontario, Canada...

"Six different boreholes produced approximately 5 km of core samples while exploring a deep geological repository near the Bruce Power Nuclear Plant in Ontario. The GR has been proposed to store nuclear waste deep underground."

Alan: So they're going to store it. They don't know where to put it you see. And some of these countries like Canada are bringing the stuff in from other countries and refining it supposedly, you know kind of recharging it in a sense, but there is always stuff left over; what are you going to do with it all? Well, you bury it.

So:

"Core samples dug in and around the site beside Lake Huron show the rock formation to be extremely stable with no signs of movement for millions of years."

Alan: Well that's a bet, isn't it?

"This will make it safe from any future geological event such as earthquakes."

"Six different bore holes produced approximately five kilometres of core samples while exploring a deep geological repository near the Bruce Power nuclear plant. The DGR is being proposed to store nuclear waste deep underground. Core samples dug in and around the site beside Lake Huron show the rock formation to be extremely stable...."

Alan: Etcetera, etcetera. And this is what they plan to do with it. And then it gives you a story of someone who lives near the plant itself. It's called the Bruce Nuclear Plant. It's a woman, and it says she is getting up in arms about it. She is not happy about this stuff getting sunk near her at all under this stable rock as they claim. But that's what they were doing in Scotland back in the 70's. They were bringing it up from England and boring it into the Grampian Mountains or encasing it in a glass casing and dumping it into the lochs.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about the farce of the world because that's all we have really is farce, isn't it, when it comes to disclosures to the public that's put through marketing and censorship and all the rest of it. It's like George Orwell's "1984", we're living in it. It's all around us in fact.

But you have an article like this and that came out last week in fact.

"US intelligence chiefs urge Congress to preserve surveillance programs"

"US intelligence chiefs used an appearance before Congress on Thursday to urge lawmakers not to allow public anger over the extent of government surveillance to result in changes to the law that would impede them from preventing terrorist attacks."

Alan: So they urged lawmakers not to allow public anger. So don't get angry, you're not allowed to be angry, you see.

"General Keith Alexander, the director of the National Security Agency, conceded that disclosures by the whistleblower Edward Snowden "will change how we operate"."

Alan: Meaning it will be harder to find out what they're up to.

"But he urged senators, who are weighing a raft of reforms, to preserve the foundational attributes of a program that allows officials to collect the phone data of millions of American citizens.

In testy exchanges at the Senate intelligence committee, Alexander and the director of national intelligence, James Clapper, refused to say on the record where the NSA had ever sought to trawl cell site data, which pinpoints the location of individuals via their phones.

They were challenged by Democratic senator Ron Wyden who, as a member of the committee, has for years been privy to classified briefings that he cannot discuss in public."

He says:

"“You talk about the damage that has been done by disclosures, but any government official who thought this would never be disclosed was ignoring history. The truth always manages to come out,” he said.”"

Alan: I wonder if it does though. Anyway it says:

""The NSA leadership built an intelligence data collection system that repeatedly deceived the American people. Time and time again the American people were told one thing in a public forum, while intelligence agencies did something else in private.""

Alan: Well that's called lying you know, just outright lies.

""Asked by Udall whether it was the NSA's aim to collect the records of all Americans, General Alexander replied: "I believe it is in the nation's best interest to put all the phone records into a lockbox – yes.""

Alan: So they're going to keep doing it forever by the looks of it but then that's the world agenda. All countries are the same now folks. We're way beyond the Stasi system that they had in Eastern Germany, way, way beyond their system.

And also this article too, to do with the agenda. The agenda is right on track to where it's supposed to go. Remember the Council on Foreign Relations and you've heard it from different members of the CFR saying this, they always say that, "How can we use this disaster to our advantage?" What they mean is a disaster to their agenda's advantage you see, to push it in line with the agenda. In other words they live off disasters that appear to come out of the blue to the general public, but they're not. They are not, not from 9/11 onwards, it hasn't come out of the blue at all. And it goes right along with the agendas of course. It's the same with the agenda now for the unification of all the monetary system under the Bank for International Settlements. And there'll be another big crash when it's time. When it's time for the next crash it will happen. It's planned that way you see. And it always is horrible to the public but not to the guys that plan it all. They get what they want out of it you see. But it says:

"US Census Report Shows Entrenched Poverty and Declining Living Standards"

Alan: In the U.S. So:

"A US Census Bureau report released Tuesday, entitled "Income, Poverty and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2012," makes a mockery of President Barack Obama's claims to be restoring "security and opportunity for the middle class" in the wake of the 2008 financial breakdown.

The report provides a snapshot of a society in immense crisis. Poverty is at a near-generation high of 15 percent, close to the high point since the 1965 War on Poverty, the 15.2 percent rate reached in 1983.

According to Tuesday's report, 46.5 million Americans, including 9.5 million families, live in poverty. Some 20.4 million people live on an income less than 50 percent of the official poverty line, 7.1 million of these being children under 18. More than 48 million remain without health insurance."

Alan: Well a lot of them will still get by anyway because the rest of the folk will have to pay it for them.

"More than 31 percent of the population experienced some period of impoverishment during the years 2009-2011."

Alan: Then in another article it says here from the Business Insider...

"2012 U.S. Census data released last week doesn't look good for the middle class, with median household income, income growth, and share of the total national income all continuing to decline. Liberal think tank Center for American Progress published several charts on these depressing trends."

Alan: And I'll put up the links for all these tonight, including the chart.

"David Madland, the Director of the American Worker Project, thinks that the trends will continue, barring major policy changes in the government. "My expectation going forward is that median household income will be stagnant while the incomes for the wealthiest will increase significantly," He told Business Insider."

Alan: Well that's been that way for years because even the United Nations is happy to shout that too, that the gulf between the rich and the poor is staggering. The middle class are really just getting put into the bottom class now. And the ones who are wealthy today, this big, big clique are getting wealthier and wealthier all the time.

"The recent decline of middle class incomes is because almost all of the economic growth since the latest recession has been gobbled up by the super-rich. Large leaps in wealth for the top 5% have masked declines in income for the bottom 95%, giving the appearance that the economic recovery has benefited all parties.

Even in good economic times (such as 2001-2007), median household income stayed flat. These trends, says Madland, are 30 years in the making — the result of "trickle down" economic policy and the loss of workers' economic power to get higher wages."

Alan: What they don't mention here is it's also because you're run by private institutions that gave you the World Trade Organization (WTO) with its private court that decides who gets free trade and who doesn't. And they decided to give it to China. And your factories all went abroad. The same in Canada, they all went abroad. And not only that, they use the taxpayer in these secret agreements actually, at the time they were pretty secret, the taxpayers funded those factories to get up and move. And also, we funded any losses they incurred during that process of setting up in China.

So of course it's all planned that way. And they knew all the fallout of it before it happened. They plan everything and say, what will the fallout be? Oh millions will be unemployed. {Laughs} What are we going to replace them with? What are we going to make them work at? Well they'll just have to go on unemployment, etcetera, etcetera. I mean this is all planned. We're global folks. We're run by international globalists. The private organizations that set up the WTO were the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London, England, it's a private organization. Every media mogul is a member of it so that's where you get your propaganda from. And their branch in the U.S. is called the Council on Foreign Relations. It's the same thing; they do the same thing there. Anybody who's anybody in the U.S. is a member of it. And they want global free trade and a global government and one system running the banking system across the planet, all in private hands. Their hands you see. It's very, very simple to figure out.

Now fracking of course, again this is what really gets me, they always use the public, the public's purse, put it that way, for the big projects at home or abroad nowadays, big corporations. And the governments give you great public relations like we're going to have energy for another hundred years because we can do fracking and get energy at home. And then once they get all the grants put in and they're doing it and so on and then start bottling the gas, etcetera, they start selling it abroad. So, so much for having it at home. It will be gone, it will all be gone abroad you see. And I've read the articles on the air about it. Everything is a racket folks and government is part of the racket. But anyway it's always fallout for the public in more ways than one.

"Dangerous levels of radioactivity found at fracking waste site in Pennsylvania"

Alan: I mentioned this before but they've done a deep study on it.

"A gas production site at the Marcellus shale formation in Pennsylvania."

"Scientists have for the first time found dangerous levels of radioactivity and salinity at a shale gas waste disposal site that could contaminate drinking water. If the UK follows in the steps of the US "shale gas revolution", it should impose regulations to stop such radioactive buildup, they said. The Duke

University study, published on Wednesday, examined the water discharged from Josephine Brine Treatment Facility into Blacklick Creek,”

Alan: It’s called.

“...which feeds into a water source for western Pennsylvania cities, including Pittsburgh. Scientists took samples upstream and downstream from the treatment facility over a two-year period, with the last sample taken in June this year.

Elevated levels of chloride and bromide, combined with strontium, radium, oxygen, and hydrogen isotopic compositions, are present in the Marcellus shale wastewaters, the study found.

Radioactive brine is naturally occurring in shale rock and contaminates wastewater during hydraulic fracturing – known as fracking. Sometimes that “flowback” water is re-injected into rock deep underground, a practice that can cause seismic disturbances, but often it is treated before being discharged into watercourses.

Radium levels in samples collected at the facility were 200 times greater than samples taken upstream. Such elevated levels of radioactivity are above regulated levels and would normally be seen at licensed radioactive disposal facilities, according to the scientists at Duke University’s Nicholas school of the environment in North Carolina.”

So:

“Hundreds of disposal sites for wastewater could be similarly affected, said Professor Avner Vengosh, one of the authors of the study published in Environmental Science & Technology, a peer-reviewed journal.”

Alan: And I’ll put the links up for that one too.

““If people don’t live in those places, it’s not an immediate threat in terms of radioactivity. However, there’s the danger of slow bio-accumulation of the radium.””

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the system, which is everything of course. It's all that we know, is the system.

And another article too about the border, the U.S. and Canada border. And there have been so many horror stories coming out of there too over the years since 9/11 as it's got crazy; it's got crazy and so on. And the Department of Homeland Security really has the full right to do whatever they want apparently. But apparently there is a TV show called, "On the Media" and the producer and the family and friends of this producer of the "On the Media" show were detained after attending a wedding in Canada. And I think her name is Sarah Abdurrahman. So it says:

"Everyone they detained was an American citizen, coming back to the US after attending a wedding of a cousin. They were treated terribly, put in a cold room with no food or drinks, and no information on what was going on. CBP demanded they hand over their electronics, and made it clear they might not get them back."

Alan: It's true, they take your cell phones off you and everything.

"The thing is, this isn't a unique situation. As the report notes, there's almost no oversight over CBP actions, allowing them to act with impunity. In the report, the story is told of a 4-year-old girl, an American citizen, who was detained for 14 hours, in a cold room, without being allowed to speak to her parents and given no food beyond a cookie. And then she was deported. Even though she was a US citizen. She was allowed to come back weeks later, but now has symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder.

Abdurrahman's own story is perhaps not that crazy, but is still ridiculous. She tried to find out information during the detainment, but was repeatedly told "it's not your right to know." She wasn't even allowed to know the names of the CBP agents who detained them. When she asked, agents turned their backs to her so she couldn't see their name tags. Multiple attempts at getting Homeland Security or CBP to respond to questions failed."

"She also tells the story of some other wedding attendees who were similarly detained with incredibly obnoxious behavior from CBP agents."

Alan: Border Patrol agents

"The first one they met was quizzing everyone in the car on their names, and the 3-year-old child in the back cheerily volunteered his name and the CBP agent snapped at him."

Alan: Yelled at him.

"The agents initially promised that they wouldn't search their phones, but then demanded that people unlock their phones, and even told them the phones would be confiscated and not returned. People complained that they needed their phones for work and were basically told too bad. Abdurrahman notes that three full cars of people from that one wedding all had their phones confiscated, and she notes that DHS..."

Alan: Department of Homeland Security.

"...claims only about 15 phones are confiscated each day by CBP, and wonders why everyone from one wedding appear to have nearly reached that quota."

Alan: And it gets worse and worse actually but I'll put the link up tonight for you to have a look at. And I think there is an audio that goes with it if you want to listen to the audio.

Now we know too that the big internationalists have never changed their... You got to understand the psychology of psychopaths. They're not creative psychopaths but they get to the top as CEOs of massive corporations. And they're megalomaniacs too. But a psychopath really is all out for themselves, the world revolves around themselves, that's it. And they have never changed their attitudes to the rest of the public. They're there for their use of. That's what the public is for. And they tend to get up into politics too. Anywhere where the big public purse is, they get up there. They like power, they like accolades and applause.

So they've used the same systems down through the ages. Well I knew when they were building Dubai and for the very, very rich folk to go and live in Dubai, they imported people from all over the world, even from Latin America. And they bring them in under the same old cons that the British Empire used, part of your pay to get over there is deducted from your wage every week and eventually they'll deduct money for you to get back home again. And of course because you have to spend money when you're living there, you can never save up the money to get back home, so you're a slave.

And this one is at Qatar. Now Qatar is the great place for the U.S. and Britain and other countries to ship their arms into for the Syrian rebels. That's been in mainstream news and I've read that on the air too. So they have:

"'Slaves worked to death' building Qatar's World Cup paradise – report"

"Protesters demonstrate against the perceived exploitation of workers in Qatar, the location of the 2022 World Cup, before a UEFA Congress in central London on May 24, 2013."

"One construction worker a day dies as Qatar seeks to build facilities for the World Cup. That's the finding of The Guardian newspaper, which characterizes the conditions facing migrant workers in the country as "slave labor.""

Alan: Now as I say that was the same in Dubai because I knew a doctor who went over there for a couple of months and he couldn't believe these people were living literally in cardboard boxes. These are the workers. They were working twelve to fourteen hours a day in that kind of heat too. And many of them got sick, many of them died, and they just didn't even know who they were, they just buried them. This still goes on today folks. And don't think for an instant that the same people who brought these people over to build this World Cup place wouldn't do the same to you if they could get away with it, because they would. In all ages they have, they have. Never think that you're somehow getting civilized and that human nature is changing. It hasn't at all, not in the least. Not with the psychopathic types.

Also this article is pretty good too...

"MasterCard today unveiled a new global report, "The Cashless Journey," that tracks how 33 major economies are progressing from cash-based to cashless societies."

Alan: They planned this long, long ago. Long ago.

"The report, produced by MasterCard Advisors, identifies new technologies, government programs and consumer preferences as key factors that are driving this shift, creating more productive and inclusive economies."

Alan: That's a nice way of saying it but there is more to it of course. But it goes through the different countries.

"The report identifies Belgium (where an estimated 93% of the value of consumer spend was cashless), France (92%), Canada (90%), the UK (89%), Sweden (89%), Australia (86%) and the Netherlands (85%) as countries where cashless payments are nearly ubiquitous, and attributes the broad movement away from cash to the uptake of new cashless payment technologies such as mobile, contactless and EMV Chip and a modern payments infrastructure."

"Countries such as the United States (where an estimated 80% of the value of consumer spend was cashless) and Singapore (69%) are approaching the "tipping point" to becoming nearly cashless, and remaining cash use is largely a product of consumer habit."

Alan: So it's just habit they're telling you, right. And then they give you the ones that are down at the bottom, that haven't caught up yet.

But then you go into this article to give you another look at it.

"Israel eyes..."

Alan: Israel.

"...eyes cashless economy to boost tax collection"

Alan: You see there are many ways to put this kind of stuff out you see.

"Off-the-books payments cost the Israeli public because billions of shekels go untaxed and don't contribute to the treasury, according to the head of the new committee that will propose ways of reducing cash transactions and increasing electronic payment methods.

Alan: So they're doing ways that are actually going to discourage folk from using cash. You get little bonuses, little free this and that if you don't use cash you see. It always works with the people unfortunately, doesn't it? And it's all for that. And too the governments planned years ago to go completely cashless and eventually in your computer, will be linked right to the government tax office and any purchase you make or anything that you sell will be taxed immediately as you do it in real time and it'll go straight to the government. I've read about that years ago. So that's why we're all going this way. That's why.

Also it says:

"Feds arrest the alleged founder of Bitcoin's largest drug market"

"Federal prosecutors have indicted a man named Ross William Ulbricht in San Francisco. They say he is the founder of Silk Road, a controversial website that allows users to buy and sell narcotics and other

illicit goods. Founded in 2011, Silk Road website used Bitcoin, a digital currency that helped mask the identity of Silk Road's merchants and customers.

The government shuttered the site and seized approximately 26,000 Bitcoins worth approximately \$3.6 million. The government says it was the largest ever Bitcoin seizure in history.

"During its two and a half years in operation, Silk Road has been used by several thousand drug dealers and other unlawful vendors to distribute hundreds of kilograms of illegal drugs and other illicit goods and services to well over a hundred thousand buyers," the government alleges in its indictment. The government says that 600,000 Bitcoins changed hands on the site, which at current exchange rates translates to \$1.2 billion. In addition to narcotics, Silk Road also had listings for fake drivers' licenses, counterfeit currency,"

Alan: I wonder what counterfeit is? If money is backed by nothing, what is it, eh? It's a religion, it's backed by faith.


"...hacking services and much more, the government alleges."

Alan: And so on and so on. But we'll all be cashless shortly and then it's like Bertrand Russell said when he talked about giving the people credits instead of money. It's the same thing you see, cashless, it doesn't matter what the cash is, it's credits. And eventually they'll just stop all of your, they'll take what they want from your account, the government will do that, or shut you down if you've been bad or politically incorrect on your site. Things like that. It's all been discussed before you heard of the internet.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

October 23, 2025 at 12:00 pm

EDIT | MOVE | SPLIT | TRASH | SPAM | UNAPPROVE | REPLY [#1881](#)



Alan

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on the 9th of October, 2013.

For newcomers please use the website cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you'll find lot and lots of audios, for free download that is, where I go through the system that we're born into, how it works, and how it's worked for an awful long time and where it's all supposed to go because power never gives itself up, it simply changes its form in a sense to bring in new systems to please the public and these new

systems are always really held onto and managed by the same people who managed the old system. Because power is money and money runs the world and we've seen it through the bank crashes and so on, we've seen the rewards almost of banks that crashed everybody, stole their mortgages and so on, that there was no real comedown on them at all. And this was happening in different countries across the world at the same time.

So therefore we're living in a system that's essentially fraudulent too with the debt money of course, an old, old story. And of course we have to pay back debt all the time as they borrow money and print it up.

So, help yourself to the website. You'll find out all the different organizations that help run the world, ones we don't elect in fact, the private organizations, the philanthropic ones, the foundations, Ford, Carnegie, Rockefeller, etcetera, that are involved in changing the way that we live. They even draft up treaties to be signed internationally like the Earth treaty of course, the Earth Charter, etcetera. They work with the United Nations and also create treaties; they come in binding it into law. And then they make us all basically follow the rules, the new rules to protect the earth or pay money for energy, or CO2 taxes, whatever it happens to be.

So the whole world is planned ahead basically by powerful institutions that I think are above government. In fact some of them have their own private clubs that manage the media, the CFR for instance. You'll find every media mogul is a member of the Royal Institute for International Affairs and Council on Foreign Relations at least and some of them are even members of the Trilateral Commission as well.

So the news of course is uniform and standardized. It's trickled down through Reuters and API and that's your news. Everyone prattles the same news as we get the same information. And we live in Plato's cave basically.

Remember too you can get print-ups of the talks I've given as well if you want to print them up in English on all the sites listed at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and if you go into alanwattsentientsentinel.eu you can get transcripts in other languages.

And from the U.S. to Canada remember you can still order the books by using personal checks or international postal money orders from the post office, or send cash, or use Paypal. Across the world, Western Union, Moneygram, and Paypal once again. And straight donations are seriously welcome as we go through these inflationary times which they call quantitative easing. It sounds better; inflation got a bad name during the last great depression.

But that's really what we're going through and of course even the chairman of the Federal Reserve that's changed seats again said last year that it was the plan for the next few years to inflate the currency every year for maybe up to ten years even. So eventually the currency is worth very little at all in purchasing power as we well know. And this of course ties in with the whole agenda of austerity. The idea of austerity was pushed out by different again private organizations all run by the big private foundations that own so many think tanks you can't count them all across the world. And these think tanks work in all specialized areas of society, not just economics, but in all aspects of society and they advise governments on what to do again with various policies.

And these think tanks came out with the Club of Rome, another think tank front group that said that there were too many people back in the 70's. And they said too that the consumer society couldn't last. It would have to be changed; and we had been given the consumer society remember by Bernays, Ed Bernays, who was the master of advertising and lifestyle changes and so on who worked with presidents one after another. So if you want any of the articles on him too I've got many at the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com where I go through some of his history and what he was up to and the power that he had amongst presidents and so on. And he even helped get the people into World War I actually, as a propagandist.

So anyway the Club of Rome decided that there was too many people and the age of prosperity would have to come to an end and we're going into austerity now. And they also said too that democracy would never work. Because the big plans to save us all they said, to save us all, would not work with democracy; everyone would complain or fight and different groups would pressure governments not to go along with things which they said would have to be done. Therefore an authoritarian system would have to be established.

And really that's what we have today under the guise of terrorism everywhere. And you have authoritarianism being shown by all the different agencies especially in the U.S. and Britain is catching up quickly with equivalent forces now. And no one's got privacy of any kind, all to do with, and training you too to accept this. Most folk actually do accept it, especially the young unfortunately. They don't realize how valuable privacy is to individuals and always has been. It always has been. People had wars in ancient times and even the Middle Ages to do with privacy, the invasion of privacy by government authorities even when kings and queens ruled with their thousands of spies all working amongst your communities.

So we're going through the same old thing but now it's high tech of course. And the Club of Rome said specialists should run the world. We have been trained since at least the 50's and increasingly through the 70's and 80's and 90's to the present on television especially or even on the radio when they bring specialists on, experts in their field, whatever it happens to be. Even on the weather channels and things

like that where they tell you to bring your umbrella, like you're a little child, because it's going to rain, or wear warm boots, it's going to snow. Things like that. And then you go back into the old writings of people who set up this system in the 20th century. They set up the present time that we'd live through today, culture-wise, because they were into creating a culture, big cultural shifts in society and changes, which has all come to pass actually. We're still living through it all today. They haven't completed it.

Many of the guys are dead that sat on the old board at the Macy Group and worked with the Frankfurt School like Lord Bertrand Russell. And who were given permission after World War II to do this very thing by the president of the U.S. because some groups in the U.S. thought that the country might go Nazi after World War II. And therefore they gave these groups a lot of power through going into education, setting curriculums, what topics should be avoided, what topics should be pushed and so on, to change the way people think and what to eventually even expect financially and so on, and more so today.

I've also gone through the stories recently in fact again because I've done them in the past when they first set themselves up, about the psychological special units set up by Britain and the U.S. I'm sure all countries have them, probably Canada has them too, where they prompt people through psychological persuasion through television, even having things inserted into television dramas or movies. You're being prompted on what to think or what to feel bad about or guilty about or whatever it happens to be. They prompt you to make the right decisions. But they're also into the computer in a big, big way of course. In a big, big way because everyone is using the computer and they said themselves they can actually prompt you on what to look for next. And what you're going to look for next is what's been approved for you to look for next, not the whole open scope of what's out there, but what they will approve and you don't realize you're being "nudged" as they call it, as Cass Sunstein called it, nudged along.

So that's the world in which we live. As we go high tech we have less and less, not just privacy, but we don't even know where many of our opinions come from. It's very important to know where your opinions; are they based on foundations of truth, or are you being used by someone else, or simply are you ignorant of the facts and take the standard spiel from the media?

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about the big system, the big society that we live in today, which is vastly different from what it was even a few years ago. And people always want to go back to the past. And yet no one can go back to the past because you've changed too along with it,

we adapt. We adapt and that's what the big boys who manage our heads know very, very well, how we adapt to things.

And they have incredible methods today of managing people's opinions and their minds and so on. And it's always been this way mind you down through the ages because even religions have been used for the same things, to get the society that was more obedient and didn't kill each other and so on, and didn't steal and so on and so on and so on. Otherwise there'd be chaos. But today of course it's much, much worse apparently. And you look all around you and there is drug problems everywhere. It doesn't matter where you go, drug problems. And even here in this little place that I live in they had a murder on Monday of a woman at the end of the street. And there's only about, I don't know if there's even twelve houses on this street, and then the cops were out with their choppers and so on looking for whoever might have been hiding in the bushes or whatever. And it just shows you that no one can escape the chaos that's going on around.

And society is definitely getting more violent, which of course was known a long time ago by the different associations, mainly Christian associations, which looked at Hollywood in the early days and the violence that they were showing; they said this would affect society and youngsters would copy this behavior. And of course there have been many, many articles I've read on the air, and others have too, to do with studies on how our behavior has been changing and how many movies people, especially children watch growing up to the age of five and beyond and how it affects them, etcetera. And how many murders or simulated murders they see on television and it's phenomenal. It's staggering. And the problem gets to a bigger problem where people who are really into incredible TV watching and so on, their behavior definitely will change and become more aggressive and so on.

The television has also been used even for the various revolutions in society which are social and cultural revolutions. The woman's lib movement was pushed through television, even through comedies and dramas and so on and movies, until they wanted to almost reverse the roles apparently some of them said at the top. And so society cannot go back. It is what it is today. It can't go back to some fictional Andy of Mayberry scenario. And it never was like that anyway.

But as I say society is definitely getting more violent. And even I looked up the drugs in Sudbury, the drug problem, and apparently it's phenomenal in Sudbury the next town to me, which is a mining town. And it's just absolutely phenomenal the problem with drugs and oxycontin and so on and so on that the youngsters are all on.

And even the robberies, even the ones that came around a couple of years ago at Christmas time in my area too where the guys hit five houses in a row on a street not far from here and they dumped a lot of the stuff they had stolen in the last house that they robbed because the guy had better stuff, so they

wanted to make room in their truck for it. And he told me that the stuff would probably get sold for drugs; that's what he had been told. So it's just incredible today's society to what it was not so long ago really and it's getting worse. So you find out it's not all terrorism, terrorism, it's literally domestic disputes and drug problems etcetera, etcetera.

Anyway in London for instance and this article is almost a repeat of what came out before. But it said that the Met Office now, the metropolitan area of London, they're using a computer algorithm to predict where crime will happen.

"Met's Minority Report: They use computer algorithms to predict where crime will happen"

- "Computer algorithms generate future crime maps that lead police to where incidents are likely to occur"
- "So far been shown to make policing up to seven times more successful"

Alan: Strange, that, in a way, isn't it? But with all these algorithms and study groups and so on that are going on with universities involved, they're getting so much data on human behavior, on everyone's behavior, your personality makeup, your personality profile, it's all up there on the internet basically. But certain areas seem to attract certain people at a certain time and they end up with trouble for some reason or another.

- "All police forces are now being encouraged to adopt the system because 'it's no longer possible to throw overtime at problems'"

Alan: And this is true too as we go through the massive shift of money that used to be for policing into anti-terrorism and special agencies and so on, then the police are starting to get sold the idea that computers are going to solve a lot of their problems for them or help them predict crime, etcetera. The U.S. I believe in some states is using the same system and Canada might be too for the big cities, I don't know. But that's the way it's all going. And you understand too that computers are not going to solve the problem and neither are algorithms either. It's not going to solve the problem, the underlying problems that cause it all to happen you see. Society is pretty well broken right now and it has been for quite some time as the cultural mores, everything changed. We had the sexual liberation, we had all these different social revolutions, and they called it the sexual revolution at the time.

You had the television and movies pumping out guys supposedly on drugs, even in the movies. You had pop stars getting interviewed on television for years, stoned out of their gourds and falling off their seats.

And you'd have the interviewers laughing away and tee-hee'ing and tittering as though it was all really funny. And that was the message intended for the children, which they certainly soaked up. And here we are today with the mess.

I think part of it too is to do with society doesn't know where it's going anymore. And it's interesting to read the old philosophers, to do with men of vision as they called them, the ones who would come along once in a while and lead them from one era to the next era by having a vision for their country or whatever. Unfortunately it used to mainly be to do with war, with someone else having a war and creating empires and so on. But they called them men of vision, ones who had an idea of how to give the masses a purpose for living and for the way things were and so on and so on, in other words creating a culture. But today as we go global it becomes even more difficult to get people to go into a new culture.

There was a book written years ago by Desmond Morris. Desmond Morris was a zoologist who did television shows across the world and with the BBC in England too and he had a best seller called, "The Naked Ape". Now he worked also with psychologists, the ones that were studying humans and comparing them to animals. And he had a great success and a lot of it was quite funny in the book too but he did a second book called, "Tribe and Super-Tribe", and he used the U.S. as an example of the society to come being multicultural and could the U.S. being a superpower and the policeman of the world be able to get all the different cultures into a new culture and an American culture or would they insist that they keep their own cultures and you'd have all these fragmented people and they wouldn't form a super tribe as he called it.

And it's well worth the read for those who haven't heard of it because he goes through the findings and studies from universities that were working on this problem way back in the 60's and 70's. And a lot of what they said in the book actually has come to pass. And he even talked about the need for a super army which eventually would be global; because you see the big boys always knew that that was the goal, to bring in a global society with the free trade rules, the NAFTA agreements for the Americas, eventually a parliament of the Americas. You also have the European Union that was set up after World War II to gradually bring them into losing sovereignty and eventually doing away with the nations all together. They're just regions. And that's happened as well pretty well, until national parliaments are really provincial parliaments now with less power than they used to have. And it's an old, old idea. It goes back to Karl Marx. He mentioned it as well, a trading bloc, three trading blocs in the world, three great ones with a Far Eastern one that would be the third one. And that would encompass Australia and New Zealand and China and a few other countries, Japan and so on. It's all, you live in a plan almost, a script. That's what we're living through, folks.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵 – You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network because you can handle the truth – 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and this article too ties in to an extent with this too. This is by John W. Whitehead of the Rutherford Institute. And it says:

""To the degree that we take away play, we deprive children of the ability to practise adulthood, and we create people who will go through life with a sense of dependence and victimisation, a sense that there is some authority out there who is supposed to tell them what to do and solve their problems.""

Alan: For them. And that's true. That's true. I've had people actually, I had one fella phone me up from the States once and he was separated from his family, he got to visit them once in a while, but the children had been brought up with social workers with the mother. And the children actually said that the social worker was their best friend. You know that's how it is; they're just part of the family. And now with Britain of course everyone is being appointed a guardian by the state. Everyone who is born, it doesn't matter what class or whatever you come from, it's the law. Then it's going to get worse of course. It's for training, mass training the public on a big scale. Things that Julian Huxley and others talked about back in the 50's. Anyway it says:

""That is not a healthy way to live.""

Alan: And that was by psychologist Peter Gray. It says:

"These days, it is far too easy to rattle off the outrageous examples of zero tolerance policy run amok in our nation's schools. A 14-year-old student arrested for texting in class. Three middle school aged boys in Florida thrown to the ground by police officers wielding rifles, who then arrested them for goofing off on the roof of the school. A 9-year-old boy suspended for allegedly pointing a toy at a classmate and saying "bang, bang.""

"Two 6-year-old students in Maryland suspended for using their fingers as imaginary guns in a schoolyard game of cops and robbers."

Alan: Now you can watch movies and you'll see the gangsters giving that sign too, to someone a potential target, as a warning. And where do you think they get it all from? And that's okay. It's okay they

say for society to mimic; or what Hollywood will tell you is that they mimic society, but it's really the other way around. We know that; they're part of the cultural system for cultural changes. And we copy them.

"A 12-year-old New York student hauled out of school in handcuffs for doodling on her desk with an erasable marker. An 8-year-old boy suspended for making his hand into the shape of a gun, in violation of the school district's policy prohibiting "playing with invisible guns.""

Alan: It's actually a policy.

"A 17-year-old charged with a felony for keeping his tackle box in his car parked on school property, potentially derailing his chances of entering the Air Force."

"Two seventh graders in Virginia suspended for the rest of the school year for playing with airsoft guns in their own yard before school."

"Thus, it's tempting, when hearing about the 7-year-old suspended for chewing his Pop-Tart into the shape of a gun to chalk it up to an isolated example of school officials lacking in common sense. However, as I point out in my book *A Government of Wolves: The Emerging American Police State*, these incidents are far from isolated, occurring as they have for the better part of the past 30 years under the guise of maintaining safety and security in the schools. They are part of a concerted, top-down approach to creating a generation of obedient worker-bees content to be directed, distracted and kept in line."

Alan: But really when you see the ages of these children and the amount of movies they're watching and all the heroes are the guys with the big guns. And the guys with the big guns you can't tell who is the bad guy or the good guy anymore. They're both kind of steroid guys in most of the movies and there is a guy with a badge or the government's authorized who is always, that's the only guy that you know who is a good guy – he's the authorized guy – because his behavior is the same as the bad guy. Anyway it says:

"Despite a general consensus that zero tolerance policies have failed to have any appreciable impact on student safety, schools have doubled down on these policies to the detriment of children all across the nation. Indeed, the zero tolerance mindset is so entrenched among school administrators all over America that we are now seeing school officials reaching into the personal lives of students to police their behavior at all times. For example, 13,000 students in the Glendale Unified School District in California are now being subjected to constant social media monitoring by school officials."

Alan: And I'll put up the article on that too, a separate article.

“Superintendent Richard Sheehan has hired private firm Geo Listening to analyze the public social media posts of students both off and on campus. Whether on Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, or any other social media platform, students will have their posts and comments analyzed for evidence of “bullying, cyber-bullying, hate and shaming activities, depression, harm and self harm, self hate and suicide, crime, vandalism, substance abuse and truancy.”

Unfortunately, the Glendale program is simply one component of a larger framework in which all student activity is treated as an open book by school administrators. What we are witnessing is a paradigm shift in American society, in which no personal activity is safe from the prying eyes of government agents and their corporate allies. Every decision and action, no matter how innocent, is scrutinized, analyzed, filed, stored, and eventually held against you when those in power feel like it.

When one pulls back the veil of zero tolerance, one can see the real culprit is the corporate-state, which has been meticulously applying the zero tolerance mindset to not just public schools in America, but our workplaces, our political forums, our social interactions and even our own homes. The end result is a society which is completely pacified and willing to march in lockstep with the corporate-state.”

Alan: Now this ties in with Professor Carroll Quigley’s feudal state, he called it, a new feudal system is being developed. And remember he was the personal historian for this big agency or society, a private organization called the Council on Foreign Relations. Anyone who is anyone in the U.S. business world is a member. Any top politician is a member of it too. In fact you can’t get to the top in politics unless you are a member. He said that himself. In fact he said you can’t join the society, you’ll be approached and if they vet you then they’ll bring you in. So you can’t simply go up and pay a fee and join it. And of course that’s just the American branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs in Britain that’s been on the go for an awful long time, about a hundred years or so and actually longer than that under different names. And they wanted to bring in the perfect society of obedience of course. And they really were into eugenics big time. They had lots of plans, they thought they’d bring in specialists again, experts in eugenics to bring the perfect citizen into being through training and through behaviorism, psychology and social psychology and so on. Neuroscientists are all into it now too.

But he said the new system is to be privatized. Everything is privatized. And we’ve already seen that taking effect over the years, the privatization of all the public utilities etcetera, etcetera. And you find companies like IBM have somehow either been given authority or given themselves authority to set up this global net system for all electricity across the whole planet, smart meter systems, the grids, the world grid. I’ve even put the world grid up that they put out from IBM themselves where they want to eventually put everything, all energy in the world into one grid system. And that way they can take power from one place that’s not needed so much and put it somewhere else and so on. In other words they will

put it to where the manufacturing is like China or some third world country that's been brought up at the moment.

So he said that, he said the new feudal system they're bringing in and he was all for it remember, he was a member and their historian and he worked at the state department of the U.S., he said that the new CEOs, corporate CEOs will be the new feudal overlords of this system. And that's what we have. In Britain too lots of the police have been privatized in some areas. Private organizations run them. And once you're private of course you're no longer responsible to the public to an extent. And that's what the government will tell you too, if you complain about anything, well we can't do anything about it you see, we don't own them, they're a private company.

So public accountability is being phased out and you're being trained to accept that too. It's not an accident that you're not getting any real news. For many, many years you're getting no real news, the whys and wherefores and what's planned to come up and all this kind of stuff. You don't get it anymore. You get more trivia. Trivia is out there like galore like never before. So much so that it's got worse because in Britain and in Australia, in the British Commonwealth countries, Lord Leveson came out with his bill and they got it through of course to basically police the journalists. And now the journalists are just giving you nothing but trivia. I think the States is going the same way too because everything is happening globally at the same time because we're in a global society. But anyway this article goes on and says:

"This manner of thinking has been slowly adopted by many Americans, but more worrisome is the manner in which it's being foisted upon our nation's youth. We are now living in an era in which childhood as it was once understood, a time to learn, to make mistakes, to try and fail, to try again and succeed, has been replaced by the worst elements of corporate and government culture. Children are treated as workers and prisoners, collected, corralled and controlled by teachers who increasingly act as bureaucrats, forced to fit every child into the exact same mold, regardless of their personal abilities and talents."

Alan: Now, I've spoken to teachers over the years, ones who are retired or ready to retire and they've told me the same thing. They can't handle it anymore because that's their job now. They're like a bureaucrat for the government. And it's all psychological control.

Back with more after this.

{Break 🎵}

Alan: Hi folks. We're back Cutting Through the Matrix and talking about how we really are today and what's really going on. And you have to go into the histories again of the behaviorists and psychologists going way back and to do with changing society and the power they were given actually at the end of World War II. Some of them, the top ones in fact, the American Psychologists Association and also the World Psychiatric Association that was under the daughter of Freud at that time talked about their right to start shaping every individual's mindset for them for obedience to government and so on. And it's pretty well going that way.

But first of course they had to destroy the old value system to get their new value system in and that's part of the problem too. People don't know where they are. They don't know where they're going. They don't know what their culture is, a lot of them, anymore. They really don't know. And we're going into the global society, mass immigrations of people across the world are happening, predicted a long time, many, many years ago. And it's happening too, so people are getting kind of lost but they still go on with this obsession about training children and leaving it to specialists, not parents anymore. But it says here:

"Psychologist Peter Gray takes this criticism further, noting that children today are rarely allowed the opportunity to engage in undirected creative activity, also known as playing. He notes that since the 1960s, time for play has taken a backseat in the lives of children in favor of rigid curriculums revolving around high-stakes testing. Even sports, which were once simply games played on the fly by a mixed group of neighborhood children, have taken on the rigidity of life in a factory or cubicle. The obsession with quantifying childhood progress has gone so far that charter schools in DC are beginning to conduct high stakes testing for three and four year old children."

Alan: Remember the GIRFEC again that they're testing out in Scotland. They've got a version for it in England and elsewhere too. So again from the United Nations and they want to test them there as young as four months old for goodness sake.

"Over the same time period, incidences of childhood mental illness have steadily increased. The number of children and young adults suffering from major depression and generalized anxiety disorder have increased between five and eightfold since the 1950s. The suicide rate for 15 – 24 year olds has doubled, while the suicide rate for those under the age of 15 has quadrupled. The rise in these mental illnesses is coupled with a decrease in empathy and an increase in narcissism in young people, indicating that their ability to work with others — as is necessary in a society — has been muted."

Alan: Now that ties right in with the groups I talked about before that were given permission to alter society at the end of World War II. And one of the big, big players was Lord Bertrand Russell. He wrote a book called, "Education and the Good Life" and he outlined the plan in that book to create the proper kind of citizen. And he also did one called, "Roads to Freedom". And one other one too called, "The Impact

of Science on Society” that he was all for, to use science to alter society, the mindset, the behavior of the people. And he says we shall create a nation of narcissists and hedonists. The reason for it being of course is they knew in ancient times, and the ancient Greeks talked about it, they won’t have bonding or anything in common with those around them so they won’t stand up to the power, the power that runs them all. That’s happened today. And they have less compassion for others.

“We’re raising a generation of anxious individuals who expect their life’s direction to come to them from orders from above. In short, we’re creating a generation ingrained with an authoritarian mindset.”

Alan: Getting back to the Club of Rome that works as a group working for the big globalist boys at the United Nations. That’s what they said in the 1970’s in their book that they put out, they said that democracy wouldn’t work and they’d need an authoritarian society.

Ever since the pushing forward of Freud this has galloped forward, this whole training society idea has galloped forward like you would not believe. And it has never gone away, any more than the eugenicists have gone away. Eugenics is alive and very, very well; there are even some sites in Britain where the guys are using the term again, they’re proud to use it, eugenics. They said that Hitler gave it a bad name and there is nothing wrong with it at all, they’re reviving it all. So eugenics is a big, big part of this too. So psychiatry, through the drugging of people, through legitimate drugs and so on. Remember that in “Brave New World” Huxley, Aldous Huxley, the brother of Julian Huxley at the United Nations, said that they would use drugs and drug society to make them more compliant. Well that’s happened. So many youngsters are on drugs now at school that they think nothing of taking drugs, other drugs. You see that’s training them to take any kind of drug they’re given for anything that’s going to alter their mood. So it’s done on purpose of course. Nothing is happening by itself. And it’s not happening just because Big Pharma wants big profits. That’s a big part of it naturally but it’s been done for other reasons as well. And government remember always allows these new drugs to be used on them and new crazy disorders to be put out in the manual for psychiatrists to diagnose nonexistent diseases basically.

It says:

“This authoritarian mindset is an unavoidable consequence of the American education system.”

“While so-called education reformers insist on more tests, pushing schools to emulate the Chinese, Japanese, and South Korean educational systems, they miss a big piece of the puzzle: educators in those countries consider their systems a failure. Despite performing better than American children on certain international standardized tests, Chinese educators have noted that Chinese students have also

demonstrated a “lack of social and practical skills, absence of self-discipline and imagination, loss of curiosity and passion for learning.””

Alan: You see if you're trained in that kind of regime, it's true, you look for others to always guide you. You lose your ability to guide yourself. And that starts at a very young age when you play with other people, you find out who is good around you, who is bad around you, who is decent, who is kind of kind, who is a nasty bully, and you find out how to handle yourself in those circumstances as well. But they're not getting that today. They're not getting that at all. The teacher, it's true, is more of a bureaucrat today. And teachers too are advising in many schools in the U.S. and elsewhere what children they would like to have on drugs, for being hyperactive as they call it.

Now, when I was at school hyperactive boys were normal because being a boy was normal, when you have stacks of energy. That's why they gave you the breaks that you did where you would go out and grab a football or something and you'd just go at it for a while and then the bell would go again and back in you went. And you'd tire yourself out and you could sit and listen to the next lot. That's what it's like to be a boy you see. And of course in this day and age they say there is no difference between men and women; we're all the same. This is incredible, this is what they say, it's just you become a boy because you're copying the behavior that's been instilled by a parent or someone else, which is nonsense. There are differences folks. There are differences. So we're going through this.

It says:

“Despite this fact, states are pushing ahead with programs like Common Core, which not only threatens our children's quality of education, but their privacy as well. A great deal of data will be collected under new guidelines proposed by the program.”

“While the purposes of the data collection appear legitimate on their face,”

Alan: Everything does on the face of things.

“...mainly focused on keeping track of student progress, we must keep in mind that we are living in the era of Big Data, in which information becomes currency between the government and their corporate benefactors.”

Alan: And that's a big part of it, this relationship in this new feudal age of privatization and corporate benefactors, and governments and again the private institutions and foundations that put their own people into government in high bureaucratic positions. It's just astonishing, folks, that people don't know

this is all happening. But there again too it's not the present generation if we go by literally generations or say even take it every twenty years because the mothers and fathers that are bringing up today's children went through their part of the programming as well, in which there was massive transformations in culture being really pushed from the top down again and so on and that's had a profound effect as well.

Every generation since really the early 60's has been, they've had a war on them without knowing about it. They were told they were going to be free with the revolutions they were having, cultural revolutions, and look at the mess we're all in today. Look at the mess we're all in today. And now that they've destroyed so many families, and there are so many single parent families out there and people don't know how to get on anymore for very long, you're really in a mess. You have dysfunction everywhere. But the state steps in with all of their new rules and agencies and social workers. And now of course after telling women for many, many years and building single parent homes all over Britain and other countries, now they're taking their benefits away. Now look at the mess they're all in, but it was all planned that way folks. They're not stupid at the top; they plan the future. Government always plans the future, so does power.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight, and may your God or your Gods go with you.

Author	Posts
--------	-------

Viewing 9 posts - 136 through 144 (of 144 total)

← 1 2 3 ... 8 9 10

Reply To: American Dream

Your account has the ability to post unrestricted HTML content.

Visual Code



Tags:

☐ Notify me of follow-up replies via email

Submit